

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

ON THE EFFECTIVENESS OF PARTITION REGULARITY
OVER ALGEBRAIC STRUCTURES

A DISSERTATION SUBMITTED TO
THE FACULTY OF THE DIVISION OF THE PHYSICAL SCIENCES
IN CANDIDACY FOR THE DEGREE OF
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

BY
GABRIELA LABOSKA

CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

JUNE 2025

ABSTRACT

Partition regularity over algebraic structures is a topic in Ramsey theory that has been extensively researched by combinatorialists. Motivated by recent work in this area, we investigate the computability-theoretic and reverse-mathematical aspects of partition regularity over algebraic structures—an area that, to the best of our knowledge, has not been explored before. This thesis focuses on a 1975 theorem by Straus, which has played a significant role in many of the results in this field.

We show that Straus’ theorem does not hold computably, and we investigate different cases of the theorem. For the simplest case $n = 1$, we show that the best possible computability-theoretic bound for this problem are the PA degrees. We show something similar for the case $n > 1$, under one additional condition.

Since the PA degrees already have implications for the reverse mathematics of the theorem, we show that several cases of the theorem are equivalent to WKL_0 over RCA_0 , as well as the fact that WKL_0 implies the full Straus’ theorem over RCA_0 .

*To my family – which now includes Maryanthe and Denis,
for believing in me even when I didn't.*

Table of Contents

List of Figures	vii
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Notation and Conventions	10
2 Background	12
2.1 Partition Regularity	12
2.2 Computability Theory	18
2.3 Reverse Mathematics	21
3 The Computability Theory of Straus' Theorem	24
3.1 The Case $n = 1$	27
3.2 The Case $n \geq 2$	35
4 The Reverse Mathematics of Straus' Theorem	41
4.1 The Case $n = 1$	42
4.2 The Full Straus' Theorem	44
4.3 The Case $n \geq 2$ with an Additional Condition	50

5	The Path Ahead	53
5.1	Optimal bounds for the full Straus' theorem	53
5.2	Reverse mathematics over RCA_0^*	54
5.3	Computability-theoretic and reverse-mathematical analysis of the Leader- Russell theorem	55
5.4	Other more refined reducibilities	55
5.5	The case $b = 0$	57
5.6	The nonlinear case	57
	References	58

List of Figures

3.1	A visual representation of Lemma 3.1	25
3.2	The behavior of a 2-coloring with no monochromatic solutions to the equation $x - y = b$	27
3.3	The behavior of a $2n$ -coloring with no pairwise monochromatic solu- tions to the equation $(x_1 - y_1) + \cdots + (x_n - y_n) = b$ under an additional condition	35

Chapter 1

Introduction

The computability-theoretic and reverse-mathematical analysis of combinatorial principles has a long history and has yielded many interesting results [12]. This thesis investigates the computability-theoretic and reverse-mathematical aspects of a subfield of Ramsey theory called partition regularity over algebraic structures. This topic has been extensively researched by combinatorialists, with important new results in the last few years ([5], [15]). However, the computability-theoretic and reverse-mathematical analysis of partition regularity over algebraic structures is something that, to our knowledge, is done for the first time.

This thesis consists of five chapters, including this one. In Chapter 2, we give the necessary background for our results. We will assume some familiarity with computability theory and reverse mathematics, but the key results in these fields directly used in this thesis can be found in Chapter 2. For a deeper dive into computability theory, we refer the reader to [19] and [22], and for reverse mathematics, [21] and

[23].

Partition regularity over algebraic structures concerns systems of linear equations over a given algebraic structure and the behavior of their solutions under finite colorings of the structure. In particular, a linear system is said to be partition regular over an algebraic structure A if for every finite coloring of A , the system has a monochromatic solution. The precise definitions and history will be given in Chapter 2, together with the necessary background on this topic. The reader can refer to [9] for more details.

Richard Rado in his PhD thesis [17] sought to characterize all linear systems that are partition regular over $\mathbb{Z}$, and in doing so, to generalize important Ramsey-theoretic results like Schur's theorem and Van der Waerden's theorem. He successfully characterized all such linear systems, although in this thesis we will be concerned with inhomogeneous linear systems. From now on, unless we specify which case we are referring to, partition regularity for us will mean inhomogeneous partition regularity. In this context, Rado showed that a linear system is partition regular over $\mathbb{Z}$ if and only if it has a constant solution.

Some natural questions were raised after Rado showed this result. One of these questions was how partition regularity behaves when the integers are replaced with an arbitrary commutative ring R ? Can we still characterize the systems that are partition regular over R ? After some progress towards answering this question in [3] and [5], it was finally settled by Leader and Russell in 2020 [15] and they showed that a linear system is partition regular over a commutative ring R if and only if it has a constant solution. Their result is interesting not only because they solved this open

problem, but because of their approach to solving it, which was different from the previous work on the subject. The authors that worked on versions of the problem previously, including Rado himself, used an indirect approach in similar results – they first showed that the result holds for one equation and then showed how to pass from one equation to a system of equations. Leader and Russell’s approach was direct and with that, they gave a new proof of Rado’s original theorem.

While exploring these new results, as well as earlier papers attempting to address the same question, we came across a result by Straus from a 1975 paper [24]. The main theorem that we will be analyzing concerns the existence of finite colorings of an abelian group G such that the solutions of the equation $(x_1 - y_1) + (x_2 - y_2) + \cdots + (x_n - y_n) = b$, where $b \in G, b \neq 0$ are what we call pairwise monochromatic, meaning that the colors of x_i and y_i are the same for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Straus also gives the number of colors that we need for this theorem to hold. We will combine these two qualitative and quantitative results into one theorem that we will refer to as Straus’ theorem. Whether in a direct application or as an idea to follow, Straus’ theorem shows up in nearly all of these papers, so we felt that it was essential to start with its analysis.

Theorem (Straus). *Let $(G, +, 0)$ be an abelian group, $b \in G, b \neq 0$ an element of G and $n \in \mathbb{N}, n > 0$. Then there is a finite k -coloring of G such that the equation*

$$(x_1 - y_1) + (x_2 - y_2) + \cdots + (x_n - y_n) = b$$

has no pairwise monochromatic solutions, where

$$k = \begin{cases} 2n & \text{if } 2 \mid \text{ord}(b) \text{ or } \text{ord}(b) = \infty \\ \left\lceil \frac{2np}{p-1} \right\rceil & \text{if } \text{ord}(b) \text{ is odd and } p \text{ is the largest prime divisor of } \text{ord}(b) \end{cases}.$$

We point out that a more general version of this theorem holds, which can be found in Section 2.1 and we will call the general version Straus*. Our results apply to this theorem as well.

In Chapter 3, we discuss the computability theory of Straus' theorem. Computability theory provides us with tools to gauge the complexity of mathematical statements. In particular, the complexity of principles beyond the computable. The most widely used measure of complexity in computability theory are the Turing degrees. We show that Straus' theorem does not hold computably, even in the simplest case when $n = 1$. We also show that the Turing degrees that capture the complexity of this problem for $n = 1$ are the PA degrees.

Theorem 3.1. *There is a computable abelian group $(G, +, 0)$ and an element $b \in G, b \neq 0$ such that, if c is a 2-coloring of G for which the equation*

$$x - y = b$$

has no monochromatic solutions, then c has PA degree.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}, n > 0$. For every computable abelian group G and every $b \in G, b \neq 0$, there is a k -coloring of PA degree with no pairwise monochromatic*

solutions to

$$(x_1 - y_1) + \cdots + (x_n - y_n) = b,$$

where

$$k = \begin{cases} 2n & \text{if } 2 \mid \text{ord}(b) \text{ or } \text{ord}(b) = \infty \\ \left\lceil \frac{2np}{p-1} \right\rceil & \text{if } \text{ord}(b) \text{ is odd and } p \text{ is the largest prime divisor of } \text{ord}(b) \end{cases}.$$

The PA degrees compute infinite paths in infinite binary (or k -branching, for a fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 2$) trees and they come up naturally in these types of problems since the colorings of an algebraic structure can be viewed as paths through some binary (or k -branching for a fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 2$) tree. We show that Theorem 3.1 is the best possible result of this sort – as soon as we have more than 2 colors, Straus’ Theorem for the case $n = 1$ holds computably.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $n > 2, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for every computable abelian group G , there is a computable n -coloring c with no monochromatic solutions to $x - y = b$, where $b \in G, b \neq 0$.*

The proof of Straus’ theorem, which we will sketch at the beginning of the proof of Theorem 4.2, shows that you can choose your coloring such that there exists an $m > 0$ such that for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, all the kmb are monochromatic. We show that for the case $n \geq 2$, Straus’ theorem with this additional condition added does not hold computably, and moreover, the best possible bounds for this problem are again the PA degrees.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2$. There is a computable group $(G, +, 0)$ and an element $b \in G, b \neq 0$ such that, if c is a $2n$ -coloring of G for which the equation*

$$(x_1 - y_1) + \cdots + (x_n - y_n) = b$$

has no pairwise monochromatic solutions and there is an $m > 0$ such that for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, all the kmb are colored with the same color, then c has PA degree.

In Theorem 3.3 we give a more general version of this theorem that works for any finite coloring, regardless of the number of colors we use.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \geq 2$. There is a computable abelian group $(G, +, 0)$ and an element $b \in G, b \neq 0$ such that, if c is a finite coloring of G for which the equation*

$$(x_1 - y_1) + \cdots + (x_n - y_n) = b$$

has no pairwise monochromatic solutions and there is an $m > 0$ such that for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, all the kmb are colored with the same color, then c has PA degree.

In Chapter 4, with the help of the results from Chapter 3, we analyze the reverse mathematics of Straus' theorem. Reverse mathematics also helps us understand the strength of mathematical principles, but from a more proof-theoretic angle. We work in second-order arithmetic and we can compare statements over a weak system of axioms, the most widely used one being RCA_0 . You can think of RCA_0 as roughly corresponding to computable mathematics. An important principle that does not hold computably is Weak König's Lemma, which is the statement that every infinite

binary tree has an infinite path. Weak König's Lemma together with RCA_0 comprise the next of the “big” systems in reverse math, denoted by WKL_0 .

Using Theorem 3.1, we show that Straus' theorem for the case $n = 1$ is equivalent to WKL_0 .

Theorem 4.1. *The following statements are equivalent over RCA_0 :*

- (i) WKL_0
- (ii) *For every abelian group G and every element $b \in G, b \neq 0$, there is a k -coloring of G for which the equation $x - y = b$ has no monochromatic solutions, where*

$$k = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } 2 \mid \text{ord}(b) \text{ or } \text{ord}(b) = \infty \\ 3 & \text{if } \text{ord}(b) \text{ is odd} \end{cases}.$$

We also show one direction for the full Straus' theorem, namely, that WKL_0 implies the full theorem. The proof of Theorem 4.2 closely follows Straus' original proof.

Theorem 4.2. *Over RCA_0 , WKL_0 implies the following statement:*

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 1$. For every abelian group $(G, +, 0)$ and every $b \in G, b \neq 0$, there is a k -coloring of G such that the equation

$$(x_1 - y_1) + \cdots + (x_n - y_n) = b$$

has no pairwise monochromatic solutions, where

$$k = \begin{cases} 2n & \text{if } 2 \mid \text{ord}(b) \text{ or } \text{ord}(b) = \infty \\ \left\lceil \frac{2np}{p-1} \right\rceil & \text{if } \text{ord}(b) \text{ is odd and } p \text{ is the largest prime divisor of } \text{ord}(b) \end{cases}.$$

Lastly, in section 4.3, we give a reverse-mathematical version of Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.4.

Theorem 4.3. *The following statements are equivalent over RCA_0 :*

(i) WKL_0

(ii) *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2$. Let $(G, +, 0)$ be an abelian group and $b \in G, b \neq 0$. Then there is a k -coloring of G with no pairwise monochromatic solutions to*

$$(x_1 - y_1) + \cdots + (x_n - y_n) = b$$

that satisfies the following condition: there is an $m > 0$ such that for every $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, all the lmb are colored with the same color, where

$$k = \begin{cases} 2n & \text{if } 2 \mid \text{ord}(b) \text{ or } \text{ord}(b) = \infty \\ \left\lceil \frac{2np}{p-1} \right\rceil & \text{if } \text{ord}(b) \text{ is odd and } p \text{ is the largest prime divisor of } \text{ord}(b) \end{cases}.$$

(iii) $_{N, N \geq k}$ *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2$. Let $(G, +, 0)$ be an abelian group and $b \in G, b \neq 0$.*

Then there is an N -coloring of G with no pairwise monochromatic solutions to

$$(x_1 - y_1) + \cdots + (x_n - y_n) = b$$

that satisfies the following condition: there is an $m > 0$ such that for every $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, all the lmb are colored with the same color, where

$$k = \begin{cases} 2n & \text{if } 2 \mid \text{ord}(b) \text{ or } \text{ord}(b) = \infty \\ \left\lceil \frac{2np}{p-1} \right\rceil & \text{if } \text{ord}(b) \text{ is odd and } p \text{ is the largest prime divisor of } \text{ord}(b) \end{cases}.$$

Theorem 4.4. *The following statements are equivalent over RCA_0 :*

- (i) WKL_0
- (ii) *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2$. Let $(G, +, 0)$ be an abelian group and $b \in G, b \neq 0$. Then there is a finite coloring of G with no pairwise monochromatic solutions to*

$$(x_1 - y_1) + \cdots + (x_n - y_n) = b$$

that satisfies the following condition: there is an $m > 0$ such that for every $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, all the lmb are colored with the same color.

Since we are not aware of other work on this particular topic, there are many directions that this research topic can take and many open questions. The most pressing ones that come up from this thesis are the following.

Open Question 1. Are the PA degrees the best possible computability-theoretic bound for the full Straus’ theorem? If not, what are the best possible bounds?

On the reverse mathematics side, the following question is still open, even though we show one direction of the equivalence in Theorem 4.2.

Open Question 2. Is the full Straus’ theorem equivalent to WKL_0 over RCA_0 ?

Our proofs do not directly translate to proofs about rings, so the same computability-theoretic and reverse-mathematical analysis does not directly apply to the Leader-Russell theorem. Therefore, we have the following open questions.

Open Question 3. What are the best possible computability-theoretic bounds for the Leader-Russell theorem? What about the reverse-mathematical bounds?

We explore more open questions and possible directions in Chapter 5.

1.1 Notation and Conventions

Throughout this thesis, we will denote the set of integers by $\mathbb{Z}$, the set of natural numbers (nonnegative integers) by $\mathbb{N}$, and the set of rational numbers by $\mathbb{Q}$. We will denote elements of these sets by lowercase letters, and whenever it is clear from the context that we are referring to a set, we will identify $k \in \mathbb{N}, k > 0$ with the set $\{0, 1, \dots, k - 1\}$.

The set of finite binary sequences will be denoted by $2^{<\mathbb{N}}$ and the set of finite sequences of natural numbers by $\mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}}$. Similarly, $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ denotes the set of infinite binary

sequences and $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ denotes the set of infinite sequences of natural numbers. For $X \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ (or $2^{\mathbb{N}}$), we denote the first n elements of the sequence X by $X \upharpoonright n$.

We fix a pairing function $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ that will be used throughout the thesis, i.e. a bijection $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$.

All groups are assumed to be abelian, and all rings are assumed to be commutative with a unit.

If p is a prime number, then by $\mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty}$ we denote the group of all p^n -th roots of unity under multiplication, where n ranges over the natural numbers $\mathbb{N}$. Similarly, by $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ we denote the group of all p^n -th roots of unity under multiplication, for a fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Chapter 2

Background

In this chapter, we give the necessary background for the new results in this paper. We aim for the material to be self-contained; however, we assume some familiarity with computability theory and reverse mathematics, so we also provide references throughout.

2.1 Partition Regularity

Partition regularity, in the context discussed in this thesis, was the main topic of Rado's PhD thesis. Rado's goal was to generalize some of the earliest results in Ramsey theory, like Schur's theorem and Van der Waerden's theorem. These theorems, in their original form or restated, talk about linear equations or systems of equations that have monochromatic solutions under *any* finite coloring of the underlying algebraic structure that we are considering. For further details on these topics, see [9].

Definition 2.1.1. Let G be a nonempty set and $k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 1$. A k -coloring of G is any map $c : G \rightarrow k$. We call the set $S \subset G$ *monochromatic* if $c(x) = c(y)$ for all $x, y \in S$.

Since we will mostly be talking about equations and system of equations and their monochromatic solutions, we define precisely what we mean by this.

Definition 2.1.2. Let G be an algebraic structure (abelian group, ring), A an $m \times n$ matrix with entries in G and $b \in \mathbb{G}^m, b \neq 0$. We call the n -tuple $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ a *monochromatic solution* to the system of linear equations $Ax = b$ if $A \cdot [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]^T = b$ and the set $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ is monochromatic.

Theorem (Schur). *For every finite coloring of the positive integers, there is a monochromatic solution to the equation $x + y - z = 0$.*

The original statement of Van der Waerden's theorem is the following:

Theorem (Van der Waerden). *For every finite coloring of the positive integers, there are arbitrarily long monochromatic arithmetic progressions.*

With some care, this theorem can be restated as a statement about monochromatic solutions to a well-chosen system of equations. Rado's goal in his thesis was to generalize these results. Towards this, he defined the following notion of partition regularity.

Definition 2.1.3. Let A be an $m \times n$ matrix with entries in $\mathbb{N}$ and $b \in \mathbb{N}^m$. We say that the system of linear equations $Ax = b$ is *partition regular over $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$* if for every finite coloring of the positive integers, the system has a nonzero monochromatic solution.

Using this notion, we can restate both Schur's and Van der Waerden's theorem into statements about a specific system of equations being partition regular. For example, the restated Schur's theorem is the following.

Theorem (Schur, restated). *Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $b = 0$. Then the system $Ax = b$ is partition regular over $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$.*

Rado successfully characterized all partition regular systems over $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, dealing separately with the cases $b = 0$ and $b \neq 0$. The case that we are going to be investigating is the case when $b \neq 0$, which is sometimes referred to as inhomogeneous partition regularity. We refer the reader to [17] for more information on the $b = 0$ case. Note that, unlike the $b = 0$ case, for $b \neq 0$, we do not need to exclude zero solutions, so we use the following definition of partition regularity over $\mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 2.1.4. Let A be an $m \times n$ matrix with entries in $\mathbb{Z}$ and $b \in \mathbb{Z}^m, b \neq 0$. We say that the system of linear equations $Ax = b$ is *partition regular over $\mathbb{Z}$* if for every finite coloring of $\mathbb{Z}$, the system has a monochromatic solution.

Example 2.1.5. Here are some examples and non-examples of partition regularity.

1. The system

$$2x + 3y - z + 4w = 24$$

$$x - 4y + 2z + w = 0$$

$$3x + y - 5z + 2w = 3$$

$$x + 2y + z - 3w = 3$$

is partition regular over $\mathbb{Z}$ for the simple reason that it has a constant solution $(3, 3, 3, 3)$, so no matter how we color $\mathbb{Z}$, it will always have a monochromatic

solution. Clearly, any other system of equations that has a constant solution will be partition regular.

2. The equation $x + y = 3$ is not partition regular over $\mathbb{Z}$. Consider, for example, the coloring where all even integers are colored red and all odd integers are colored blue. Since the integer solutions of $x + y = 3$ are always pairs of an even and an odd integer, there will be no monochromatic solution for this coloring.

These examples are relatively simple, but demonstrating partition regularity or non-regularity for different systems of equations can be significantly more complex. Is there a system with no constant solution that is partition regular? How to show non-regularity in general? One thing to note in this example is that, even though we did not use this explicitly, the second equation does not have a constant solution. It turns out that this condition is necessary and sufficient for partition regularity over $\mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem (Rado). *Let A be an $m \times n$ matrix with entries in $\mathbb{Z}$ and $b \in \mathbb{Z}^m, b \neq 0$. The system of linear equations $Ax = b$ is partition regular over $\mathbb{Z}$ if and only if it has a constant solution.*

A few natural questions arise from this result. If we replace $\mathbb{Z}$ with any other commutative ring, will the result still hold? If not, can we somehow characterize the rings for which the result holds? We first need to define partition regularity over a ring. The above definition generalizes pretty naturally.

Definition 2.1.6. Let R be a commutative ring, A an $m \times n$ matrix with entries in R and $b \in R^m$, $b \neq 0$. We say that the system of linear equations $Ax = b$ is *partition regular over R* if for every finite coloring of R , the system has a monochromatic solution.

Combinatorialists have been working on this problem ever since Rado's original result. Bergelson, Deuber, Hindman and Lefmann [3] showed that the result holds for a certain class of integral domains, namely, the class of integral domains that are not fields and have the property that $R/(r)$ is finite for every $r \neq 0$. Byszewski and Krawczyk [5] then extended the result to all integral domains and also considered the case where b has entries in some R -module and we are coloring the module instead. Finally, in 2020, Leader and Russell [15] showed that the same characterization holds over any commutative ring R . We point out that this result also holds for R -modules.

Theorem (Leader-Russell). *Let R be a commutative ring, A an $m \times n$ matrix with entries in R and $b \in R^m$, $b \neq 0$. The system $Ax = b$ is partition regular over R if and only if it has a constant solution.*

Among all of the aforementioned papers, including the newest one by Leader and Russell, the following result by Straus [24] appears as a common theme. This result is used in most of these papers, either directly or as a motivation behind some of the proofs.

Definition 2.1.7. Let G be any nonempty set, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \geq 1$ and $c : G \rightarrow k$ be any coloring of G with k colors. We say that the tuple $(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_n, y_n) \in G^{2n}$ is *pairwise monochromatic for c* if for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, $c(x_i) = c(y_i)$.

Theorem (Straus). *Let $(G, +, 0)$ be an abelian group, $b \in G, b \neq 0$ an element of G and $n \in \mathbb{N}, n > 0$. Then there is a finite k -coloring of G such that the equation*

$$(x_1 - y_1) + (x_2 - y_2) + \cdots + (x_n - y_n) = b \quad (2.1)$$

has no pairwise monochromatic solutions, where

$$k = \begin{cases} 2n & \text{if } 2 \mid \text{ord}(b) \text{ or } \text{ord}(b) = \infty \\ \left\lceil \frac{2np}{p-1} \right\rceil & \text{if } \text{ord}(b) \text{ is odd and } p \text{ is the largest prime divisor of } \text{ord}(b) \end{cases}.$$

For simplicity, we refer to this result as Straus' theorem; however, the following more general result is shown in Straus' paper [24].

Theorem (Straus*). *Let $(G, +, 0)$ be an abelian group, $b \in G, b \neq 0$ an element of G and $n \in \mathbb{N}, n > 0$. Let $f_1, \dots, f_n$ be arbitrary mappings from G to G , with $m \leq n$ of them being distinct. Then there is a finite k -coloring c of G such that the equation*

$$(f_1(x_1) - f_1(y_1)) + (f_2(x_2) - f_2(y_2)) + \cdots + (f_n(x_n) - f_n(y_n)) = b$$

has no pairwise monochromatic solutions, where

$$k = \begin{cases} (2n)^m & \text{if } 2 \mid \text{ord}(b) \text{ or } \text{ord}(b) = \infty \\ \left\lceil \frac{2np}{p-1} \right\rceil^m & \text{if } \text{ord}(b) \text{ is odd and } p \text{ is the largest prime divisor of } \text{ord}(b) \end{cases}.$$

Our results apply to the more general theorem as well and they will be denoted

by *.

2.2 Computability Theory

In this chapter, we present the computability-theoretic background that we need for this thesis.

In computability theory, we mostly work with countable structures, so from now on, when we say a ‘set’, we mean a subset of $\mathbb{N}$, and when we say a ‘function’, we mean a function from $\mathbb{N}$ to $\mathbb{N}$. A partial function is thus a function that is only defined on a proper subset of $\mathbb{N}$. We often work with countable sets that are not $\mathbb{N}$, so we assume that they have been encoded into $\mathbb{N}$ by an appropriate coding.

When we say *computable functions* and *computable sets*, we mean functions and sets that can be computed by an algorithm using a *Turing machine* in a finite amount of time. Turing machines take an input and produce an output—if the machine halts; otherwise, the output is undefined—according to rules specified by a finite set of quintuples, which we call a *Turing program*. Functions that can be computed by a Turing machine are called *partial computable (p.c.) functions*. There is an effective listing of all Turing machines and therefore, an effective listing of all p.c. functions $\Phi_0, \Phi_1, \Phi_2, \dots$, where by effective we mean that there is a p.c. function f such that $f(e, n) = \Phi_e(n)$ for all $e, n \in \mathbb{N}$. The notation $\Phi_e(n) \downarrow$ means that the e th Turing machine halts on input n and $\Phi_e(n)[s] \downarrow$ means that the e th Turing machine halts on input n in at most s steps.

Computable algebraic structures (groups, rings) in this thesis will refer to alge-

braic structures for which both the underlying set and the algebraic operations are computable.

A fundamental concept in computability theory is *Turing reducibility*, or a set A being *B-computable* (also referred to as A being *computable relative to B* or A being *computable in B*). This means that there is an algorithm that on input $n \in \mathbb{N}$ decides whether $n \in A$ by using B as an oracle, i.e., allowing us to query B about its membership during the algorithm. We denote this by $A \leq_T B$. You can think of the computable sets as the sets that are computable from the empty set $\emptyset$ (computable with “no extra information”).

The notion of Turing reducibility gives rise to an equivalence relation denoted by $\equiv_T$. The equivalence classes of this relation are called *Turing degrees*. The Turing degrees are a measure of complexity in computability theory—you can think of two sets having the same Turing degree (or being *Turing equivalent*) if they are “equally hard” to compute.

Among the Turing degrees, PA degrees are the ones that compute infinite paths on infinite computable binary (or k -branching for a fixed positive $k \in \mathbb{Z}$) trees.

Definition 2.2.1. A *tree* T is a subset of $2^{<\mathbb{N}}$ (or $\mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}}$) such that if $\sigma \in T$, then every initial segment of σ is in T . If the tree is a subset of $2^{<\mathbb{N}}$, we call it a *binary tree*. A tree is *k-branching* for $k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 1$ if for every $\sigma \in T$, there are at most k many n such that $\sigma n \in T$. An *infinite path* on a tree T is an $X \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ (or $2^{\mathbb{N}}$) such that $X \upharpoonright n \in T$ for all n .

Definition 2.2.2. A set X has *PA degree* if every computable infinite binary tree has an X -computable path.

There are many equivalent characterizations of PA degrees; the one we use directly in Theorem 3.1 is as follows.

Proposition 2.1. *A degree is PA if and only if it computes a total $\{0,1\}$ -valued extension of the $\{0,1\}$ -valued function $e \mapsto \Phi_e(e)$.*

Here and throughout the rest of the thesis, Φ_e is the e th partial computable function.

For Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3, we use a result by Jockusch [13] about the DNC_k degrees. We include the proof since we need to explore the reverse mathematics of this statement for some of our theorems in Chapter 4.

Definition 2.2.3. A function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is called *diagonally non-computable* (DNC) if for all e , $f(e) \neq \Phi_e(e)$. By DNC_k we denote the class of all k -bounded DNC functions, i.e., all $f \in \text{DNC}$ such that $f(e) < k$ for all e .

Theorem (Jockusch). *Let $k \geq 2$. The degrees of functions in DNC_k coincide with the PA degrees.*

Proof. It is well-known that the PA degrees coincide with the DNC_2 degrees, so we will show that the DNC_2 degrees coincide with the DNC_k degrees for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}, k > 2$. One direction is clear since every DNC_2 function is a DNC_k function, for $k > 2$. For the other direction, it is enough to show that every DNC_{k^2} function computes a DNC_k function. Let $g \in \text{DNC}_{k^2}$ and let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be any pairing function that takes $k \times k$ to k^2 . For $a, b < k$ compute $\Phi_a(a), \Phi_b(b)$ and $\langle \Phi_a(a), \Phi_b(b) \rangle$. Find a c such that $\Phi_c(c) = \langle \Phi_a(a), \Phi_b(b) \rangle$. For this c , find functions g_1

and g_2 such that $g(c) = \langle g_1(a, b), g_2(a, b) \rangle$. Since $g(c) \neq \Phi_c(c)$, either $g_1(a, b) \neq \Phi_a(a)$ or $g_2(a, b) \neq \Phi_b(b)$. There are two possible cases:

Case 1: For every a , there is a b such that $g_2(a, b) = \Phi_b(b)$. Given a , find such a b and define $h(a) = g_1(a, b)$.

Case 2: There is an a such that for all b we have $g_2(a, b) \neq \Phi_b(b)$. Fix such an a and define $h(b) = g_2(a, b)$.

The function h defined in this way can be computed from g and $h \in \text{DNC}_k$. $\square$

2.3 Reverse Mathematics

In reverse mathematics, we gauge the strength of theorems over a base system of axioms in second-order arithmetic. The language of second-order arithmetic is a two-sorted language consisting of the usual symbols $=, +, \cdot, \leq, 0, 1, \in$. There are number variables which we will denote by lowercase letters, and set variables which we will denote by uppercase letters. An *arithmetic formula* in this language is a formula with no quantification over set variables, although it can contain free set variables. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a Σ_0^n *formula* is a formula with n alternating quantifiers of the form $\exists x_1 \forall x_2 \exists x_3 \forall x_4 \dots Qx_n \varphi$, where φ is an arithmetic formula in which all quantifiers are bounded (*bounded quantifier formula*) and Q is either $\exists$ or $\forall$, depending on whether n is odd or even. Similarly, we can define a Π_0^n *formula* in the same manner, but starting with $\forall$. A Δ_0^n *formula* is a formula that is both Σ_0^n and Π_0^n .

The usual weak axiom system in reverse mathematics is RCA_0 , which consists of the first-order axioms for a discrete ordered commutative semiring, together with

the following schemes:

- Δ_0^1 -comprehension: $\forall n[\varphi(n) \leftrightarrow \psi(n)] \rightarrow \exists X \forall n[n \in X \leftrightarrow \varphi(n)]$, for all Σ_0^1 formulas φ and Π_0^1 formulas ψ , where X is not free in φ .
- Σ_0^1 -induction: $(\varphi(0) \wedge \forall n[\varphi(n) \rightarrow \varphi(n+1)]) \rightarrow \forall n \varphi(n)$, for all Σ_0^1 formulas φ .

You can think of RCA_0 as roughly corresponding to computable mathematics. Note that RCA_0 proves the Π_0^1 -induction scheme, which is the same statement as Σ_0^1 -induction but for all Π_0^1 -formulas. A simple result that does not hold computably but is at the combinatorial core of many theorems is Weak König's Lemma.

Lemma 2.1 (Weak König's Lemma). *Every infinite binary tree has an infinite path.*

This result is so significant in reverse mathematics that the next standard base system of axioms is WKL_0 , which consists of RCA_0 together with Weak König's Lemma. From the statement, you can clearly see that there is a close connection between PA degrees and WKL_0 , which will also be demonstrated in our theorems.

A fact that we will use in Theorem 4.1 is the fact that WKL_0 is equivalent to the Σ_1^0 -separation principle.

Proposition 2.2 (Σ_1^0 -separation principle). *Let $\varphi_0(n)$ and $\varphi_1(n)$ be Σ_1^0 formulas in which X does not occur free. If $\neg \exists n(\varphi_0(n) \wedge \varphi_1(n))$, then*

$$\exists X[(\varphi_0(n) \rightarrow n \notin X) \wedge (\varphi_1(n) \rightarrow n \in X)].$$

Proposition 2.3. *Over RCA_0 , WKL_0 is equivalent to the Σ_1^0 -separation principle.*

For Theorem 4.3 and 4.4 we will need propositions about the reverse mathemat-

ics of DNC_k functions. It is well known that over RCA_0 , WKL_0 is equivalent to the existence of DNC_2 functions and the existence of PA degrees, so the following proposition shows that WKL_0 is equivalent to the existence of DNC_k functions for a fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 2$, over RCA_0 .

Lemma 2.2. *Jockusch's theorem is provable in RCA_0 .*

Proof. It is not too hard to see that the proof of Jockusch's theorem above can be carried out in RCA_0 . □

Lemma 2.3. *Over RCA_0 , WKL_0 is equivalent to the statement $(\exists k)\text{DNC}_k$.*

Proof. One direction is clear. For the other direction, assume that there exists a DNC_k function f for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. There is a number of the form $2^{2^m} \geq k$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that if $f \in \text{DNC}_k$, then $f \in \text{DNC}_{2^{2^m}}$. Following the proof of Jockusch's theorem, we obtain a $\text{DNC}_{2^{2^m-1}}$ function in one of two possible cases, even though we do not necessarily know which case gives us this function.

Form a binary tree where the level 0 node is the function f , and each branch represents one of the two cases in Jockusch's theorem. Repeating the process, this tree will have 2^m levels and the last level will give us a DNC_2 function. Using Π_0^1 -induction we can show that at every level of the tree, there is a DNC_l function for an appropriate $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence the lemma follows from Lemma 2.2, the fact that this proof can be carried out in RCA_0 and the fact that the DNC_2 degrees are equivalent to WKL_0 over RCA_0 . □

Chapter 3

The Computability Theory of Straus' Theorem


In this section, we analyze Straus' theorem from a computability-theoretic point of view. In Theorem 3.1 we show that it does not hold computably and we find that the PA degrees are the best possible bound for the case $n = 1$. For the case $n \geq 2$, in Theorem 3.2 we show that the PA degrees are a lower bound for the same problem with an additional condition. In Theorem 3.3 we give a generalized version of Theorem 3.2.

In all of these theorems, we build a computable abelian group G that is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^{(\omega)}$ in stages, where by $\mathbb{Z}^{(\omega)}$ we denote the additive group of all infinite sequences of integers, where each sequence has at most finitely many nonzero coordinates. We will represent the elements of $\mathbb{Z}^{(\omega)}$ as finite strings of integers ignoring the trailing zeroes, and represent the zero sequence by 0. For example, we will write 10^31 for the

infinite sequence $(1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$.

During each stage of these constructions, we will have a finite subset G_s of G and an injective mapping $f : G_s \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{(\omega)}$. We want to define the addition on G_s according to the addition in $\mathbb{Z}^{(\omega)}$ using the mapping f . In other words, for all $m, n \in G_s$, we want to define $m + n = f^{-1}(f(m) + f(n))$. However, at different stages, we will have different mappings so it is crucial to preserve the addition on G_s that we have previously defined. That means that if $h : G_s \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{(\omega)}$ is an injective mapping used at a later stage, then we require $f^{-1}(f(m) + f(n)) = h^{-1}(h(m) + h(n))$. This is illustrated in the following diagram.

Figure 3.1: A visual representation of Lemma 3.1



Choosing the mappings as described in the following lemma is sufficient to satisfy this condition. Note that, since $(a_1, a_2, \dots) \in \mathbb{Z}^{(\omega)}$, it has finitely many nonzero coordinates, so any linear combination of the a_i 's is finite. Thus the summation in the definition of h is always well defined.

Lemma 3.1. *Let G be a nonempty set and $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{(\omega)}$ an injective mapping. Let $f(m) = (a_1, a_2, \dots)$ and let $h : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{(\omega)}$ be an injective mapping defined in the following way:*

$$h(m) = (\sum_i k_{1i} a_i, \sum_i k_{2i} a_i, \dots, \sum_i k_{ji} a_i, \dots)$$

for all $m \in G$, where the $k_{ji} \in \mathbb{Z}$ are fixed for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $h^{-1}(h(m) + h(n)) = f^{-1}(f(m) + f(n))$ for all $m, n \in G$.

Proof. We will show that the claim holds for h defined in the following way $h(m) = (\sum_i k_i a_i, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$ for all $m \in G$, where the $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ are fixed. The general claim will follow in a similar way. Let $m, n \in G$ and $f(m) = (a_1, a_2, \dots)$, $f(n) = (b_1, b_2, \dots)$. We have


$$\begin{aligned} h(m + n) &= h(f^{-1}(f(m) + f(n))) \\ &= h(f^{-1}(a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2, \dots)) \\ &= (\sum_i k_i (a_i + b_i), 0, 0, 0, \dots) \\ &= (\sum_i k_i a_i, 0, 0, 0, \dots) + (\sum_i k_i b_i, 0, 0, 0, \dots) \\ &= h(m) + h(n). \end{aligned}$$

Since h is injective, the claim follows. □

3.1 The Case $n = 1$

We now present the first result of this thesis. The proof of this theorem follows a simple idea: if we have a 2-coloring of a group G with no monochromatic solutions to $x - y = b$, then any two x_1, y_1 such that $|x_1 - y_1| = b$ must be colored differently. It follows that, if $|x_1 - y_1| = kb$ for some even integer k , then x_1 and y_1 must be colored in the same color. Similarly, if $|x_1 - y_1| = kb$ for some odd integer k , then x_1 and y_1 must be colored in different colors. Following this idea, we will ensure that whenever the e th p.c. function halts, there exist some x_e and y_e that are kb apart for some integer k . This is reflected in the requirements R_e below.

Figure 3.2: The behavior of a 2-coloring with no monochromatic solutions to the equation $x - y = b$



Theorem 3.1. *There is a computable abelian group $(G, +, 0)$ and an element $b \in G, b \neq 0$ such that, if c is a 2-coloring of G for which the equation*

$$x - y = b$$

has no monochromatic solutions, then c has PA degree.

Proof. We are going to build such a group G that will be isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^{(\omega)}$ in stages.

At each stage s , we will build a finite set G_s and an injective map $h_{s+1} : G_{s+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{(\omega)}$ such that $h_s^{-1}(h_s(i) + h_s(j)) = h_{s+1}^{-1}(h_{s+1}(i) + h_{s+1}(j))$ for all $i, j \in G_s$. Then $G = \bigcup G_s$, and we will show that $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} h_s(x)$ exists so $h(x) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} h_s(x)$ is going to be an injective map from G to $\mathbb{Z}^{(\omega)}$.

While we are building G and h , we want to satisfy certain requirements, namely, ensuring that G is a computable group (we will denote these requirements by A_e for addition and I_e for inverses) and that this group satisfies the conditions of the theorem (we will denote these requirements by R_e). Before starting the construction, we fix an element $b \neq 0$ that will be mapped to $1 \in \mathbb{Z}^{(\omega)}$ during the construction. Also, for every e , we fix 2 witnesses for R_e , denoted by x_e and y_e . At the first stage s where we define $h_s(x_e)$ and $h_s(y_e)$, we define them by $0^{2e+1}1$ and $0^{2e+2}1$, respectively, and this will change only when we redefine h for the purposes of R_e . Let $\{\Phi_e\}_{e \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the standard enumeration of the 2-valued p.c. functions. The requirements that we want to satisfy for all $e \geq 0$ are the following:

A_e : If $e = \langle i, j \rangle$, there is an l such that $h(l) = h(i) + h(j)$

I_e : There is an element $-e$ such that $h(e) + h(-e) = 0$

R_e : If $\Phi_e(e) \downarrow = 0$ then $h(y_e) = h(x_e) + kh(b)$ for some even integer k and if $\Phi_e(e) \downarrow = 1$ then $h(y_e) = h(x_e) + kh(b)$ for some odd integer k .

Construction:

Stage 0: Let $G_0 = \{0, b\}$, $h_0(0) = 0$ and $h_0(b) = 1$.

Stage $s + 1$:

- If $s = \langle e, 3t + 1 \rangle$ for some $e, t \in \mathbb{N}$ and $e = \langle i, j \rangle$ for some $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, check whether

there is an l such that $h_s(l) = h_s(i) + h_s(j)$.

- If there is such an l , let $G_{s+1} = G_s$ and $h_{s+1}(g) = h_s(g)$ for all $g \in G_s$.
- If there is no such l , let l be the least natural number that has not been considered so far in the construction and set $G_{s+1} = G_s \cup \{l\}$, $h_{s+1}(l) = h_s(i) + h_s(j)$, and $h_{s+1}(g) = h_s(g)$ for all $g \in G_s$.
- If $s = \langle e, 3t + 2 \rangle$ for some $e, t \in \mathbb{N}$, check whether there is a $-e$ such that $h_s(e) + h_s(-e) = h_s(0)$.
 - If yes, let $G_{s+1} = G_s$ and $h_{s+1}(g) = h_s(g)$ for all $g \in G_s$.
 - If there is no such $-e$, let $-e$ be the least natural number that has not been considered so far in the construction and set $G_{s+1} = G_s \cup \{-e\}$, $h_{s+1}(-e) = -h_s(e)$ and $h_{s+1}(g) = h_s(g)$ for all $g \in G_s$.
- If $s = \langle e, 3t + 3 \rangle$ for some $e, t \in \mathbb{N}$ and
 - If $h_s(x_e)$ or $h_s(y_e)$ has not been defined, then let $h_{s+1}(x_e) = 0^{2e+1}1$ and $h_{s+1}(y_e) = 0^{2e+2}1$, $h_{s+1}(g) = h_s(g)$ for all $g \in G_s$ and let $G_{s+1} = G_s \cup \{x_e, y_e\}$.
 - If $h_s(x_e)$ and $h_s(y_e)$ have been defined and $\Phi_e(e)[s] \downarrow = 0$, let k be a fresh large even number such that $k > |(h_s(g_1))_1| + |(h_s(g_2))_1|$ for all $g_1, g_2 \in G_s$, where $(h_s(g))_1$ is the first coordinate of $h_s(g)$. Then let $G_{s+1} = G_s$ and for all $g \in G_s$, if $h_s(g) = (a_1, a_2, \dots)$, define

$$h_{s+1}(g) = (a_{2e+3}k + a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2e+2} + a_{2e+3}, 0, a_{2e+4}, \dots),$$

where $a_{2e+2} + a_{2e+3}$ is in the $2e+2$ position. If, instead, $\Phi_e(e)[s] \downarrow = 1$, choose

k to be a fresh large odd number such that $k > |(h_s(g_1))_1| + |(h_s(g_2))_1|$ for all $g_1, g_2 \in G_s$. Define $h_{s+1}(g)$ for all $g \in G_s$ as above.

The following claims show that this construction gives a group G that satisfies the theorem.

Claim 1. *For all $s \in \mathbb{N}$, if h_s is injective, then h_{s+1} is injective.*

Proof. Let h_s be injective and $g_1, g_2 \in G$. Let $h_s(g_1) = (a_1, a_2, \dots)$ and $h_s(g_2) = (b_1, b_2, \dots)$. Then $h_{s+1}(g_1) = (a_{2e+3}k + a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2e+2} + a_{2e+3}, 0, a_{2e+4}, \dots)$ and $h_{s+1}(g_2) = (b_{2e+3}k + b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{2e+2} + b_{2e+3}, 0, b_{2e+4}, \dots)$. Assume $h_{s+1}(g_1) = h_{s+1}(g_2)$. Then all the coordinates of $h_s(g_1)$ and $h_s(g_2)$ are equal, except possibly the first one, the $2e+2$ and the $2e+3$ one. We also have $a_{2e+3}k + a_1 = b_{2e+3}k + b_1$ and $a_{2e+2} + a_{2e+3} = b_{2e+2} + b_{2e+3}$. From there we get

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 - b_1 &= (b_{2e+2} - a_{2e+2})k \\ &= (b_{2e+3} - a_{2e+3})k \end{aligned}$$

and by our choice of k , this is only possible if $a_1 = b_1$, $a_{2e+2} = b_{2e+2}$ and $a_{2e+3} = b_{2e+3}$. Hence, $h_s(g_1) = h_s(g_2)$ and since h_s is injective, we have $g_1 = g_2$. Thus h_{s+1} is injective. $\square$

Claim 2. $h_s^{-1}(h_s(i) + h_s(j)) = h_{s+1}^{-1}(h_{s+1}(i) + h_{s+1}(j))$ for all $i, j \in G_s$.

Proof. This follows directly from Lemma 3.1 and Claim 1. $\square$

Claim 3. $h(x) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} h_s(x)$ exists for all $x \in G$.

Proof. We will show that for every $x \in G$, there are only finitely many stages s such that $h_{s+1}(x) \neq h_s(x)$ and then the claim follows. Denote the i th coordinate of $h_s(x)$ by $(h_s(x))_i$, for $i, s \in \mathbb{N}, i > 0$. Notice that for any $x \in G$, $h_{s+1}(x) \neq h_s(x)$ can only happen at stages $s + 1$ where we are considering some R_e , and the only coordinates that can change at that stage are $(h_s(x))_1, (h_s(x))_{2e+2}$ and $(h_s(x))_{2e+3}$. We are going to refer to $(h_s(x))_{2e+2}$ and $(h_s(x))_{2e+3}$ as the e -relevant coordinates. Notice that if all the e -relevant coordinates are 0 then $h_{s+1}(x) = h_s(x)$.

Let $J > 0$ be the index of the rightmost nonzero coordinate of $h_s(x)$. Then any $R_{e'}$ such that $2e' + 2 > J$ will not affect $h_s(x)$ since all the e' -relevant coordinates are 0. Hence there are only finitely many requirements that can change $h_s(x)$. Once a requirement is satisfied, we never consider it again, so $h_s(x)$ changes at most finitely many times.

□

Claim 4. h is injective.

Proof. This follows from Claim 1 and Claim 3.

□

Now, since

$$h_{s+1}(0) = h_{s+1}(0, 0, 0, \dots) = (0k + 0, 0, 0, \dots, 0 + 0, 0, 0, \dots) = (0, 0, 0, \dots)$$

$$h_{s+1}(b) = h_{s+1}(h_s^{-1}(1, 0, 0, \dots)) = (0k + 1, 0, 0, \dots, 0 + 0, 0, 0, \dots) = (1, 0, 0, \dots),$$

the values of $h(0)$ and $h(b)$ never change throughout the construction, so we have $h(0) = 0, h(b) = 1$.

Similarly, for every s we have, $h_{s+1}(x_e) = h_s(x_e)$ and $h_{s+1}(y_e) = h_{s+1}(x_e) + kh_{s+1}(b)$ for some positive integer k , and hence $h(y_e) = h(x_e) + kh(b)$.

Claim 5. G as defined above satisfies the conditions of the theorem.

Proof. G is a computable abelian group since for all $i, j \in G$, $i + j, -i, -j$ are defined at some stage and to compute $i + j$, we only need to find the first stage s at which $h_s^{-1}(h_s(i) + h_s(j))$ is defined. Let $c : G \rightarrow 2$ be a 2-coloring of G with no monochromatic solutions to $x - y = b$. Define a function g in the following way:

$$g(e) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } c(x_e) = c(y_e) \\ 1, & \text{if } c(x_e) \neq c(y_e) \end{cases}.$$

If $\Phi_e(e) \downarrow$, then there is a stage s at which $\Phi_e(e)[s] \downarrow$. Hence there is an integer k such that $h(y_e) = h(x_e) + kh(b)$. Since c has no monochromatic solutions to $x - y = b$, it follows that $c(x + b) \neq c(x)$ for any $x \in G$. Thus, if k is even, we have $c(x_e) = c(x_e + kb) = c(y_e)$ and hence, $g(e) = 0 = \Phi_e(e)$. Similarly, if k is odd, it must be the case that $c(x_e) \neq c(y_e)$ and hence, $g(e) = 1 = \Phi_e(e)$. We conclude that c computes a $\{0, 1\}$ -valued extension of the function $e \mapsto \Phi_e(e)$, so c has PA degree. $\square$

Thus, we have built a group G that is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}^{(\omega)}$. We claim that G is infinitely generated. Assume otherwise, and let $g_1, \dots, g_l$ be the generators. Let L be the maximal nonzero coordinate in $h(g_1), \dots, h(g_l)$. By our construction, we will eventually add an element g to our group such that the maximal nonzero coordinate of $h(g)$ is $> L$. Then g cannot be written as a linear combination of $g_1, \dots, g_l$. Hence G is infinitely generated and since all infinitely generated subgroups of $\mathbb{Z}^{(\omega)}$ are isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^{(\omega)}$, we get that, in fact, our group G is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^{(\omega)}$. $\square$

Note that if the 2-colorings of an abelian group G are represented by a binary tree, then we can search through the tree and cut all branches where we find a monochromatic solution to $x - y = b$. Thus, we can find an infinite path in this tree that will represent a coloring with no monochromatic solutions to the equation $x - y = b$ and PA degrees compute these paths. Hence the PA degrees are the *best possible bound* for this problem. Similarly, since the PA degrees compute paths in k -branching trees for a fixed integer $k > 2$, we get that the PA degrees are an upper bound for the case $n \geq 2$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}, n > 0$. For every computable abelian group G and every $b \in G, b \neq 0$, there is a k -coloring of PA degree with no pairwise monochromatic solutions to*

$$(x_1 - y_1) + \cdots + (x_n - y_n) = b,$$

where

$$k = \begin{cases} 2n & \text{if } 2 \mid \text{ord}(b) \text{ or } \text{ord}(b) = \infty \\ \left\lceil \frac{2np}{p-1} \right\rceil & \text{if } \text{ord}(b) \text{ is odd and } p \text{ is the largest prime divisor of } \text{ord}(b) \end{cases}.$$

We point out that Theorem 3.1 gives the best possible result of this sort:

Proposition 3.2. *Let $n > 2, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for every computable abelian group G , there is a computable n -coloring c with no monochromatic solutions to $x - y = b$, where $b \in G, b \neq 0$.*

Proof. Let $G = \{g_1, g_2, g_3, \dots\}$ be a computable abelian group. It suffices to show that the claim holds for $n = 3$. Let c_1, c_2, c_3 be three different colors. Color g_1 with

c_1 . If $|g_1 - g_2| = b$, color g_2 with c_2 . If not, color g_2 with c_1 . Continue in a similar manner. For g_k , check whether $|g_k - g_i| = b$ for all $i < k$. There are three situations that can occur:

- There is exactly one $i < k$ such that $|g_k - g_i| = b$. Then color g_k with a different color than g_i .
- There is no such $i < k$. Then color g_k with c_1 .
- There are two $i_1, i_2 < k$ such that $|g_k - g_{i_1}| = b$ and $|g_k - g_{i_2}| = b$. In this case, color g_k with a different color than g_1 and g_2 . This is possible since we have 3 colors.

□

As we mentioned previously, the same exact proof applies for the Straus* theorem with the additional caveat that we also need a mapping from G to G . We can take this mapping to be the identity mapping, and in particular, it is computable.

Theorem 3.1*. *There is a computable abelian group $(G, +, 0)$, an element $b \in G, b \neq 0$ and a computable mapping $f_1 : G \rightarrow G$ such that, if c is a 2-coloring of G for which the equation*

$$f_1(x) - f_1(y) = b$$

has no monochromatic solutions, then c has PA degree.

The * version of Proposition 3.1 also holds, so we get that the PA degrees are the best possible computability-theoretic bounds for the Straus* theorem in the case $n = 1$.

3.2 The Case $n \geq 2$

If we add the additional condition that there is an $m > 0$ such that for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, all the kmb are colored with the same color, we get that the PA degrees are a lower bound for the case $n \geq 2$. The idea of the proof is similar to the case $n = 1$. By adding this condition, we know the behaviour of any $2n$ -coloring with no pairwise monochromatic solutions to $(x_1 - y_1) + \cdots + (x_n - y_n) = b$. Namely, if x_1 and y_1 are such that $|x_1 - y_1| = (km + 1)b$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, then they have to be colored with a different color. Otherwise, these x_1 and y_1 together with any x_2 and y_2 such that $|x_2 - y_2| = kmb$ are going to give a pairwise monochromatic solution to the equation $(x_1 - y_1) + \cdots + (x_n - y_n) = b$ for any $n \geq 2$.

Adding this additional condition is not arbitrary – it is shown in the original proof of Straus’ theorem. This proof heavily relies on the algebraic structure of the group and Theorem 3.2 suggests that any other similar algebraic proofs will also give us PA degrees. However, it would be very interesting to see whether there is a combinatorial proof, which does not rely on the algebra, that allows us to build simpler witnesses for Straus’ original theorem.

Figure 3.3: The behavior of a $2n$ -coloring with no pairwise monochromatic solutions to the equation $(x_1 - y_1) + \cdots + (x_n - y_n) = b$ under an additional condition



Theorem 3.2. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2$. There is a computable group $(G, +, 0)$ and an element $b \in G, b \neq 0$ such that, if c is a $2n$ -coloring of G for which the equation*

$$(x_1 - y_1) + \cdots + (x_n - y_n) = b$$

has no pairwise monochromatic solutions and there is an $m > 0$ such that for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, all the kmb are colored with the same color, then c has PA degree.

Proof. Similarly to the previous theorem, we are going to build such a group G in stages and at every stage s , we will define G_s and an injective mapping $h_s : G_s \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{(\omega)}$ such that $h_s^{-1}(h_s(i) + h_s(j)) = h_{s+1}^{-1}(h_{s+1}(i) + h_{s+1}(j))$ for all $i, j \in G_s$. Then $G = \bigcup G_s$. At the beginning of the construction, we fix an element $b \neq 0$ that will be sent to $1 \in \mathbb{Z}^{(\omega)}$ during the construction. Also, for every e , we fix $2n+1$ witnesses $x_{ei}, i = 1, \dots, 2n+1$, that will be sent to $0^{(e-1)(2n+1)+i-1}1$ during the construction at the first stage they are defined. Let $\{\Phi_e\}_{e \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the standard enumeration of the $\binom{2n+1}{2}$ -valued p.c. functions. Since we want to ensure that the group we are building is computable, the requirements A_e and I_e are exactly as before:

A_e : If $e = \langle i, j \rangle$, there is an l such that $h(l) = h(i) + h(j)$

I_e : There is an element $-e$ such that $h(e) + h(-e) = h(0)$

R_e : Fix a bijection from $\{0, 1, \dots, \binom{2n+1}{2}\}$ to $\{(x_{ei}, x_{ej}) | 1 \leq i \leq j \leq 2n+1\}$.

Let (x_{ei_1}, x_{ei_2}) be the image of i under this bijection. If $\Phi_e(e) \downarrow = i$, then there is an integer k such that $h(x_{ei_2}) = h(x_{ei_1}) + (mk + 1)h(b)$.

Construction:

Stage 0: Let $G_0 = \{0, b\}$, $h_0(0) = 0$ and $h_0(b) = 1$.

Stage $s + 1$:

- If $s = \langle e, 3t + 1 \rangle$ or $s = \langle e, 3t + 2 \rangle$ for some $e, t \in \mathbb{N}$ and $e = \langle i, j \rangle$ for some $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, we proceed in the same manner as before.
- If $s = \langle e, 3t + 3 \rangle$ for some $e, t \in \mathbb{N}$ and
 - If there exists a $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2n + 1\}$ such that $h_s(x_{ej})$ has not been defined, then let $h_{s+1}(x_{ej}) = 0^{(e-1)(2n+1)+j-1}1$ for all such j and $G_{s+1} = G_s \cup \{x_{e1}, \dots, x_{e(2n+1)}\}$.
 - If $h_s(x_{ej})$ has been defined for all $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2n + 1\}$ and $\Phi_e(e)[s] \downarrow = i$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \binom{2n+1}{2}\}$, let j_1 and j_2 be the positions of the 1 in x_{ei_1} and x_{ei_2} , respectively. Let k be a fresh large number $k > |(h_s(g_1))_1| + |(h_s(g_2))_1|$ for all $g_1, g_2 \in G_s$, where $(h_s(g))_1$ is the first coordinate of $h_s(g)$. Then for all $g \in G_s$, if $h_s(g) = (a_1, a_2, \dots)$, define

$$h_{s+1}(g) = ((mk + 1)a_{j_2} + a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{j_1} + a_{j_2}, a_{j_1+1}, \dots, 0, a_{j_2+1}, \dots),$$

where $a_{j_1} + a_{j_2}$ is at the j_1 position and the j_2 position is 0. Let $G_{s+1} = G_s$.

The following claims are exactly as in the previous theorem and the proofs are very similar so we will omit them.

Claim 6. $h_s^{-1}(h_s(i) + h_s(j)) = h_{s+1}^{-1}(h_{s+1}(i) + h_{s+1}(j))$ for all $i, j \in G_s$.

Claim 7. $h(x) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} h_s(x)$ exists for all $x \in G$.

Claim 8. h is injective.

The only claim left to prove is the following:

Claim 9. $G = \bigcup G_s$ as defined by this construction satisfies the conditions of the theorem.

Proof. G is a computable abelian group for the same reasons as above. Let c be a coloring of G with no pairwise monochromatic solutions to (1). Define a function $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \{0, 1, 2, \dots, \binom{2n+1}{2}\}$ in the following way:

$$g(e) = \text{the least } i \text{ such that } c(x_{ei_1}) = c(x_{ei_2}).$$

Such an i exists since for every e , we have $2n+1$ witnesses and these are enough to ensure that we have a monochromatic pair among them. Assume $\Phi_e(e) \downarrow = i$. Then there is a large k such that $h(x_{ei_2}) = h(x_{ei_1}) + (mk+1)h(b)$. Now, by our assumption, mkb and $2mkb$ are colored with the same color, so these, together with x_{ei_1} and x_{ei_2} give a pairwise monochromatic solution to (1). Hence, for all e , if $\Phi_e(e) \downarrow$, then $\Phi_e(e) \neq g(e)$. Thus g is a $\binom{2n+1}{2}$ -bounded DNC function, so c has PA degree. $\square$

Similarly to the previous theorem, we get that the constructed group G is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^{(\omega)}$.

$\square$

Using similar reasoning as before, the PA degrees are the best possible bounds for this problem.

However, the version of Proposition 3.2 corresponding to Theorem 3.2 no longer holds. Instead we get a generalized version of Theorem 3.2 that works for every finite

coloring. Following a similar proof, we show the existence of a computable abelian group such that every finite coloring that satisfies the same conditions as in Theorem 3.2 has PA degree.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \geq 2$. There is a computable abelian group $(G, +, 0)$ and an element $b \in G, b \neq 0$ such that, if c is a finite coloring of G for which the equation*

$$(x_1 - y_1) + \cdots + (x_n - y_n) = b$$

has no pairwise monochromatic solutions and there is an $m > 0$ such that for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, all the kmb are colored with the same color, then c has PA degree.

Proof. The proof is very similar to the proof of the previous theorem, so we will just point out the differences. The main difference is that we want the theorem to hold for all $N \geq 1$ at the same time. Towards this, for every $e \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $N \geq 1$, we fix $N + 1$ witnesses to ensure that there is at least one monochromatic pair among them. Notice that for $N < 2n$, there is no N -coloring that satisfies the conditions of the theorem, so we will only consider $N \geq 2n$. We will have different requirements R_e for all N and since these will now depend on N as well, we will denote them by $R_{\langle e, N \rangle}$. They will be exactly the same as before, with $2n$ replaced by N . The proof now follows in a very similar way. However, instead of obtaining one $\binom{2n+1}{2}$ -bounded DNC function, we will have a different $\binom{N+1}{2}$ -bounded DNC function for every $N \geq 2n$. $\square$

Similarly to Theorem 3.1*, by taking $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n$ to be the identity mapping, we obtain * versions of Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3.

Theorem 3.2*. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2$. There is a computable group $(G, +, 0)$, an element $b \in G, b \neq 0$ and computable mappings $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n$ such that, if c is a $2n$ -coloring of G for which the equation*

$$(f_1(x_1) - f_1(y_1)) + \dots + (f_n(x_n) - f_n(y_n)) = b$$

has no pairwise monochromatic solutions and there is an $m > 0$ such that for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, all the kmb are colored with the same color, then c has PA degree.

Theorem 3.3*. *Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \geq 2$. There is a computable abelian group $(G, +, 0)$, an element $b \in G, b \neq 0$ and computable mappings $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n$ such that, if c is a finite coloring of G for which the equation*

$$(f_1(x_1) - f_1(y_1)) + \dots + (f_n(x_n) - f_n(y_n)) = b$$

has no pairwise monochromatic solutions and there is an $m > 0$ such that for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, all the kmb are colored with the same color, then c has PA degree.

The PA degrees are again the best possible bounds for Straus* in the case $n \geq 2$ with this additional condition added.

Chapter 4

The Reverse Mathematics of Straus' Theorem

In this chapter, we will investigate the reverse mathematics of Straus' theorem. The PA degrees as bounds in the previous theorems already indicate that Straus' theorem might be equivalent to WKL_0 over RCA_0 . Towards this, in Theorem 4.1 we show that the case $n = 1$ is indeed equivalent to WKL_0 . Then in Theorem 4.2 we show one direction of the equivalence, namely, that WKL_0 implies the full Straus' theorem over RCA_0 . Lastly, in Section 4.3, we give the reverse-mathematical versions of Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.4.

As previously, by $*$ we denote the generalized versions of our theorems that apply to the Straus $*$ theorem.

4.1 The Case $n = 1$

Theorem 4.1. *The following statements are equivalent over RCA_0 :*

- (i) WKL_0
- (ii) *For every abelian group G and every element $b \in G, b \neq 0$, there is a k -coloring of G for which the equation $x - y = b$ has no monochromatic solutions, where*

$$k = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } 2 \mid \text{ord}(b) \text{ or } \text{ord}(b) = \infty \\ 3 & \text{if } \text{ord}(b) \text{ is odd} \end{cases}.$$

Proof. Assume WKL_0 . Let $G = \{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$ be an abelian group and let $b \in G, b \neq 0$ be an element of infinite order. Consider the tree T of all 2-colorings of G with no monochromatic solutions to $x - y = b$, where every level of the tree represents an element of G . We need to show that this tree is infinite, and then by an application of Weak König's lemma, the statement (ii) follows for the case when $\text{ord}(b) = \infty$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}, m > 0$, consider the set $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$ and consider the following graph on m vertices. Let the vertices of the graph be $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$ and say a_i and a_j for $i, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ are connected if and only if $|a_i - a_j| = b$. Notice that for every $a_i, i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, there are at most two elements $a_j, a_k \in \{a_1, a_1, \dots, a_m\} \setminus \{a_i\}$ that are connected to it. We will show that this graph contains no loops. Assume $a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_l}$ where $l, i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ form a loop in this exact order (a_{i_1} is connected to a_{i_2} , which is connected to a_{i_3} and so on). Without loss of generality, assume that $a_{i_1} - a_{i_2} = b$. Then it must be the case that $a_{i_2} - a_{i_3} = b, a_{i_3} - a_{i_4} =$

$b, \dots, a_{i_{l-1}} - a_{i_l} = b, a_{i_l} - a_{i_1} = b$. This is a contradiction since if we add the left hand sides and right hand sides of these equations, we get $0 = lb$, but b has infinite order. Now we can 2-color $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m$ such that there are no monochromatic solutions to $x - y = b$ by coloring any two connected elements with different colors. Thus T has an element of length m for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, so it is infinite. Hence, by Weak König's lemma, T has an infinite path, i.e. a 2-coloring of G with no monochromatic solutions to $x - y = b$.

The cases when $\text{ord}(b)$ is finite are similar. In the even case, there might be loops on an even amount of vertices, but this will not cause a problem since we can always color such a loop with two colors so that no two neighboring vertices have the same color. In the odd case, there might be loops on an odd number of vertices, which can always be colored with three colors so that no two neighboring vertices have the same color.

For the other direction, assume (ii). Notice that in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we showed that $h(x) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} h_s(x)$ exists, but, even though it made the proof more convenient, that was not necessary for the construction. With that in mind, it is not hard to see that the whole proof can be carried out in RCA_0 . Now, consider two Σ_1^0 formulas $\varphi_0(n)$ and $\varphi_1(n)$ in which X does not occur freely, such that $\neg \exists n(\varphi_0(n) \wedge \varphi_1(n))$. We can use the same construction as in Theorem 3.1, but instead of acting when $\Phi_e(e)$ converges for R_e , we act at stages when we see that $\varphi_0(e)$ or $\varphi_1(e)$ holds. Then the set $X = \{e \mid c(x_e) = c(y_e)\}$ is a separator for φ_0 and φ_1 and hence (ii) implies the Σ_1^0 -separation principle, which is equivalent to WKL_0 . $\square$

Theorem 4.1*. *The following statements are equivalent over RCA_0 :*

(i) WKL_0

(ii) *For every abelian group G , every element $b \in G, b \neq 0$ and all mappings $f : G \rightarrow G$, there is a k -coloring of G for which the equation $f(x) - f(y) = b$ has no monochromatic solutions, where*

$$k = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } 2 \mid \text{ord}(b) \text{ or } \text{ord}(b) = \infty \\ 3 & \text{if } \text{ord}(b) \text{ is odd} \end{cases}.$$

It is worth noting that if $\text{ord}(b)$ is finite, then (ii) in Theorem 4.1 holds computably, so we have the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1. *For every computable abelian group G and every element $b \in G, b \neq 0$ of finite order, there is a computable k -coloring of G for which the equation $x - y = b$ has no monochromatic solutions, where*

$$k = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } \text{ord}(b) \text{ is even} \\ 3 & \text{if } \text{ord}(b) \text{ is odd} \end{cases}.$$

4.2 The Full Straus' Theorem

In the following section, we investigate the reverse mathematics of the full Straus' theorem. The reader might notice that the case $n = 1$ is included in the following theorem, even though we already showed this implication in Theorem 4.1. However, the proofs of these theorems are different and the proof of Theorem 4.4 closely follows

Straus' original proof sketched below.

The proof of Theorem 4.4 suggests that any other proof that relies on the algebraic structure will be similar. However, we cannot exclude the possibility that there might be a combinatorial proof that would indicate lower bounds for the case $n \geq 2$, which would be very interesting to see.

Theorem 4.2. *Over RCA_0 , WKL_0 implies the following statement:*

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 1$. For every abelian group $(G, +, 0)$ and every $b \in G, b \neq 0$, there is a k -coloring of G such that the equation

$$(x_1 - y_1) + \cdots + (x_n - y_n) = b$$

has no pairwise monochromatic solutions, where

$$k = \begin{cases} 2n & \text{if } 2 \mid \text{ord}(b) \text{ or } \text{ord}(b) = \infty \\ \left\lceil \frac{2np}{p-1} \right\rceil & \text{if } \text{ord}(b) \text{ is odd and } p \text{ is the largest prime divisor of } \text{ord}(b) \end{cases}.$$

Proof. We start with a sketch of the proof of Straus' theorem since our proof will follow the same idea. We will skip the algebraic details of the proof that we do not directly use. We refer the reader to [8], [14], [20] for a deeper dive into these topics.

Sketch of the Proof of Straus' Theorem. Let B be a subgroup of G that is maximal subject to not containing b , and form the quotient G/B . Let $\bar{b}$ be the class of b in this quotient group. By maximality, every nontrivial subgroup of G/B must contain $\bar{b}$. It follows that every element of G has finite order. Thus $\bar{b}$ has prime order p and

G/B is a p -group in which every finitely generated subgroup is cyclic. Hence, if G/B is finite, it is cyclic of order p^n and if it is infinite, it is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty}$. In either case, it is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$.

If $p = 2$, identify $\bar{b}$ with $\frac{1}{2}$ in $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ and color $[0, 1)$ by a $2n$ -coloring c so that each interval $\left[\frac{i-1}{2n}, \frac{i}{2n}\right)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ is colored with a different color. Then if $c(\bar{x}) = c(\bar{y})$, we have $|\bar{x} - \bar{y}| < \frac{1}{2n}$ and hence if the equation $\sum_{i=1}^n (\bar{x}_i - \bar{y}_i) = \bar{b}$ has such a solution, it follows that

$$\frac{1}{2} = |\bar{b}| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n |\bar{x}_i - \bar{y}_i| < \frac{1}{2},$$

a contradiction. If $p > 2$, identify $\bar{b}$ with $\frac{p-1}{2p}$ and color $[0, 1)$ by a coloring c with $\left\lceil \frac{2np}{p-1} \right\rceil$ colors so that each interval $\left[\frac{(i-1)(p-1)}{2np}, \min\left\{\frac{i(p-1)}{2np}, 1\right\}\right)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ is colored with a different color. In this case, too, we get a contradiction. The desired coloring of G is the coloring where every element $x \in G$ is colored with the color of its class $\bar{x}$.

Notice that for every prime $p \mid \text{ord}(b)$, we can choose B to contain pb as well as all elements whose order is prime to p , and since we are modding out by B , all of those elements will be colored with the same color.

We now proceed with the proof of our theorem. Consider the k -branching tree of all k -colorings of G with no pairwise monochromatic solutions to equation (2.1), where every level of the tree represents an element of G . We want to show that this tree is infinite and then by an application of Weak König's Lemma, the claim follows.

Let $G_m = \{0, b, pb, a_3, \dots, a_{m-1}\} \subset G$ be closed under inverses, where $p = 2$ if

$\text{ord}(b)$ is even or infinite, and p is the largest prime divisor of $\text{ord}(b)$, otherwise. We will call G_m a partial group, where the addition on G_m is defined the same way as the addition in G and for all $x, y \in G_m$, $x + y$ is defined if and only if $x + y \in G_m$. We extend G_m to G'_m to satisfy the following condition: for all $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2 \in G_m$, $(x_1 - y_1) + (x_2 - y_2) \in G'_m$. To achieve this, start with G_m , close under addition once, then close under inverses and finally, close under addition again. Call this partial group G'_m . We say that B is a partial subgroup of G_m if $B \subset G_m$ and is closed under the partial addition in G_m . Clearly, if B is a partial subgroup of G_m then it is a partial subgroup of G'_m . We want to choose a partial subgroup B that contains all multiples of pb , that is maximal subject to not containing b and such that for any $b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4 \in B$, $(b_1 - b_2) + (b_3 - b_4) \neq b$. Note that there is at least one such partial subgroup, the group of all multiples of pb , although it is not necessarily maximal. Consider all such partial subgroups of G_m and form the partial quotients that we will denote by G'_m/B in the usual way. If $a \in G'_m$, denote the class of a in the quotient by $\bar{a}$. We say that two classes $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in G'_m/B$ are equal if they have a nonempty intersection. We check whether G'_m/B is a partial group. Assume not. Then there are elements $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in G'_m$ such that $\bar{x}_1 = \bar{x}_2$ and $\bar{y}_1 = \bar{y}_2$ but $\bar{x}_1 + \bar{y}_1 \neq \bar{x}_2 + \bar{y}_2$. From this we get that $x_1 - x_2 \in B$, $y_1 - y_2 \in B$, so $(x_1 - x_2) + (y_1 - y_2)$ is in the group generated by B but $(x_1 - x_2) + (y_1 - y_2) \notin B$. Since we want $b \notin B$, we have $(x_1 - x_2) + (y_1 - y_2) \neq b$. However, by our construction of G'_m , $(x_1 - x_2) + (y_1 - y_2) \in G'_m$ so $(x_1 - x_2) + (y_1 - y_2)$ together with some elements of B satisfies $(b_1 - b_2) + (b_3 - b_4) = b$. Hence, we can discard B as a partial subgroup that satisfies our conditions. After we are left with all partial subgroups that satisfy

the conditions, choose one that is maximal.

Claim 1. $\bar{b}$ is in every nontrivial partial subgroup of G_m/B .

Proof. Let M/B be a nontrivial partial subgroup of G_m/B and $\bar{b} \notin M/B$. Then $b \notin M$ and $B \subset M$, which contradicts the maximality of B . $\square$

Claim 2. $\bar{b}$ has finite order.

Proof. Since we chose B such that $pb \in B$, we have $p\bar{b} = \bar{0}$ and hence $\bar{b}$ has finite order. $\square$

Claim 3. Every element of G_m/B has finite order.

Proof. If $\bar{a} \neq \bar{0} \in G_m/B$, then $\bar{b} \in \langle \bar{a} \rangle$. Hence there is an $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\bar{b} = k\bar{a}$, and since $\bar{b}$ has finite order, $\bar{a}$ has finite order. $\square$

Now extend G_m/B by taking all linear combinations of elements in G_m/B and call the extension G_m^*/B . This is possible since every element of G_m/B has finite order. Note that this does not change the class of b , except by possibly adding some elements to it. If G_m^*/B is a group, proceed with the proof. If not, we discard B as a possible candidate and start the construction all over until we get a B which satisfies all of our conditions including G_m^*/B being a group.

Claim 4. RCA_0 proves the following theorem: Let p be a prime number and G a group such that $|G| = n$. Then $p|n$ if and only if there is an element g of G (and hence a subgroup) such that $\text{ord}(g) = p$.

Proof. This statement follows from theorems by Lagrange and Cauchy that are standard in abstract algebra and can be found in [8]. $\square$

Claim 5. *The order of $\bar{b}$ is prime and, in particular, $\text{ord}(\bar{b}) = p$.*

Proof. Assume not and let $\text{ord}(\bar{b}) = p_1 p_2 m$, where p_1 and p_2 are prime and m is a positive integer. Then $p_1 \mid |G_m^*/B|$ so there is an element $\bar{g} \in G_m^*/B$ such that $\text{ord}(\bar{g}) = p_1$. Hence $|\langle \bar{g} \rangle| = p_1$ and $\bar{b} \in \langle \bar{g} \rangle$, a contradiction.

Now, $\text{ord}(\bar{b}) = p$ since if there is another $kb \in B$ such that $1 < k < p$, then we would be able to eventually get $b \in B$, which contradicts the choice of B . $\square$

Claim 6. *G_m^*/B is a p -group.*

Proof. Assume $|G_m^*/B| = p^k p_1$, where $p_1 \neq p$. Then the claim follows by the same reasoning as the previous one. $\square$

Claim 7. *G_m^*/B is cyclic.*

Proof. Let $x \in G_m^*/B$ be an element of maximal order, say p^{n_1} . Then the order of $\langle p^{n_1-1}x \rangle$ is p . Since $H = \langle \bar{b} \rangle$ is contained in every subgroup and its order is p , it follows that $H = \langle p^{n_1-1}x \rangle$. Let $y \in G_m^*/B$ be such that $y \notin \langle x \rangle$ and y is of minimal order, say p^{n_2} . Then there is a $0 < k < p$ such that $p^{n_2-1}y = kp^{n_1-1}x$. From there we get $p^{n_2-1}(y - kp^{n_1-n_2}x) = 0$. Now, by minimality of n_2 , it must be that $y - kp^{n_1-n_2}x \in \langle x \rangle$, so $y \in \langle x \rangle$ and hence G_m^*/B is cyclic. $\square$

We are now going to define a partial homomorphism from G_m^*/B to $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$. If $p = 2$, send $\bar{b}$ to $\frac{1}{2}$ and if $p > 2$ send $\bar{b}$ to $\frac{p-1}{2p}$. In each case, color $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ by c with $2n$ or $\left\lceil \frac{2np}{p-1} \right\rceil$ colors the same way as it is colored in the original proof of Straus' theorem. For all $x \in G_m$, let $c(x) = c(\bar{x})$. Now, if we assume $c(x) = c(y)$, then in each case we get a contradiction.

Thus, for every m , there is a k -coloring of G_m with no pairwise monochromatic solutions to (1). This means that our tree is infinite and the claim follows from Weak König's Lemma. $\square$

Theorem 4.2*. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 1$. Then over RCA_0 , WKL_0 implies the following statement:*

For every abelian group $(G, +, 0)$, every $b \in G, b \neq 0$ and all mappings $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n$ with m of them being distinct, there is a k -coloring of G such that the equation

$$(f_1(x_1) - f_1(y_1) + \dots + (f_n(x_n) - f_n(y_n))) = b$$

has no pairwise monochromatic solutions, where

$$k = \begin{cases} (2n)^m & \text{if } 2 \mid \text{ord}(b) \text{ or } \text{ord}(b) = \infty \\ \left\lceil \frac{2np}{p-1} \right\rceil^m & \text{if } \text{ord}(b) \text{ is odd and } p \text{ is the largest prime divisor of } \text{ord}(b) \end{cases}.$$

4.3 The Case $n \geq 2$ with an Additional Condition

In the following theorem, we investigate the reverse mathematical version of Theorem 3.2. The statements $(iii)_{N, N \geq k}$ form an infinite schema of statements for every $N \in \mathbb{N}, N \geq k$, where k is as stated below.

Theorem 4.3. *The following statements are equivalent over RCA_0 :*

- (i) WKL_0
- (ii) *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2$. Let $(G, +, 0)$ be an abelian group and $b \in G, b \neq 0$. Then*

there is a k -coloring of G with no pairwise monochromatic solutions to

$$(x_1 - y_1) + \cdots + (x_n - y_n) = b$$

that satisfies the following condition: there is an $m > 0$ such that for every $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, all the lmb are colored with the same color, where

$$k = \begin{cases} 2n & \text{if } 2 \mid \text{ord}(b) \text{ or } \text{ord}(b) = \infty \\ \left\lceil \frac{2np}{p-1} \right\rceil & \text{if } \text{ord}(b) \text{ is odd and } p \text{ is the largest prime divisor of } \text{ord}(b) \end{cases}.$$

(iii) _{$N, N \geq k$} Let $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2$. Let $(G, +, 0)$ be an abelian group and $b \in G, b \neq 0$.

Then there is an N -coloring of G with no pairwise monochromatic solutions to

$$(x_1 - y_1) + \cdots + (x_n - y_n) = b$$

that satisfies the following condition: there is an $m > 0$ such that for every $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, all the lmb are colored with the same color, where

$$k = \begin{cases} 2n & \text{if } 2 \mid \text{ord}(b) \text{ or } \text{ord}(b) = \infty \\ \left\lceil \frac{2np}{p-1} \right\rceil & \text{if } \text{ord}(b) \text{ is odd and } p \text{ is the largest prime divisor of } \text{ord}(b) \end{cases}.$$

Proof. The fact that (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) _{N} is trivial since if you have a k -coloring that satisfies the conditions above, that coloring can be considered an N -coloring for every $N \geq k$. Using Theorem 4.2 and the fact that Straus' theorem proves the additional condition

in (ii), we get (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Finally, using similar reasoning as in Theorem 4.1, (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) and (iii)_N $\Rightarrow$ (i) follow from the proof of Theorem 3.2 and the fact that WKL_0 is equivalent to the existence of a DNC_k function for a fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 2$. $\square$

Next, we give a reverse-mathematical version of Theorem 3.3.

Theorem 4.4. *The following statements are equivalent over RCA_0 :*

(i) WKL_0

(ii) *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2$. Let $(G, +, 0)$ be an abelian group and $b \in G, b \neq 0$. Then there is a finite coloring of G with no pairwise monochromatic solutions to*

$$(x_1 - y_1) + \cdots + (x_n - y_n) = b$$

that satisfies the following condition: there is an $m > 0$ such that for every $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, all the lmb are colored with the same color.

Proof. From Theorem 4.3, we have that (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). The other direction follows directly from the proof of Theorem 3.3 together with Lemma 2.3. $\square$

As previously, the * versions of these theorems hold as well.

Chapter 5

The Path Ahead

As mentioned before, this paper is the first paper that analyzes partition regularity over algebraic structures from a computability-theoretic and reverse-mathematical point of view. That means that there are many interesting directions for future research and some pressing open questions. Here are some of them.

5.1 Optimal bounds for the full Straus' theorem

While we have made significant progress on the computability-theoretic and reverse-mathematical bounds of different cases of Straus' Theorem, there are some questions regarding the full theorem that are still open.

Open Question 5.1.1. Are the PA degrees the best possible computability-theoretic bound for the full Straus' theorem? If not, what are the best possible bounds?

Towards this, proposition 3.1 says that the PA degrees are an upper bound.

On the reverse mathematics side, the following question is still open.

Open Question 5.1.2. Is the full Straus' theorem equivalent to WKL_0 over RCA_0 ?

Theorem 4.2 gives us one direction of this possible equivalence.

5.2 Reverse mathematics over RCA_0^*

Throughout the thesis, we work over the standard weak base system of reverse mathematics RCA_0 . However, we can work over weaker systems that can further reveal connections and subtleties between mathematical statements. One such system is RCA_0^* . The system RCA_0^* consists of the same axioms as RCA_0 but with weaker induction instead of Σ_0^1 -induction. In particular, we replace Σ_0^1 -induction with Σ_0^0 -induction, we add a symbol for exponentiation in the language of second-order arithmetic, and we add the rules for exponentiation to our first-order axioms.

An important thing to note is that RCA_0^* does not prove Π_0^1 -induction and this is something we relied on in Lemma 2.3, and therefore, Theorem 4.4. Thus, we have the following open questions.

Open Question 5.2.1. Does Lemma 2.3 hold over RCA_0^* ?

Open Question 5.2.2. Does Theorem 4.4 hold over RCA_0^* ?

5.3 Computability-theoretic and reverse-mathematical analysis of the Leader-Russell theorem

A computability-theoretic and reverse-mathematical analysis of the Leader-Russell theorem is a natural next step to make. If Theorem 3.1 could be translated to a statement about computable rings, then we would have a result that states that the Leader-Russell theorem does not hold computably. However, the proofs that we have for these theorems do not immediately generalize to commutative rings and the question of obtaining a commutative ring from an infinitely generated abelian group seems to be a very deep algebraic question. That suggests that we might need a completely new construction of a computable commutative ring for which all the colorings with monochromatic solutions to a well-chosen system of equations are noncomputable.

Open Question 5.3.1. What are the best possible computability-theoretic bounds for the Leader-Russell theorem? What about the reverse-mathematical bounds?

5.4 Other more refined reducibilities

The proofs of Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3 heavily rely on Jockusch's theorem that says that the DNC_k degrees coincide with the PA degrees. These notions are equivalent when considering Turing reducibility, which is the most widely used measure of complexity in computability theory. There are other finer reducibilities, however, for which the DNC_k degrees are different for every $k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 2$. One

example is the Weihrauch degrees.

Definition 5.4.1. Let φ and ψ be two arithmetic formulas in second-order arithmetic. A principle P of the form

$$(\forall X)[\varphi(X) \rightarrow (\exists Y)\psi(X, Y)]$$

is called a Π_2^1 -*principle* or a Π_2^1 -*problem*. Every X for which $\varphi(X)$ holds is called an *instance* of P and given X , any Y that satisfies $\psi(X, Y)$ is called a *solution* to X .

Definition 5.4.2. Let P and Q be two Π_2^1 -problems. We say that P is *Weihrauch reducible* to Q , denoted by $P \leq_W Q$, if there are Turing functionals Φ and Ψ such that for every instance X of P , $\hat{X} = \Phi^X$ is an instance of Q and for every solution $\hat{Y}$ to $\hat{X}$, $\Psi^{X \oplus \hat{Y}}$ is a solution to X .

We point out that there are more general definitions of Weihrauch reducibility in the literature, see for example [4].

In [13], Jockusch also showed that given $k \geq 2$, there is no Turing functional Φ such that $\Phi(g) \in \text{DNC}_k$ for all $g \in \text{DNC}_{k+1}$. If by DNC_k we denote the Π_2^1 -principle that says that for every X , there exists a DNC_k function computable from X , then we can translate this into a statement about Weihrauch reducibility.

Theorem (Jockusch_W). *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 2$. Then $\text{DNC}_k \not\leq_W \text{DNC}_{k+1}$.*

Thus, we have the following more general open question.

Open Question 5.4.3. Will our theorems still hold under Weihrauch reducibility instead of Turing reducibility? If not, what can we say about them with respect to the Weihrauch lattice?

5.5 The case $b = 0$

Our current research focuses on partition regularity for inhomogeneous systems ($Ax = b, b \neq 0$), inspired by the recent result by Leader and Russell. But the homogeneous case $b = 0$ is interesting in its own right. Rado showed that a homogeneous system is partition regular over $\mathbb{Z}$ if and only if it satisfies a computable condition called “the columns condition”. The problem of characterizing all such partition regular systems over different algebraic structures has been extensively studied. In [18] and [3], the authors consider commutative rings, in [6] and [7] abelian groups and in [2] vector spaces over finite fields. Byszewski and Krawczyk in [5] showed that the same characterization using the columns condition also holds if we consider partition regularity over integral domains. Therefore, a similar analysis of the case $b = 0$ over different algebraic structures is a natural possible continuation of our work.

5.6 The nonlinear case

Many researchers working in combinatorics have been interested in the partition regularity of specific nonlinear equations, see for example, [1], [10] and [16]. While the characterization of all partition regular nonlinear equations seems like a daunting task, similar computability-theoretic and reverse-mathematical analysis as in our work can be done of the already existing results. It would be interesting to see whether the computability-theoretic complexity changes significantly depending on the combinatorial complexity of the equations.

References

- [1] BARRETT, J.M., LUPINI, M., MOREIRA, J., *On Rado conditions for nonlinear Diophantine equations*, European Journal of Combinatorics, vol. 94 (2021)
- [2] BERGELSON, V., DEUBER, W., HINDMAN, N., *Rado's theorem for finite fields*, Sets, graphs and numbers (1991)
- [3] BERGELSON, V., DEUBER, W., HINDMAN, N. AND LEFMANN, H., *Rado's theorem for commutative rings*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory Series A, vol.66 (1994)
- [4] BRATTKA, V., HENDTLASS, M., KREUZER, A.P., *On the Uniform Computational Content of Computability Theory*, Theory of Computing Systems, 61, 1376–1426 (2017)
- [5] BYSZEWSKI, B., KRAWCZYK, E., *Rado's theorem for rings and modules*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory Series A, vol. 180 (2021)
- [6] DEUBER, W., *Partitionen und lineare Gleichungssysteme*, Mathematische Zeitschrift, vol. 133 (1973)
- [7] DEUBER, W., *Partition theorems for abelian groups*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory Series A, vol. 19 (1975)

- [8] DUMMIT, D., FOOTE, R.M., *Abstract Algebra, 3rd Edition*, Wiley (2003)
- [9] GRAHAM, R.L., ROTHCHILD, B.L., SPENCER, J.H., *Ramsey Theory, 2nd Edition*, Wiley-Interscience Series in Discrete Mathematics and Optimization (1990)
- [10] HINDMAN, N., *Monochromatic sums equal to products in $\mathbb{N}$* , Integers, vol. 11 (2011)
- [11] HINDMAN, N., *Open problems in partition regularity*, Combinatorics, Probability and Computing 12 pp. 571-583 (2003)
- [12] HIRSCHFELDT D., *Slicing the Truth: On the Computability Theoretic and Reverse Mathematical Analysis of Combinatorial Principles*, Lecture Note Series, Institute for Mathematical Sciences, University of Singapore, vol. 28, World Scientific, Singapore (2014)
- [13] JOCKUSCH C. G., *Degrees of functions with no fixed points*, Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Mathematics, Elsevier, pp. 191-201 (1989)
- [14] LANG, S., *Algebra (Revised Third Edition)*, Springer Graduate Texts in Mathematics (2002)
- [15] LEADER, I., RUSSELL, P. A., *Inhomogeneous partition regularity*, Electronic Journal of Combinatorics, vol. 27 (2020)
- [16] MOREIRA J., *Monochromatic Sums and Products in $\mathbb{N}$* , Annals of Mathematics, vol. 185 (2017)
- [17] RADO, R., *Studien zur kombinatorik*, Mathematische Zeitschrift, vol. 36 (1933)

- [18] RADO, R., *Note on combinatorial analysis*, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society (2) 48 (1943)
- [19] ROGERS, H., *Theory of Recursive Functions and Effective Computability*, MIT Press (1987)
- [20] ROTMAN, J.J, *An Introduction to the Theory of Groups*, Springer Graduate Texts in Mathematics (1994)
- [21] SIMPSON, S.G., *Subsystems of Second Order Arithmetic*, Perspectives in Mathematical Logic, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1999)
- [22] SOARE, R. I., *Turing Computability: Theory and Applications*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2016)
- [23] STILLWELL, J., *Reverse Mathematics: Proofs from the Inside Out*, Princeton University Press (2018)
- [24] STRAUS, E. G., *A combinatorial theorem in group theory*, Mathematics of Computation, vol. 29 (1975)