

US010818849B2

(12) United States Patent

Zhao et al.

(54) ELECTRON ACCEPTORS BASED ON ALPHA-POSITION SUBSTITUTED PDI FOR OPV SOLAR CELLS

- (71) Applicant: The University of Chicago, Chicago, IL (US)
- (72) Inventors: Donglin Zhao, Hyougo (JP); Qinghe Wu, Chicago, IL (US); Luping Yu, Chicago, IL (US); Zhengxu Cai, Chicago, IL (US)
- (73) Assignee: THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, Chicago, IL (US)
- (*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.
- (21) Appl. No.: 16/067,501
- (22) PCT Filed: Dec. 29, 2016
- (86) PCT No.: PCT/US2016/069356
 § 371 (c)(1),
 (2) Date: Jun. 29, 2018
- (87) PCT Pub. No.: WO2017/117477PCT Pub. Date: Jul. 6, 2017

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2019/0044074 A1 Feb. 7, 2019

Related U.S. Application Data

(60) Provisional application No. 62/319,990, filed on Apr. 8, 2016, provisional application No. 62/272,278, filed on Dec. 29, 2015.

(10) Patent No.: US 10,818,849 B2

(45) **Date of Patent:** Oct. 27, 2020

- (51) Int. Cl. *H01L 51/00* (2006.01) *C09B 5/62* (2006.01) (Continued)
- (52) U.S. Cl.
 CPC H01L 51/0072 (2013.01); C07D 519/00 (2013.01); C08G 61/123 (2013.01); C08G 61/126 (2013.01); C09B 3/14 (2013.01); C09B 5/62 (2013.01); C09B 57/001 (2013.01); C09B 69/008 (2013.01); C09B 69/102 (2013.01); (Continued)
- (56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

7,183,418 B2	2/2007	Heeney et al.	
7,332,223 B2	2/2008	Sotzing et al.	
	(Continued)		

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

WOWO 2008/011957 A11/2008WOWO 2015/042609 A13/2015

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Jiang et al. (Polym. Chem., 2013, 4, 4631-4638) (Year: 2013).* (Continued)

Primary Examiner — Liam J Heincer

(74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm — Brinks Gilson & Lione; Yuezhong Feng

ABSTRACT

The present disclosure relates to α -substituted perylene diimide (PDI) derivatives as small molecular and polymerized electron acceptors in organic photovoltaic cells.

23 Claims, 26 Drawing Sheets



(57)



(51) Int. Cl.

mu ch	
C09B 69/00	(2006.01)
H01L 27/142	(2014.01)
H01L 51/42	(2006.01)
C09B 3/14	(2006.01)
C09B 57/00	(2006.01)
C07D 519/00	(2006.01)
C08G 61/12	(2006.01)
C09B 69/10	(2006.01)

- (52) U.S. Cl.
 - CPC H01L 27/142 (2013.01); H01L 51/0032 (2013.01); H01L 51/0036 (2013.01); H01L 51/0052 (2013.01); H01L 51/0053 (2013.01); H01L 51/0056 (2013.01); H01L 51/0058 (2013.01); H01L 51/0068 (2013.01); H01L 51/0071 (2013.01); H01L 51/0074 (2013.01); H01L 51/0094 (2013.01); H01L 51/42 (2013.01); H01L 51/4253 (2013.01); Y02E 10/549 (2013.01)

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2005/0082525	A1	4/2005	Heeney et al.	
2005/0209419	A1	9/2005	Zahn et al.	
2008/0102559	A1	5/2008	Ong et al.	
2008/0103286	A1	5/2008	Ong et al.	
2009/0194167	A1	8/2009	Brabec	
2010/0283047	A1*	11/2010	Facchetti	C08G 61/10
				257/40

2011/0124822	A1*	5/2011	Yu H01L 51/0036
			525/389
2011/0266529	A1 *	11/2011	Zhao B82Y 10/00
			257/40
2014/0021448	A1*	1/2014	Polander H01L 51/0072
			257/40
2014/0145119	A1 *	5/2014	Yu H01L 51/0036
			252/500
2014/0230900	A1	8/2014	Cull et al.
2014/0231773	A1 *	8/2014	Suraru C09K 11/06
			257/40
2015/0041726	A1*	2/2015	He C08G 75/06
			252/500
2015/0105520	A1	4/2015	Bao et al.
2016/0233448	A1 *	8/2016	Yang H01L 51/4253
2017/0104162	A1*	4/2017	Rosselli H01L 51/0055
2018/0057492	A1*	3/2018	Rosselli C07D 471/06
2019/0044074	A1*	2/2019	Zhao H01L 51/0072
2019/0211035	A1*	7/2019	Welch H01L 51/0058

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Harnett et al. (Chem. Sci., 2016, 7, 3543-3555) (Year: 2016).* International Search Report for International Application No. PCT/ US2009/044364, 2 pages (dated Aug. 27, 2009). International Search Report for corresponding International Application No. PCT/US2016/069356, 4 pages (dated Apr. 28, 2017). Liang, Y., et al., "Control in Energy Levels of Conjugated Polymers for Photovoltaic Application," *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 112(21):7866-7871 (2008).

* cited by examiner

FIGURE 1

The synthetic procedure of PDI-2Bpin and synthesis of aPPID, {BPPID, aPBDT and BPBDT.





Figure 2

Figure 3

















Figure 7







Synthesis procedure for Compounds 1, 2, 3, PID and PID-2Bpin



Synthesis procedure for Compound QH0267



Synthesis procedure for Compound QH0290







Figure 14 Synthesis procedure for Polymer QH0327



The solution absorption spectra of PPID, PBDT, TPBDT and PPBDT.



Synthesis procedure for Compound QH0275



0.1mmol a-bromo PDI and 1.0mmol Cu were stirred 6.0ml DMSO at 100 °C overnight. Product was purified by column chromatography.



Detailed procedure is same as described in synthesis of QH0290.











Detailed procedure is same as described in synthesis of QH0311.

А

В



Figure 20

JV curves of ternary OPV devices using QH0311;



EQE curves of ternary OPV devices using QH0311

Scheme 1. A-D-A molecules and their fused ring compounds.



Reaction Conditions: a). Pd₂(dba)₃, P(o-MePh)₃, b). FeCl₃





J-V curves of PTB7-Th/conjugated molecules devices.

a) PTB7-Th/3r and PTB7-Th/C3r;

b) PTB7-Th/5r, PTB7-Th/C5r and PTB7-Th/C5r-DIO;

c) PTB7-Th/9r and PTB7-Th/C9r;

d) Open circuit voltage (VOC) versus and lowest unoccupied molecular orbital energy

(ELUMO) versus the backbone conjugation length of a series of A-D-A molecules.



External quantum efficiency (EQE) spectra of PTB7-Th/conjugated molecules devices.

- a) PTB7-Th/3r and PTB7-Th/C3r;
- b) PTB7-Th/5r and PTB7-Th/C5r;
- c) PTB7-Th/9r and PTB7-Th/C9r.

Double bond linked tetraPDIs both Gave >7%PCE with PTB7-Th





General synthetic procedure for TPC, TPSi and TPSe



General synthetic procedure for **βTPB6-C**



15

35

ELECTRON ACCEPTORS BASED ON ALPHA-POSITION SUBSTITUTED PDI FOR OPV SOLAR CELLS

CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application is a 371 national phase of PCT/US2016/ 069356, filed Dec. 29, 2016, which claims the benefit under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) of U.S. Provisional Patent Application ¹⁰ No. 62/319,990, filed Apr. 8, 2016, and of U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 62/272,278, filed Dec. 29, 2015, the disclosures of which are incorporated, in their entirety, by this reference.

FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OF DEVELOPMENT

This invention was made with government support under grant numbers DMR0213745, DMR1263006, ²⁰ DE-SC0001059, and KC020301 awarded by The United States National Science Foundation and The United States Department of Energy. The government has certain rights in the invention.

BACKGROUND

1. Field

The present disclosure relates to α -substituted perylene ³⁰ diimide (PDI) derivatives as small molecular and polymerized electron acceptors in organic photovoltaic cells.

2. Background

Organic photovoltaic (OPV) solar cells have advanced to the current stage that faces two challenges. One challenge is to further increase the power conversion efficiency (PCE) of those cells. Currently, PCE values as high as >11% are disclosed in small devices. This value is encouraging, but 40 not enough for significant commercial exploration. The significant achievement in high PCE values has been made possible in bulky heterojunction (BHJ) solar cells which utilize conjugated molecules or polymers as the donor and fullerene derivatives as the acceptor. The second challenge 45 is the cost of materials. Both donor and acceptor OPV materials are rather expensive. Due to the variety of different donor polymers available, the cost for donor materials can be managed. Although the fullerene derivatives ($PC_{61}BM$, PC₇₁BM) have superior electron accepting properties, their 50 drawbacks are also clear: high cost, limited visible light absorption and instability in morphology in blend film, which hinders their industrial application and further improvement in device performance.

Recently, intensive research interest has been devoted to 55 explore new acceptors with electron-deficient unit (such as, diketopyrrolopyrrole, dicyanovinyl, naphthalene diimide, perylene diimide) to replace the fullerene derivatives. Among them, perylene diimide (PDI) is the most promising building motif to develop electron-deficient acceptors for 60 OPV applications. The PDI exhibits several appealing properties: low cost, chemically robust, easy to be functionalized, suitable absorption range and strong electron deficiency. Due to the strong tendency of aggregation of the extended conjugated backbone of PDI, two strategies were 65 adopted to reduce the strong π -stacking, to enhance the processibility of materials and form favorable BHJ domain.

One is to disrupt the strong π - π interaction of PDI by introducing the torsion in the conjugated backbone, such as twisted PDI dimmers connected at N-position or bay-positions (1,6,7,12-positions). Another is to synthesize A-D-A (Acceptor-Donor-Acceptor) molecules with donor coupled to PDIs at the bay positions. Both approaches have been effective to generating non-fullerene electron acceptors that showed improved OPV performance comparing to single PDI molecules. Unfortunately, the functionalization at the

- bay-positions of PDI lead to the twist of the perylene core that has been demonstrated to disrupt the close π -stacking of π -surface and diminish the electron transporting in the bulky solid state, thus limiting the OPV performances.
- Thus, a need exists for strategies to functionalize PDI without introducing torsion in the perylene core.

SUMMARY

The present disclosure relates to α -substituted perylene diimide (PDI) derivatives as small molecular and polymerized electron acceptors in organic photovoltaic cells.

In one embodiment, a molecular acceptor is represented ²⁵ by formula A:



x is an integer selected from: 2, 4 and 6; wherein when Ar is bonded at 1 and x is 2 Ar is se

wherein when Ar_a is bonded at 1, and x is 2, Ar_a is selected from: a bond,















wherein R^2 , R^3 , R^4 , R^5 , R^6 , R^7 , R^8 , R^9 , and R^{10} , if present, 50 are each independently selected from: C_1 - C_{30} linear or branched chain alkyl, and



⁶⁵ wherein R^H, if present, is C₁-C₃₀ linear or branched chain alkyl; W is Se or S; Z is C or Si;

 $\mathbf{5}$ or when Ar_a is bonded at 1, and x is 4, Ar_a is selected from:











30

50

55

60



or when Ar_a is bonded at 1, and x is 6, Ar_a is selected from: 20







³⁵ or when Ar_a is bonded at 2 and 3 and x is 2, Ar_a is selected from:





wherein R^{12} and $R^{13},$ if present, are selected from: $\rm C_1\text{-}C_{30}$ linear or branched chain alkyl; and

 $_{65}\,$ wherein y is an integer selected from 1 and 3;

or when Ar_a is bonded at 2 and 3 and x is 4, Ar_a is selected from:

10

25



or when Ar_a is bonded at 2 and 3 and x is 6, Ar_a is:



In some embodiments, R^1 and at least one of R^2 , R^3 , R^4 , R^5 , R^6 , R^7 , R^8 , R^9 , R^{10} and R^{11} is the same. In some embodiments, R^1 and at least one of R^2 , R^3 , R^4 , R^5 , R^6 , R^7 , R^8 , R^9 , R^{10} and R^{11} is different. In some embodiments, R^1 and at least one of R^2 , R^3 , R^4 , R^5 , R^6 , R^7 , R^8 , R^9 , R^{10} and R^{11} is 2-ethylhexyl. In some embodiments, R^1 and at least one of R^2 , R^3 , R^4 , R^5 , R^6 , R^7 , R^8 , R^9 , R^{10} and R^{11} is 2-butyloctyl. In some embodiments, R^1 and at least one of R^2 , R^3 , R^4 , R^5 , R^6 , R^7 , R^8 , R^9 , R^{10} and R^{11} is 2-butyloctyl. In some embodiments, R^1 and at least one of R^2 , R^3 , R^4 , R^5 , R^6 , R^7 , R^8 , R^9 , R^{10} and R^{11} is 1-propylbutyl.

In some embodiments, the molecular acceptor is in a solar 65 cell, an optical device, an electroluminescent device, a photovoltaic cell, a semiconducting cell, or photodiode.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 depicts the synthetic procedure of PDI-2Bpin and synthesis of α PPID, β PPID, α PBDT and β PBDT.

FIG. **2** depicts the cyclic voltammograms, absorption and emission spectra of α PPID, β PPID, α PBDT and β PBDT: a) the film CV; b) solution absorption, c) film absorption, d) solution emission.

FIG. **3** depicts LUMO (left, -3.00 eV) and HOMO (right, -6.05 eV) orbitals of PID monomer which is simulated with Gaussian b3lyp/6-31gd.

FIG. 4 depicts the concentration dependence fluorescence study of α PPID dissolved in chlorobenzene. Spectra were normalized at 0-0 transition emission peak (535 nm). Concentration was gradually increased from 2.1×10-9 M to 1.0×10-6 M. (Concentration from low to high: 2.1×10⁻⁹ M, 6.3×10⁻⁹ M, 1.9×10⁻⁸ M, 5.6×10⁻⁸ M, 1.1×10⁻⁷ M, 1.7× 10⁻⁷ M, 2.5×10⁻⁷ M, 3.8×10⁻⁷ M, 5.7×10⁻⁷ M, 8.0×10⁻⁷ M, 20 1.0×10⁻⁶ M.)

FIG. **5** depicts a) J-V characteristics of solar cell devices using α PPID(red), β PPID(orange), α PBDT(green) and β PBDT(blue) as acceptors and PTB7-Th as donor. b) External quantum efficiency spectra of PTB7-Th with α PPID (red), β PPID(orange), α PBDT(green) and β PBDT(blue).

FIG. 6 depicts 2D GIWAXS patterns of films on PEDOT:
PSS-modified Si substrates. a-h, 2D GIWAXS patterns of pristine αPPID (a), pristine βPPID (b), pristine αPBDT (c), pristine βPBDT (d), PTB7-TH: αPPID (1:1.5) (e), PTB7-30 TH: βPPID (1:1.5) (f), PTB7-TH: αPBDT (1:1.5) (g) and PTB7_TH: βPBDT (1:1.5) (h).

FIG. 7 depicts the absorption spectrum of a) neat α PPID and α PPID/PTB7-Th blend film; b) neat β PPID and β PPID/ PTB7-Th blend film; c) neat α PBDT and α PBDT/PTB7-Th

- 35 blend film; d) neat β PBDT and β PBDT/PTB7-Th blend film. The in-plane 2D GIWAXS patterns of: e) neat PTB7-Th, α PPID and their blend film; f) neat PTB7-Th, β PPID and their blend film; g) neat PTB7-Th, α PBDT and their blend film; h) neat PTB7-Th, β PBDT and their blend film.
- FIG. 8 depicts The atomic force microscopy (AFM) of films of: a) αPPID/PTB7-Th; b) βPPID/PTB7-Th; c) αPBDT/PTB7-Th; d) βPBDT/PTB7-Th. The transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of the films of: e) αPPID/PTB7-Th; f) βPPID/PTB7-Th; e) αPBDT/PTB7-Th;
 45 e) βPBDT/PTB7-Th.

FIG. 9 (a) photocurrent density (Jph) versus effective voltage (Veff) characteristics of the four devices; (b) short current density (Jsc) versus the light density of the four devices.

50 FIG. **10** depicts the synthesis procedure for compounds 1, 2, 3, PID and PID-2Bpin.

FIG. **11** depicts the synthesis procedure for compound QH0267.

FIG. **12** depicts the synthesis procedure for compound QH0290.

FIG. **13** depicts the synthesis procedure for compound AH0311.

FIG. **14** depicts the synthesis procedure for polymer QH0327.

FIG. **15** depicts the solution absorption spectra of PPID, PBDT, TPBDT and PPBDT.

FIG. 16 depicts the synthesis procedure for compound QH0275.

FIG. **17** depicts the synthesis protocol for QH0289, QH0290, QH2106, QH02111, and QH02136.

FIG. **18** depicts the synthesis protocol for QH0297, QH02120, QH02138, QH02141.

FIG. **19** depicts the synthesis protocol for QH0311, QH0306, QH0318, QH0315.

FIG. **20** depicts the JV curves of ternary OPV devices using QH0311.

FIG. **21** depicts the synthesis Scheme 1. A-D-A molecules and their fused ring compounds.

FIG. **22** depicts J-V curves of PTB7-Th/conjugated molecules devices.

FIG. **23** depicts external quantum efficiency (EQE) spec-¹ tra of PTB7 Th/conjugated molecules devices.

FIG. 24 depicts double bond linked tetra-PDIs.

FIG. **25** depicts the general synthetic procedure for TPC, TPSi and TPSe 15

FIG. **26** depicts the general synthetic procedure for β TPB6-C.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

Described herein are semiconducting small molecular ₂₅ electron acceptors, polymers, and their methods of synthesis and use. The acceptors and their polymers can be used in BHJ solar cells. The photovoltaic devices employing these electron deficient compounds as the acceptors and electron rich polymers as donors exhibit high solar conversion effi- ³⁰ ciency.

One embodiment described herein is a perylene diimide derivative functionalized at the ortho-position and used as an electron acceptor in non-fullerene organic photovoltaic cells. The semi-conducting small molecular electron acceptor may be conjugated or polymerized in photovoltaic cells. Photovoltaic devices employing these electron deficient compounds as the acceptors and electron rich polymers as donors exhibit high solar conversion efficiency.

In one embodiment, a molecular acceptor is represented by formula A:



x is an integer selected from: 2, 4 and 6; $_{65}$ wherein when Ar_a is bonded at 1, and x is 2, Ar_a is selected from: a bond,







wherein R^2 , R^3 , R^4 , R^5 , R^6 , R^7 , R^8 , R^9 , and R^{10} , if present, are each independently selected from: C_1 - C_{30} linear or branched chain alkyl, and



wherein $R^{11},$ if present, is $\rm C_1\text{-}C_{30}$ linear or branched chain $_{65}$ alkyl;

W is Se or S; Z is C or Si;





or when Ar_a is bonded at 1, and x is 6, Ar_a is selected from:








10

15

20

25

30

35









or when Ar_a is bonded at 2 and 3 and x is 6, Ar_a is selected from:



or when Ar_a is bonded at 2 and 3 and x is 2, Ar_a is selected from:



wherein R^{12} and $R^{13},$ if present, are selected from: $\rm C_1\text{-}C_{30}$ linear or branched chain alkyl; and

wherein y is an integer selected from 1 and 3; or when Ar_a is bonded at 2 and 3 and x is 4, Ar_a is selected from:

In one embodiment, a molecular acceptor of formula I:



where R^1 is selected from: C_1 - C_{30} linear or branched chain alkyl;

x is an integer selected from: 2, 4 and 6; wherein when x is 2, Ar is selected from: a bond,







 $R^2,\,R^3,\,R^4,\,R^5,\,R^6,\,R^7,\,R^8,\,R^9$ and $R^{10},$ if present, are each independently selected from: $C_1\text{-}C_{30}$ linear or branched chain alkyl, and \$10\$



 R^{11} , if present, is C_1 - C_{30} linear or branched chain alkyl; W is Se or S; Z is C or Si; or when x is 4, Ar is selected from:





















15

50

55

60

65



26 -continued 10



In one aspect, the molecular acceptor is further selected $_{20}$ from an acceptor of formula VI:



where $R_{\rm 1}$ is a selected from: $\rm C_1\text{-}C_{30}$ linear or branched chain $_{\rm 45}~$ alkyl; and

when Ar_5 is bonded at 1, Ar_5 is selected from: a bond











wherein R^2 , R^3 , R^4 , R^5 , R^6 , R^7 , R^8 , R^9 and R^{10} , if present, 45 are each independently selected from: C_1 - C_{30} linear or branched chain alkyl, and



wherein R^{11} , if present, is C_1 - C_{30} linear or branched chain alkyl;

 $_{65}\,$ W is Se or S; Z is C or Si;

Or wherein when Ar_5 is bonded at 2 and 3, where Ar_5 is selected from:

50

55

60

65





wherein R^{12} and $R^{13},$ if present, are selected from: $C_1\mathchar`-C_{30}$ linear or branched chain alkyl; and

wherein y is an integer selected from 1 and 3.

In one aspect, the molecular acceptor is further selected from an acceptor of formula II:



where R_1 is a selected from: C_1 - C_{30} linear or branched chain alkyl; and

 Ar_1 is selected from: a bond,















R², R³, R⁴, R⁵, R⁶, R⁷, R, R⁹ and R¹⁰, if present, are each independently selected from a: C₁-C₃₀ linear or branched ¹⁰ chain alkyl, and





 R^{11} , if present, is C_1 - C_{30} linear or branched chain alkyl; W is Se or S; and Z is C or Si.

In one aspect, the molecular acceptor further selected from:















39 In one aspect, the molecular acceptor having a power conversion efficiency of greater than 4.92%.

In one aspect, the molecular acceptor further selected $\ensuremath{\,^5}$ from an acceptor of formula VII:



15 20 Ar_6 25 0= Ó 30 C Ŕı Ŕı



35

where R_1 is a selected from: C_1 - C_{30} linear or branched chain alkyl; and wherein Ar_6 is selected from:

wherein R^{12} and R^{13} , if present, are selected from: C_1 - C_{30} linear or branched chain alkyl; and wherein y is an integer selected from 1 and 3.

In one aspect, the molecular acceptor further selected from:









wherein EH is 2-ethyl hexyl.

In one aspect, the molecular acceptor having a power conversion efficiency of greater than 5.59%. In one aspect, the molecular acceptor further selected from an acceptor of formula IX:





wherein when Ar_8 is bonded at 1 Ar_8 is selected from

















 $^{\rm 45}\,$ or when $\rm Ar_8$ is bonded at 2 and 3, and $\rm Ar_8$ is selected from:





10



In one aspect, the molecular acceptor selected from an ¹⁵ acceptor of formula III:



where R^1 is C_1 - C_{30} linear or branched chain alkyl; and Ar_2 is selected from the group consisting of:













In one aspect, the molecular acceptor is selected from:









³⁰ In one aspect, the molecular acceptor is further selected from an acceptor of formula X:

40





35

40

where R^1 is C_1 - C_{30} linear or branched chain alkyl; and Ar_9 is selected from:





man and the state of the state



In one aspect, the molecular acceptor is further selected from:

In one aspect, the molecular acceptor further selected from an acceptor of formula XI:



where R_1 is selected from: C_1 - C_{30} linear or branched chain $_{45}$ alkyl; and when Ar_{10} is bonded at 1, Ar_{10} is selected from:





-continued where show show

or when Ar_{10} is bonded at 2 and 3, Ar_{10} is:





In one aspect, the molecular acceptor is further selected from an acceptor of formula IV:





wherein R^1 is C_1 - C_{30} linear or branched chain alkyl; and Ar^3 is selected from the group consisting of:







In one embodiment, a semiconducting polymer of formula VIII:



where R^1 is a selected from: C_1 - C_{30} linear or branched chain alkyl;

n is an integer greater than 1; and

Ar₇ is selected from:





25

40

55





where R², R³, R⁴, R⁵, R⁶, R⁷, R⁸, R⁹ and R¹⁰, if present, are each independently selected from: C1-C30 linear or branched chain alkyl, and



 R^{11} , if present, is C_1 - C_{30} linear or branched chain alkyl; W is Se or S; and Z is C or Si.

In some embodiments, R^1 and at least one of R^2 , R^3 , R^4 , R^5 , R^6 , R^7 , R^8 , R^9 , R^{10} and R^{11} is the same. In some embodiments, R^1 and at least one of R^2 , R^3 , R^4 , R^5 , R^6 , R^7 , $_{30}$, R^8 , R^9 , R^{10} and R^{11} is different. In some embodiments, R^1 and at least one of R^2 , R^3 , R^4 , R^5 , R^6 , R^7 , R^8 , R^9 , R^{10} and R¹¹ is 2-ethylhexyl. In some embodiments, R¹ and at least one of R^2 , R^3 , R^4 , R^5 , R^6 , R^7 , R^8 , R^9 , R^{10} and R^{11} is 2-butyloctyl. In some embodiments, R^1 and at least one of 35 R², R³, R⁴, R⁵, R⁶, R⁷, R⁸, R⁹, R¹⁰ and R¹¹ is 1-propylbutyl.

In one aspect, a use of a semiconducting acceptor or semiconducting polymer is disclosed and described in a solar cell, an optical device, an electroluminescent device, a photovoltaic cell, a semiconducting cell, or photodiode.

It will be readily apparent to one of ordinary skill in the relevant arts that suitable modifications and adaptations to the compositions, methods, and applications described herein can be made without departing from the scope of any embodiments or aspects thereof. The compounds and meth- 45 ods provided are exemplary and not intended to limit the scope of any of the specified embodiments. All of the various embodiments, aspects, and options disclosed herein can be combined in any and all variations or iterations. The scope of the compounds and methods described herein 50 include all actual or potential combinations of embodiments, aspects, options, examples, and preferences herein described. All patents and publications cited herein are incorporated by reference herein for the specific teachings thereof.

EXAMPLES

Design and Synthesis of Compounds.

The selective functionalization of ortho-position (2,5,8, 60 11-positions) of PDI by introducing boron, alkyl and aryl substituent are known, by which the optical, electrical, packing and film forming properties of PDI derivatives can be tuned. Evidences exist to demonstrate the minimized perturbation of the planarity of the perylene core due to 65 functionalization at ortho-position of PDI. Furthermore, functional groups in ortho-position exert limited steric hin64

drance with PDI. Structural analysis with single crystal of NDI-4TH showed that due to the strong interaction between oxygen (C=O in NDI) and proton (C-H in adjacent thiophene), the twisted angle between thiophene ring and NDI core is only 25° which is much smaller than simulated dihedral angle (55-60°) between adjacent thiophene ring and PDI when thiophene attached at bay-position of PDI. Considering the similarity between ortho-position of NDI and PDI, it is safe to assume that connecting aromatic units such 10 as thiophenyl groups at the ortho-position of PDI can significantly increase the coplanarity of the desired compounds that will benefit the electron transporting. Based on these considerations, an a-monobrominated PDI was synthesized as the new building block to electron acceptors. 15 Previously, it was demonstrated that polarity in acceptor polymers is also important to achieve high solar cell efficiency. To compare the effect of polarity, A-D-A and A-wA-A acceptors were developed. The BDT-Th is used as the donor. The pyrene diimide (PID) was successfully synthesized and used as the weak acceptor. This novel five ring diimide allows functionalization at 2,7-positions that are much less stereo-hindered than other diimides such as PDI and NDL

The α -monobrominated PDI (compound 4, 5) was synthesized in a two-steps one-pot reaction as depicted in FIG. 1. The α -position of PDI was first functionalized with pinacolatoboron (Bpin) group in the modified Ir-catalyzed reaction, developed by Shinokubo and Osuka group. The reaction mixture was treated with CuBr₂ without separation. The synthesis of the weaker acceptor PID-2Bpin started from commercially available material 1,2,3,6,7,8-hexahydropyrene, which was brominated with bromine for 30 minutes, yielding 4,5,9,10-tetrabromo-1,2,3,6,7,8-hexahydropyrene. The excessive amount of bromine in the reaction medium can convert 4,5,9,10-tetrabromo-1,2,3,6,7,8-hexahydropyrene to 4,5,9,10-tetrabromopyrene (compound 1) under light. Compound 1 was then converted into compound 2, which is further hydrolyzed into compound 3. Since compounds 1, 2, 3 exhibit poor solubility in common solvent, the crude products were directly used for the next step reaction without further purification. Imidization with alkylamine led to the formation of PID. It was found that reaction of PID with bromine in a CHCl₃/CF₃COOH/ H₂SO₄, leads to undesired bromination at 1, 3, 6, 8-positions. Selective functionalization of 2,7-positions of PID with Bpin was realized by a steric controlled Ir-catalyzed reaction. The target compounds, α PPID, β PPID, α PBDT and BPBDT, were synthesized via palladium mediated Stille or Suzuki coupling reaction. These compounds exhibit high solubility in common solvent such as chloroform, chlorobenzene. Their structures were characterized and confirmed with various spectroscopic techniques, which are shown in supporting information.

Electronic and Optical Properties.

The cyclic voltammetry (CV) is employed to investigate the electrochemical properties of these compounds and is shown in FIG. 2. FIG. 2 describes the cyclic voltammograms (CV), absorption and emission spectra of α PPID, β PPID, α PBDT and β PBDT: a) the film CV; b) solution absorption; c). film absorption; d) solution emission. The LUMO energy levels for different imide building motif were determined to be -3.16 eV for PID, -3.57 eV for NDI and -3.83 eV for PDI, the trend of which is in agreement with that obtained from theoretical calculation (See FIG. 3). FIG. 3 depicts the LUMO (left, -3.00 eV) and HOMO (right, -6.05 eV) orbitals of PID monomer which is simulated with Gaussian b3lyp/6-31gd. Thus, the PID is a weaker acceptor building

unit and the electron-withdrawing ability of five-member diimide is weaker than that of six-member diimide. The LUMO and HOMO energy values of the four compounds, α PPID, β PPID, α PBDT and β PBDT, are listed in Table 1.

TABLE 1

	Electrochemical and optical data and DFT calculation results of α PPID, β PPID, α PBDT and β PBDT.								
	LUMO (eV)	HOMO (eV)	LUMO (eV) ^{Cal}	$\underset{(eV)^{Cal}}{\text{HOMO}}$	Dihedral angle (°)	Bay angle (°)	I ⁰⁰ /I ⁰¹ sol	I ⁰⁰ /I ⁰¹ film	QY (%)
αPPID βPPID αPBDT βPBDT	-3.84 -3.79 -3.78 -3.76	-5.86 ^a -5.87 ^a -5.60 -5.64	-3.51 -3.51 -3.47 -3.46	-6.04 -5.96 -5.97 -5.53	61.4 57.6 58.6 54.4	3.2 17.7 4.2 16.8	0.80 1.38 1.52 1.33	0.77 0.98 0.88 1.11	14 43 0.25 0.01

^aThe HOMO energy level was calculated by the equation of $E_{HOMO} = E_{LUMO} - E_{g}^{opt}$

The four compounds show nearly identical LUMO energy 20 levels and the HOMO energy levels of compounds containing PID is slightly lower than those of α PBDT and β PBDT because of the electron-withdrawing nature of PID and electron-donating nature of BDT. The HOMO/LUMO energy levels for the four compounds all matches that of 25 PTB7-Th with enough energy offset for both electron and hole transfer to each other. UV-Vis absorption spectra of the four compounds are recorded both in solution and in solid film (FIGS. 2B, 2C). They all exhibit the three vibronic peaks, resembling to PDI monomer. The β -isomers showed 30 red-shifted band edges, likely due to more extended electron delocalization or more twisted PDI units. However, the absorption peaks for aPPID at 495 nm in solution are stronger than other three compounds and resemble to its film absorption, which suggest a strong tendency of α PPID to 35 form aggregate in the dilute solution. In absorption spectra of films, both α -substituted compounds exhibit stronger 0-1 (I^{01}) absorption peak than 0-0 (I^{00}) transition, while β -substituted compounds show similar or weaker intensity for 0-1 in solid state than 0-0 transition. The decrease in the ratio of 40 0-0 to 0-1 transition intensity from solution to solid state for four compounds (blue-shift in absorption maxima) indicates the formation of H-aggregate. The largest decrease of α PBDT implies the strong intermolecular π - π interaction and high packing order of α PBDT, which is beneficial for 45 charge transporting. The quantum yield (QY) for the emission is shown in Table 1. The α PBDT and β PBDT have similar, but weak emission spectra (low QY) which resemble PDI monomer, which may indicate quenching caused by intramolecular charge transfer. The intramolecu- 50 lar charge transfer property also explains the lack of excimer formation in **BPBDT** and **BPBDT**. The **APPID** and **BPPID** show the large red shift emission peak. The α PPID also shows a concentration-dependent emission spectrum (See FIG. 4), indicating the formation of excimers, as evidenced 55 by the broad peak at 600-700 nm that coincide with reported PDI excimer. FIG. 4 depicts the concentration dependence fluorescence study of α PPID dissolved in chlorobenzene. Spectra were normalized at 0-0 transition emission peak (535 nm). Concentration was gradually increased from 2.1×60 10^{-9} M to 1.0×10^{-6} M. (Concentration from low to high: 2.1×10^{-9} M, 6.3×10^{-9} M, 1.9×10^{-8} M, 5.6×10^{-8} M, 1.1×10^{-7} M, 1.7×10^{-7} M, 2.5×10^{-7} M, 3.8×10^{-7} M, 5.7×10^{-7} M, 8.0×10^{-7} M, 1.0×10^{-6} M.)

The results indicate that the π -system in α PPID is closed 65 packed due to its good planarity. The excimer emission in α PPID is overlapped with the weak emission from mono-

66

meric α PPID. The emission spectrum of β PPID only has one peak at 579 nm which corresponds to 1-0 transition of PDI, which may be due to special electronic features of the twisted PDI core.

DFT Calculation.

In order to gain more insight into the structural and electronic difference between α -substituted and β -substituted PDIs, density functional theory calculations by using the Gaussian package b3lyp/6-31 g(d) were carried out to evaluate the frontier molecular orbitals and structures of the four compounds. To facilitate the calculation, the long alkyl chains are replaced with methyl group. The pictorial presentation of LUMO and HOMO orbitals of the four compounds are shown in the FIG. 1 and the energy levels and torsional angles are summarized in the Table 1. The calculated LUMO and HOMO energy levels of the four compounds are in the same trend with that observed in the CV. For the compounds α PBDT and β PBDT, the LUMO orbitals localize at PDI cores while the HOMO electron density localize at BDT-Th cores, which indicate a significant polarization in the excited state, consistent with the observed low emission quantum efficiency caused by intramolecular charge transfer. However, for the compounds aPPID and βPPID, both LUMO and HOMO electron density are localized at PDI cores, suggesting that PID core has limited contribution to the frontier orbitals. The DFT calculation of PID core (FIG. 3) shows that the frontier orbitals do not spread over 2,7-positions of PID. This may be the reason why the PID core is not involved in the LUMO and HOMO orbitals, merely plays the role of structural linker and electron withdrawing via inductive effect. The torsion angle of PDI backbone at the bay-area is 3.2° for α PPDI and 4.2° for $\alpha PBDT$, which is much smaller than 17.7° for $\beta PPDI$ and 16.80 for β PBDT. The dihedral angle between the linker and PDI for a-PDI derivatives is only slightly higher than that for β -isomers according to the calculation. Thus, the good planarity of a-position functionalized PDI could facilitate close packing and enhance electron transporting.

OPV Properties and Active Layer Characterization.

From the CV studies, the energy levels of these compounds as acceptors match with those of PTB7-Th as donor. The inverted solar cells were prepared with configuration of ITO/ZnO/Active Layer/MoO₃/Al to evaluate the photovoltaic properties of these small molecules. Donor/acceptor ratio of 1:1.5 was spin-casted from hot chlorobenzene with 5% 1-chloronaphthalene as additive and the active layer with the thickness of ~100 nm are formed. FIG. **5** depicts the a) J-V characteristics of solar cell devices using α PPID(red), β PPID(orange), α PBDT(green) and β PBDT(blue) as acceptors and PTB7-Th as donor; and b) External quantum efficiency spectra of PTB7-Th with α PPID(red), β PPID (orange), α PBDT(green) and β PBDT(blue). The above condition to make active layer gives the best OPV performance for all four PDI-based molecules. The J-V characteristics of these OPV cells are shown in Table 2 and J-V curves are plotted in FIG. 5A.

TABLE 2

1	The parameters summary of solar cell devices with αPPID, βPPID, αPBDT and βPBDT as acceptors and PTB7-Th as donor.						
Acceptor	J _{sc} (mAcm ⁻²)	$\mathbf{V}_{oc}\left(\mathbf{V} ight)$	FF	Eff (%) (best device)	$\begin{array}{c} \mu_{e} \ ({\rm cm}^{2} {\rm V}^{-1} \\ {\rm s}^{-1}) \end{array}$	RMS (pm)	
αPPID	10.15 ± 0.5	0.77 ± 0.01	0.44 ± 0.01	3.49 ± 0.12	4.46×10^{-4}	738.736	
βPPID	9.14 ± 0.4	0.78 ± 0.01	0.45 ± 0.01	(3.61) 3.20 ± 0.27 (3.47)	3.48×10^{-4}	732.453	
αPBDT	12.74 ± 0.4	0.81 ± 0.01	0.46 ± 0.01	4.76 ± 0.16	8.00×10^{-4}	988.090	
βPBDT	9.80 ± 0.3	0.81 ± 0.01	0.44 ± 0.01	(4.92) 3.49 ± 0.04 (3.53)	4.81×10^{-4}	872.371	

The device results demonstrate that α PDI based acceptors show very similar Voc with that of BPDI based acceptors if they are connected by the same liker, which is anticipated due to the similarity between their LUMO energy levels. For 25 the BDT linked acceptors, the average PCE of 4.76% for α PBDT is achieved with J_{sc} of 12.74 mA/cm², V_{oc} of 0.81 V and FF of 0.46, which is 36% higher than that for β PBDT. The PCE enhancement is largely due to much higher ${\rm J}_{\rm sc}$ (12.74 mA/cm^2) values for $\alpha PBDT$ than that (9.80 mA/cm^2) for β PBDT. The slightly higher PCE of 3.49% for α PPID³⁰ than that of 3.20% for β PPID can be attributed to a better intermolecular packing in α PPID than in β PPID. This is consistent with the smaller twisted angle in the α PDI moiety in DFT calculation and the excimer formation in aPPID solution shown by the emission spectrum, which led to a 35 better J_{sc} value of 10.15 mA/cm² than that (9.14 mA/cm²) for BPPID. These results indicated that acceptors based on aPDI exhibit superior photovoltaic performance over that of βPDI based acceptors. The bottleneck for these devices is the low fill factor value of 0.45 ± 0.01 , which is far behind 40 polymer/fullerene devices' values (>0.6). Further device optimization is underway to explore the potential of aPDIbased acceptors.

The external quantum efficiency (EQE) of the optimal α PPID/ β PPID/ α PBDT/ β PBDT:PTB7-Th devices were 45 measured and are shown in FIG. 5b. The Jsc values calculated from EQE all have less than 10% deviation from J_{sc} measured in solar cell device. The results shed some lights on PCE performance of these OPV cells. It can be seen that all of the four devices showed broad EQE spectra from 300 50 nm to 800 nm. The photon absorption for donor polymer PTB7-Th is between 550 nm to 800 nm. In this region, the quantum efficiency for PTB7-Th blended with α isomers is higher than PTB7-Th blends with related β isomers, indicating more efficient charge separation with a isomers. The 55 quantum efficiency for $\alpha PBDT$ is obviously higher than all others in the whole spectrum. The absorption spectrum of the active layer blends were further measured and recorded in FIG. 7. FIG. 7 depicts the absorption spectrum of a) neat α PPID and α PPID/PTB7-Th blend film; b) neat β PPID and 60 β PPID/PTB7-Th blend film; c) neat α PBDT and α PBDT/ PTB7-Th blend film; d) neat *βPBDT* and *βPBDT/PTB7-Th* blend film. The in-plane 2D GIWAXS patterns of: e) neat PTB7-Th, αPPID and their blend film; f) neat PTB7-Th, βPPID and their blend film; g) neat PTB7-Th, αPBDT and 65 their blend film; h) neat PTB7-Th, βPBDT and their blend film.

It was found that the absorption spectrum of α PPID and α PBDT in the blend film is very similar with that in pure film. The α PPID and α PBDT not only maintain the two sharp and distinctive perylene diimide's 0-0 and 0-1 vibra-

tional peaks at 540 nm and 495 nm, but also have a shoulder of 0-2 transition at 450 nm. However, in β PPID and β PBDT's blend films, 0-0 and 0-1 transitions are broadened and almost merged with each other, and 0-2 transition totally disappeared, which is different with their pure film absorption spectrum. A possible explanation for this phenomenon is that the α PPID and α PBDT blend films maintain the same packing order as in the pure α PPID and α PBDT domains.

The grazing-incidence wide-angle X-ray scattering (GI-WAXS) measurement was employed to investigate the crystallinity of the neat and blend films (FIG. 6). FIG. 6 depicts the 2D GIWAXS patterns of films on PEDOT:PSS-modified Si substrates. a-h, 2D GIWAXS patterns of pristine aPPID (a), pristine β PPID (b), pristine α PBDT (c), pristine β PBDT (d), PTB7-TH: αPPID (1:1.5) (e), PTB7-TH: βPPID (1:1.5) (f), PTB7-TH: αPBDT (1:1.5) (g) and PTB7_TH: βPBDT (1:1.5) (h). The in-plane GIWAXS patterns of neat PTB7-Th, aPPID, BPPID, aPBDT, BPBDT films and their blend films were shown in FIG. 7. The neat films of PTB7-Th, aPPID, BPPID, aPBDT and BPBDT shows the Bragg reflections at $qy \approx 0.27$, 0.31, 0.305, 0.34 and 0.34 Å⁻¹ corresponding to d-spacing of 23.3, 20.3, 20.6, 18.5 and 18.5 Å, respectively. This peak can be assigned to lateral spacing along the side chains. The BPPID/PTB7-Th and BPBDT/ PTB7-Th blend films both exhibit the Bragg reflections at qy≈0.28 Å⁻¹ (22.4 Å) that are very close to 0.27 Å⁻¹ for the neat donor polymer PTB7-Th. Three diffraction peaks at 0.275 Å^{-1} (22.8 Å), 0.33 Å^{-1} (19.0 Å) and 0.40 Å^{-1} (15.7 Å) was observed for $\alpha PBDT/PTB7$ -Th blend film. The peaks at 0.275 \AA^{-1} and 0.33 \AA^{-1} are from the diffraction of PTB7-Th and α PBDT, respectively, which implies both pure donor and acceptor domains exist in the blend film. This result is in good agreement with the observation in the absorption spectrum of aPBDT/PTB7-Th blend film. The aPPID/ PTB7-Th blend film demonstrates two diffraction peaks at 0.305 Å⁻¹ (18.0 Å) and 0.40 Å⁻¹ (15.7 Å). The peaks at 0.305 Å^-1are most likely from the diffraction of $\alpha PPID.$ However, it is surprising to observe the enhanced sharp peak at qy value of 0.40 $Å^{-1}$. It seems that the polymer/acceptor interaction directed aPBDT to self-assemble in more ordered structures, which may be the reason for observed high electron mobility. The blend film absorption and GIWAXS data both confirm that a isomers of these acceptors (α PPID, α PBDT) maintain the pure domains and the same packing order in the blend films, which may be due to their

strong intermolecular interaction resulting from good planarity of a substituted PDI derivative.

The electron mobility of these four devices also help to understand the structure/property relationship, which was measured by space-charge-limited current method with the 5 device structure is ITO/ZnO/PDIs:PTB7-Th/Ca/Al. The electron mobility was calculated to be 4.46×10^{-4} , 3.48×10^{-4} , 8.00×10^{-4} and 4.81×10^{-4} cm²V⁻¹ s⁻¹ for α PPID, β PPID, α PBDT and β PBDT, respectively, (Summarized in Table 2). It is clear that the α PDI based ones exhibited relatively 10 higher electron mobility than the β PDI based compounds, which is likely the consequence of better planarity of α substituted PDI moieties and stronger intermolecular interaction of α PPID and α PBDT as showed in film absorption spectrum. 15

The active blend films of these devices exhibited similar morphology as characterized by atomic force microscopy (AFM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) (FIG. **8**). AFM height images in FIG. **5** (2 μ m×2 μ m dimension) show device blends have similar feature and comparatively 20 smooth. Root mean square (RMS) roughness values of α PPID and β PPID blend films are 739 and 732 μ m. while the surface for blend films of BDT linked compounds are rougher with RMS value of 872 pm, 988 pm for α PBDT and β PBDT, respectively (Table 2). TEM images of the four 25 blends are also similar, this is probably due to the weak contrast between donor polymer and non-fullerene acceptor. The AFM and TEM studies suggest the solar cell efficiency difference between the four compounds is not resulted from the blend film morphology. 30

Charge Separation and Recombination Dynamics.

To better understand the OPV performance, the exciton dissociation and carrier collection process, the charge dissociation probability P(E, T) were investigated according to the reported method. As shown in FIG. 9a, photo current 35 density J_{ph} (defined by $J_L - J_D$, J_L and J_D are light and dark current densities) is plotted against effective voltage Veff (defined by $V_0 - V$, V_0 is voltage where $J_{ph}=0$) in logarithmic scale. Assuming that the J_{ph} reaches its saturation (J_{sat}) at high reverse voltage which means all the photogenerated 40 exitons are dissociated to free charge carriers and collected by the electrodes. The P(E, T) is defined as J_{ph}/J_{sat} . The calculated P(E, T) under Jsc condition for $\beta PPID$ and β PBDT are both 79%, while α PPID and α PBDT devices have higher dissociation probabilities of 83% and 88%. The 45 higher P(E,T) values of α PPID and α PBDT indicate the more efficient exciton dissociation at interfaces between aPDI based compounds and PTB7-Th which is in good agreement with higher J_{Sc} values of α PPID and α PBDT based devices. In order to gain more insight into the recom- 50 bination kinetics, the measurement of the Jsc as a function of illumination intensity were carried out according to literature. In FIG. 9b, the linear scaling of photocurrent to light intensity was observed for all four devices and the exponential factors for aP-PID:PTB7-Th, ßPPID:PTB7-Th, 55 αPBDT:PTB7-Th, βPBDT:PTB7-Th devices are 0.95, 0.94, 0.93 and 0.95, respectively. The high and similar values mean that the bimolecular recombination in the four devices is all comparatively weak, which is consistent with their high electron mobility.

In summary, four electron deficient compounds were synthesized and investigated as electron acceptor in BHJ OPV cells. Detailed studies revealed that the α PPID and α PBDT exhibit planarity in the PDI core which benefits the close π - π stacking. The absorption spectra α PPID and 65 α PBDT showed the strong tendency to form aggregate due to the strong intermolecular π - π interaction, which persists

in blended films, leading to relatively high electron mobility. The inverted BHJ devices employing PBT7-Th as the donor and α PDI-based compounds as acceptor demonstrate superior photovoltaic performance than that using β PDI-based derivative as acceptor; an enhancement of 39% was observed. The higher PCE of α PPID and α PBDT are mainly ascribed to their higher SCLC mobility and the more efficient charge separation at interfaces with PBT7-Th. The results suggest that α -substituted PDI derivatives are indeed promising electron acceptors and further exploration is underway to fulfill the potential of α PDI-based acceptors.

Synthesis and Properties of Example Compounds Compound 1

FIG. 10 describes synthesis of compound 1. 1,2,3,6,7,8hexahydropyrene (3.12 g), Bromine (27.17 g), iron powder (0.59 g) and 100 ml dichloromethane were added to a 250 mL round bottom flask and refluxed overnight. The precipitate was filtered and washed with acetone (3×200 ml) and boiling chloroform (3×200 ml). 6.52 g 4,5,9,10-tetrabro-20 mopyrene was obtained in the yield of 84%. MS (MALDI-TOF) m/z: 518.15 (M+H)⁺.

Compounds 2 and 3

FIG. 10 describes synthesis of compounds 2 and 3. 4,5,9,10-tetrabromopyrene (6.20 g), CuCN (8.60 g) and anhydrous NMP were added to a 250 mL round bottom flask under nitrogen atmosphere and reacted at 200° C. for 2 hours. After cooling down, the solution was poured into saturated ammonium. The precipitate was filtered and washed with ammonium, acetone and boiling chloroform. Without further purification, the insoluble solid was added to the KOH (13.5 g) solution in HOCH₂CH₂OH (60 mL) and water (15 mL) and heated to 160 for 48 hours. After cooling down to 0, concentrated hydrochloric acid were added dropwise to pH=1. The precipitate was filtered and washed with water and acetone. The obtained crude product was refluxed in acetic anhydrate (60 ml) overnight. 0.65 g yellow product was obtained by filtration. The yield for three-step reactions is 15.8%. The compound 2 sparingly dissolve in the common solvent.

Compound PID

FIG. 10 describes synthesis of compound PID. 0.34 g compound 2 and 0.56 g 2-butyloctylamine in 20 ml anhydrous toluene was heated to reflux for 5 hours. After removing the solvent under reduced pressure, the reaction mixture was added thionyl chloride (5 ml) and refluxed for 2 hours. The thionyl chloride was removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography, using dichloromethane as the eluent. 0.51 g compound 3 was obtained (yield: 76%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) (ppm): 9.49 (d, J=80 Hz, 4H), 8.28 (T, J=80 Hz, 2H), 3.74 (d, J=72 Hz, 4H), 2.0 (m, 2H), 1.35 (br, 32H), 0.90 (br, 12H). ¹³C NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) 14.096, 14.127, 22.663, 23.072, 26.391, 28.607, 29.707, 31.276, 31.618, 31.861, 37.328, 42.367, 124.484, 126.721, 128.244, 128.715, 128.810, 169.792. MS (MALDI-TOF) m/z: 677.13 (M+H)⁺.

Compound PID-2Bpin

FIG. 10 describes synthesis of compound PID-2Bpin. {Ir(OMe)Cod}(33 mg), 4,4'-di-tert-butyl-2,2'-dipyridyl (66 mg) and (BPin)₂ (64 mg) were mixed in 20 ml anhydrous hexane under N₂ atmosphere. Then the mixture were transfer to sealed tube which contains compound 3 (0.338 g) and (BPin)₂ (0.254 g). After reacting at 120° C. for 24 hours, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. 0.288 g of pure compound 4 (62%) was obtained by column chromatography, using dichloromethane as the eluent. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) (ppm): 9.86 (s, 4H), 3.76 (d, J=72 Hz,

4H), 2.0 (m, 2H), 1.50 (s, 24H) 1.35 (br, 32H), 0.88 (br, 12H). ¹³C NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) 14.102, 14.179, 22.660, 23.122, 25.133, 26.605, 28.872, 29.776, 31.420, 31.756, 31.918, 37.388, 42.662, 84.636, 123.678, 128.034, 129.296, 132.428, 169.447. MS (MALDI-TOF) m/z: 929.97 (M+H)⁺. ⁵ Compound QH0267

FIG. 11 describes synthesis of compound QH0267. Pd₂ (dba)₃ (9 mg) and P(MeOPh)₃ was added to the mixture of 2-BrPDI (104 mg), compound PID-2Bpin (60 mg), THF (8 mL) and 2M K₂CO₃ aqueous solution (2 mL) under nitrogen. After refluxing overnight, the mixture was poured into methanol. The red precipitate was filtered and purified by column chromatography, using chloroform as the eluent. 104 mg of pure PBDT (76%) was obtained. ¹H NMR (400 ¹⁵ MHz, CDCl₂CDCl₂) (ppm): 9.37-9.72 (br, 4H), 8.98-7.73 (br, 14H), 4.36-3.71 (br, 12H), 2.20-1.98 (br, 6H), 1.33 (br, 96H), 0.90 (br, 36H). MS (MALDI-TOF) m/z: 2125.82 (M⁺) Anal. Calcd for C₁₄₀H₁₆₈N₆O₁₂: C, 79.06; H, 7.96; N, 3.95. 20 Found: C, 79.49; H, 8.14; N, 4.05.

Compound QH0290

FIG. **12** describes synthesis of compound QH0290. Pd₂ (dba)₃ (9 mg) and P(o-tolyn)₃ (24 mg) was added to the ²⁵ mixture of compound 2-BrPDI (133 mg), BDT-2Sn (90.5 mg) and dry toluene (6 mL) under nitrogen. After refluxing overnight, the mixture was poured into methanol. The dark red precipitate was filtered and purified by column chromatography, using chloroform as the eluent. 139 mg of pure ³⁰ \Box -PPBDT (80%) was obtained. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₂CDCl₂) (ppm): 8.74-8.69 (br, 14H), 7.86 (s, 2H), 7.51 (br, 2H), 6.91 (br, 2H), 5.21-5.11 (br, 4H), 2.83 (br, 4H), 2.24 (br, 16H), 1.85 (br, 16), 1.65 (br, 2), 1.35 (br, 32H), 0.90 (br, 35 36H). MS (MALDI-TOF) m/z: 1746.58 (M⁺) Anal. Calcd for C₁₁₀H₁₁₄N₄O₈S₄: C, 75.57; H, 6.57; N, 3.20. Found: C, 75.86; H, 6.54; N, 3.34.

Compound QH0311

FIG. **13** describes synthesis of compound QH0311. Pd₂ (dba)₃ (9 mg) and P(MeOPh)₃ was added to the mixture of 2-BrPDI (419.3 mg), compound BDT-4Bpin (128.7 mg), THF (12 mL) and 2M K₂CO₃ aqueous solution (3 mL) under nitrogen. After refluxing overnight, the mixture was poured ⁴⁵ into methanol. The red precipitate was filtered and purified by column chromatography, using chloroform as the eluent. 152 mg of pure TPBDT (39%) was obtained. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₂CDCl₂) (ppm): 8.63-9.75 (br, 28H), 8.10 (br, 50 2H), 7.96 (br, 2H), 7.59 (br, 2H), 5.03-5.22 (br, 8H), 2.25 (br, 16H), 1.80 (br, 16H), 1.30 (br, 32H), 0.89 (br, 48H). MS (MALDI-TOF) m/z: 2691.506 (M^{+).}

Polymer QH0327

FIG. **14** describes synthesis of compound QH03271. Pd(PPh₃)₄ (3.5 mg) and was added to the mixture of compound PDI-2Br_a (77.65 mg), BDT-2Sn (63.32 mg) 0.75 mL DMF and dry toluene (3 mL) under nitrogen. After refluxing overnight, the mixture was poured into methanol. The dark ⁶⁰ red precipitate was filtered. The resulting solid was subjected to Soxhlet extraction successively with methanol, acetone, and hexane. The remaining polymer was extracted with chloroform and precipitated again from methanol, ⁶⁵ filtered, washed with methanol, and dried under vacuum. 72 mg dark-red (67.3%) PPBDT was obtained. Number-aver-

age molecular weight (Mn): 37.4 kDa; Weight-average molecular weight (Mw): 67.9 kDa; Polydispersity index (PDI): 1.82.

Compounds TPSE, TPC and TPSI.

FIG. 25 describes the synthesis of compounds TPSE, TPC and TPSI. Compound BDT-Se-4Bpin. 25 ml anhydrous hexane were added to a mixture of BDT-Se (0.448 g, 1.0 mmol), (BPin)₂ (1.52 g, 6.0 mmol), {Ir(OMe)Cod} (40 mg, 0.06 mmol) and 4,4'-di-tert-butyl-2,2'-dipyridyl (81 mg, 0.3 mmol) in 100 mL sealed tube. After reacting at 120° C. for 48 hours under N₂ atmosphere, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. 0.71 g of pure compound BDT-Se-4Bpin (74.6%) was obtained by recrystalization in hexane and methanol. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 8.09 (s, 2H), 8.02 (d, J=36 Hz, 2H), 7.71 (d, J=36 Hz, 2H), 1.39 (s, 24H), 1.33 (s, 24H). ¹³C NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 24.78, 24.83, 84.26, 84.56, 126.93, 132.41, 133.41, 137.87, 139.88, 142.28, 151.91; MS (MALDI-TOF) m/z=982.18 (M⁺); HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for [C42H54B4O8S4+] 592.2298, found 592.2221.

General synthetic procedure for TPC, TPSi and TPSe. $Pd_2(dba)_3$ (0.01 mmol) and $P(MeOPh)_3$ (0.08 mmol) was added to the mixture of compound 1, 2 or BDT-Se-4Bpin (0.1 mmol), compound PDI-Br^{α} (0.42 mmol), THF (10 mL) and 2M K₂CO₃ aqueous solution (2.5 mL) under nitrogen. After refluxing 16 hours, the mixture was poured into methanol. The red precipitate was filtered and purified by column chromatography, using chloroform/dichloromethane=1/3 as the eluent.

Compound TPC. TPC was obtained in yield of 71.3%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 8.77-8.55 (m, 28H), 7.74 (d, J=84 Hz, 8H), 7.57 (d, J=84 Hz, 8H), 5.12-5.22 (Br, 8H), 2.24 (Br, 16H), 1.78 (Br, 16H), 1.31-1.36 (Br, 32H), 0.90 (Br, 48H). MS (MALDI-TOF) C₁₇₇H₁₆₄N₈O₁₆ m/z: 2659.30; Found: 2659.05 (M)⁺ HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for [C₁₇₇H₁₆₄N₈O₁₆⁺] 2659.2337, found 2659.2398.

Compound TPSi. TPSi was obtained in yield of 78.2%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 8.78-8.52 (m, 28H), 8.06 (d, J=80 Hz, 8H), 7.63 (d, J=80 Hz, 8H), 5.12-5.22 (Br, 8H), 2.23 (Br, 16H), 1.81 (Br, 16H), 1.32 (Br, 32H), 0.90 (Br, 48H). MS (MALDI-TOF) C₁₇₆H₁₆₄N₈O₁₆Si m/z: 2675.37; Found: 2675.01 (M)⁺ HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for [C₁₇₆H₁₆₄N₈O₁₆Si+H]⁺ 2676.2107, found 2676.2161.

Compound TPSe. TPSe was obtained in yield of 76.5%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 8.75-8.63 (m, 28H), 8.10 (Br, 2H), 7.96 (Br, 2H), 7.59 (Br, 2H), 5.02-5.22 (Br, 8H), 2.25 (Br, 16H), 1.81 (Br, 16H), 1.32-0.86 (Br, 80H). MS (MALDI-TOF) C₁₇₀H₁₅₄N₈O₁₆S₂Se₂ m/z: 2786.93; Found: 2787.77 (M+H)⁺ HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for [C₁₇₀H₁₅₄N₈O₁₆S₂Se₂+H]⁺ 2787.9446, found 2787.9376.

Compounds β TPB6 and β TPB6-C.

FIG. **26** describes the synthesis of compounds β TPB6 and β TPB6-C.

Compound β TPB6. Pd2(dba)3 (25 mg) and P(MeOPh)3 (75 mg) was added to the mixture of compound BDT-Th-4Bpin (107.3 mg), compound PDI-Br^β (437.8 mg), THF (12 mL) and 2M K2CO₃ aqueous solution (3 mL) under nitrogen. After refluxing overnight, the mixture was poured into methanol. The red precipitate was filtered and purified by column chromatography, using dichloromethane/hexane=1:1 as the eluent. 256 mg of pure β TPB6 (73.8%)

55

60

65

was obtained. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 8.86-8.68 (Br, 33H), 8.57 (Br, 4H), 8.06-8.12 (Br, 4H), 7.61 (Br, 2H), 7.28 (Br, 2H), 5.19-4.71 (Br, 8H), 2.12 (Br, 16H), 1.86 (Br, 16H), 1.18-0.75 (Br, 176H). MS (MALDI-TOF) C₂₁₈H₂₅₀N₈O₁₆S₄ m/z: 3363.79; Found: 3364.22 (M+H)+ Anal. Calcd for C218H250N8O16S4: C, 77.77%; H, 7.49%; N, 3.33%. Found: C, 77.54%; H, 7.43%; N, 3.19%.

Compound BTPB6-C. FeCl₃ (1 g) in 3 mL CH₃NO₂ was added to 6 mL CH₂Cl₂ solution of βTPB6 (100 mg) at 0° C. 10 After one hour stirring at room temperature, 10 mL 1 M hydrochloride was added. The organic part was separated and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The product was purified by column chromatography, using 15 dichloromethane/hexane=1:1 as the eluent. 61 mg of pure βTPB6 (61.1%) was obtained ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₂CDCl₂) δ (ppm): 11.78 (Br, 2H), 10.18 (Br, 2H), 9.51-9.07 (Br, 18H), 8.76 (Br, 4H), 5.43-4.61 (Br, 8H), 2.06-0.73 MS (Br. 176H). (MALDI-TOF) 20 C₂₁₈H₂₄₂N₈O₁₆S₄ m/z: 3355.73; Found: 3355.43 (M)+Anal. Calcd for C218H242N8O16S4: C, 77.96%; H, 7.26%; N, 3.34%. Found: C, 77.65%; H, 7.03%; N, 3.35%.

Electronic and Optical Properties.

The LUMO energy levels were calculated from the cyclic ²⁵ voltammetry (CV). The LUMO energy levels of PPID (QH0267), PBDT (QH0290), TPBDT (QH0311) and PPBDT (QH0327) are -3.84, -3.78, -3.89 and -3.88 eV respectively. The HOMO energy levels of PPID, PBDT, ₃₀ TPBDT and PPBDT are -5.86,

-5.60, -5.71 and -5.60 eV respectively. The HOMO/LUMO energy levels for the four compounds all matches that of PTB7-Th with enough energy offset for both electron and hole transfer to each other. The solution absorption spectra³⁵ of PPID, PBDT, TPBDT and PPBDT are shown in FIG. **15**. The photon absorption for these compounds are between 450 nm to 580 nm while the absorption of the donor polymer PTB7-Th is between 550 nm to 780 nm. The well matched absorption in the sun light spectrum can make more photon be harvested and translate to electricity.

Solar Cell Device Characterization

Part 1. Small molecules, PDI-X-PDI, X can be a linker or nothing. Syntheses of the compounds described in FIGS. **11**, 45 **16-17**.





Properties of Compounds of Part 1.

	Jsc (mA/cm ⁻²)	Voc (V)	FF	PCE (%)
QH0267	10.20	0.78	0.45	3.61
QH0275	1.82	0.17	0.27	0.08
QH0289	4.90	0.54	0.32	0.85
QH0290	12.99	0.81	0.47	4.95
QH0297	4.27	0.63	0.25	0.67
QH02106	8.25	0.79	0.37	2.44
QH02111	5.64	0.73	0.33	1.36
QH02120	11.85	0.85	0.47	4.69
QH02136	8.96	0.80	0.48	3.43
QH02138	5.65	0.73	0.40	1.66
QH02141	7.78	0.77	0.37	2.24

Part 2. Small molecules, (PDI)₄X. Syntheses of the compounds is described in FIG. **19**.













x







76

Properties of compounds of Part 2.

5		Jsc (mA/cm ⁻²)	Voc (V)	FF	PCE (%)
	QH0306	11.85	0.83	0.47	4.64
	QH0311	16.33	0.79	0.50	6.44
	QH0315	12.59	0.82	0.45	4.69
10	QH0318	7.90	0.81	0.37	2.40
	QH0333	14.84	0.78	0.47	5.37

Part 3. Polymers. Synthesis of which is described in FIG.14



Properties of Polymers of Part 3.

		Jsc (mA/cm ⁻²)	Voc (V)	FF	PCE (%)
65	QH0327	5.57	0.65	0.34	1.23
	QHpolySe	9.70	0.68	0.43	2.87

General Device Fabrication.

Zinc Oxide Sol-Gel stock solution was prepared by stirring 0.46 g $ZnAc_2$ · $2H_2O$ in 5 ml 2-methoxyethanol and 0.15 ml ethanol amine at 60° C. under ambient condition. Then the solution was cooled to room temperature and subsequently filtered from 0.45 µm PTFE film before use. The PTB7-Th and small molecule acceptors were co-dissolved in chlorobenzene and chloronaphthalene (95:5 vol/vol). The 400-600 nm is complementing the light absorption of the donor material PTB7-Th and HOMO of all three components in the blend form a cascade, which, as previously reported, is beneficial for device performance. Indeed, when incorporated inside an active layer and after optimization we have achieved enhancement in the device performance reaching >10% PCE after optimization. The major enhancement was due to short circuit current density.

ΤA	BL	Æ	3

Solar cell t	parameters for	ternary OPV	devices stu	died	
Active layer	$\mathbf{V}_{oc},(\mathbf{V})$	J _{sc} , (mA/cm ²)	FF, (%)	PCE, (%)	Highest PCE, (%)
PTB7-Th:PC7 ₁ BM (1:1.5) PTB7-Th:TPBDT:PC7 ₁ BM (1:0.05:1.5)	0.77 ± 0.01 0.77 ± 0.01	18.1 ± 0.1 18.7 ± 0.2	67.9 ± 0.7 67.2 ± 0.2	9.5 ± 0.1 9.8 ± 0.1	9.8 10.2
PTB7-Th:TPBDT:PC7 ₁ BM (1:0.1:1.5)	0.76 ± 0.01	19.4 ± 0.2	68.3 ± 0.8	10.1 ± 0.1	10.3
PTB7-Th:TPBDT:PC7 ₁ BM (1:0.2:1.5)	0.77 ± 0.01	19.6 ± 0.4	67.7 ± 0.2	10.1 ± 0.2	10.5

overall material concentration was 15 mg ml⁻¹ and the 25 solution was stirred at 110° C. for 12 h under a N2 atmosphere. ITO glass substrate (Thin Film Devices) was cleaned in water, acetone and isopropylalcohol for 15 min under sonication. Glasses were then exposed to ultraviolet ozone irradiation for 30 min. A thin layer (~40 nm) of ZnO sol-gel 30 was spin-coated at 4,000 rpm for 40 sec onto ITO glasses and annealed at 200° C. in ambient condition for 30 min. After treated ZnO surface with 1% ethanolamine solution in methoxyethanol (3000 rpm for 40 s), the substrates were dried in 90° C. oven then transferred into glovebox imme- 35 diately. Active layers were spin-coated using the as-prepared solutions at 1,000 rpm in a glove box. MoO_3 (7.5 nm) and Al (80 nm) anodes were thermal evaporated in a glove box at a chamber pressure of $\sim 2.0 \times 10^{-6}$ torr.

Device Fabrication.

The small molecule were co-dissolved with donor polymers, for example, PTB7-Th in chlorobenzene (CB) with or without 5% (v/v) chloronaphthalene (CN) in the weight ratio of 1:1.5. Donor polymer's concentrations are normally 6 mg/mL.

Indium Tin Oxide (ITO)-coated glass substrates (15 Ω/sq) were cleaned stepwise in detergent, water, acetone, and isopropyl alcohol under ultrasonication for 15 min each and subsequently UV-ozone plasma treated for 30 min. Then a $_{50}$ thin layer of ZnO was spin-coated onto ITO surface at 4000 rpm. After being baked at 200° C. for 45 min under air. Then substrates were transferred to nitrogen filled glove box. The donor polymer/acceptor composites layer was then spin-cast from the CB solution to ZnO substrate at 800 to 4000 rpm 55 to achieve optimum thickness. Then a MoO3 layer (5-10 nm) and an Al layer (80 nm) were deposited in sequence under the vacuum of 2×10^6 torr. The effective area of film was measured to be 0.0314 cm2. The current density-voltage (J-V curves were measured using a Keithley 2420 source- 60 measure unit. The photocurrent was measured under AM 1.5 G illumination at 100 mW/cm2 under the Newport Oriel Sol3A Class AAA Solar Simulators 450 W solar simulator (Model: 94023A, 2 in.×2 in. beam size).

To further study properties of tetra-PDI acceptors, 65 QH0311 was used as an acceptor third component in ternary blend organic solar cells. The light absorption in a range of

Synthesis of Fully Conjugated A-D-A Ladder Type Molecules and their Electronic Properties.

Synthesis and structural characterization. See FIG. 21 for synthesis scheme. To stabilize the heteroacene compounds and extend the conjugation, a synthetic strategy as shown in scheme 1 was developed. Non-fused ring molecules (3r, 5r and 9r) were obtained by Pd-mediated coupling reaction between compound 1 and compounds 2-4. Although the thienyl or pheneyl substituted PDIs can be photochemical cyclized, the heteroacene-substituted PDI failed to underdo photocyclization reaction in the presence of 12, when the side chains on heteroacene donor system is alkyl group. However, the desired annulated compounds are obtained in high yields by following the Scholl cyclodehydrogenation using ferric chloride. Oxidative cyclodehydrogenation with ferric chloride is sometimes limited by the electronic character of the substituents. Typically, aromatic rings bearing electron withdrawing groups are less active in the cyclodehydrogenation reaction. Thus, the successful cyclodehydrogenation of fused-ring compounds with PDI to the desired product is a welcome exception. All the materials are soluble in common organic solvents such as CH₂Cl₂, CHCl₃, THF, and toluene. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) reveals that both non-fused ring and fused ring molecules are thermally stable up to 400° C.).

OPV Properties and Active Layer Characterization. Photovoltaic effects are one of the most important excited state properties in organic molecules. It is strongly influenced by excited energy level, charge separation, and electron transfer processes. Herein, photovoltaic effects of all six novel compounds were evaluated via inverted thin film solar cell devices. The energy levels of the six compounds are suited as electron acceptor; all match well with that of PTB7-Th, an efficient donor polymers for bulk heterojunction organic solar cells 8.69 with enough energy offset for charge separation. Device structure is ITO/ZnO/Active Layer/MoO3/Ag. PTB7-Th was employed as donor polymer with donor/acceptor ratio of 1:2. Active layer thickness was controlled at about 80 nm. Preliminary device results are listed in Table 4 and their I-V curves were shown in FIG. 19. In general, all the fused ring materials exhibit better photovoltaic performance with higher Voc and FF compared to their non-fused counterparts.

It was found that fused ring acceptor materials exhibit enhanced Voc value. Cyclization of 3r to C3r increased Voc from 0.87 V to 0.94 V, 5r to C5r from 0.89 V to 0.95 V, 9r to C9r from 0.88 V to 0.98 V. This enhancement of Voc correlates with the band gap increase in the acceptor molecules (Table 1). The LUMO energy levels were dominated by PDI moiety and HOMO by BDT ladder unit for the non-fused ring compounds, so they show almost the same LUMO energy and similar Voc with the molecular length increase (FIG. 7d). For the fused ring molecules, the HOMO energy levels are reduced slightly due to electron withdrawing effect of the PDI and LUMO energy level increased due to electron donating effect of BDT moiety. Thus, both the energy levels and Voc of the fused ring compounds increase 15 linearly with the length of the molecular structures (FIG. 22d).

A dilemma of material design in BHJ solar cells is that, to achieve high Voc with a certain donor polymer, acceptor with higher LUMO would be desirable for high Voc value, 20 however at the same time, higher LUMO of acceptor also implies smaller difference between LUMO of donor and LUMO of acceptor, which will reduce driving force for charge separation, and is detrimental to Jsc value. The system described here shows that devices benefits from 25 obvious Voc enhancement without sacrificing their Jsc. The C3r show almost same Jsc with 3r, and C5r and C9r even have much higher Jsc than that of 5r and 9r. C9r device has Jsc of 8.9 mA/cm2 which is 35% higher than Jsc of 9r device (5.4 mA/cm2). The C5r device showed Jsc of 12.5 mA/cm2, which is 50% enhancement compared to 8.4 mA/cm2 of 5r device. Overall, all fused ring acceptors show higher photo conversion efficiency over their non-fused ring counterparts. Without any processing additive, highest efficiency 5.6% was achieved by C5r device which has outstanding Jsc and FF compared to others. PCE as high as 6.1% was achieved by introducing 0.5% DIO as processing additive of C5r device, implying C5r has great potential as efficient electron acceptor after more careful optimization.

TABLE 4

		<u>e enter</u>			ajagated moree	dieb i
	J _{sc} / mA/cm ²	$\mathbf{V}_{oc'} \\ \mathbf{V}$	FF (%)	PCE (%)	μ_h (cm ² /Vs)	μ_e (cm ² /Vs)
3r	8.96	0.87	0.42	3.26 ± 0.02	4.43×10^{-4}	5.00×10^{-5}
C3r	9.31	0.94	0.43	3.75 ± 0.07	3.08×10^{-4}	1.43×10^{-5}
5r	8.39	0.89	0.40	2.97 ± 0.03	2.67×10^{-4}	3.46×10^{-5}
C5r	12.50	0.95	0.47	5.59 ± 0.10 (6.06) ^b	3.55×10^{-4}	6.21×10^{-5}
9r	5.38	0.88	0.39	1.85 ± 0.12	2.91×10^{-4}	2.03×10^{-5}
C9r	8.89	0.98	0.43	3.69 ± 0.01	2.16×10^{-4}	1.22×10^{-5}

"Results are averaged over 10 devices,

^bWith 0.5% DIO as an additive

To understand the reason of J_{sc} enhancement, we measured external quantum efficiencies (EQE) of the devices (FIG. **20**). The C3r and 3r devices show overall similar EQE spectrum and almost identical J_{sc} value. For 5r and C5r, C5r shows much higher quantum efficiency, with PTB7-Th 60 reaching 60% at 600-800 nm. The high quantum efficiency of 50% between 400-500 nm corresponds to the strong absorption peak of C5r, indicating an efficient hole transfer from acceptor to donor. For 9r and C9r, although both acceptor materials show high absorbance between 400 to 65 500 nm, their quantum efficiency are lower than 40%, meaning they are inefficient in generating charge carriers.

80

Charge carrier mobility is evaluated by SCLC method. Hole-only devices are fabricated with the structure of ITO/ PEDOT/Active layer/MoO₃/Ag, and electron-only devices are fabricated with the structure of ITO/ZnO/Active layer/ Ca/Al. Hole mobilities of blend devices are similar and are of magnitude of 10^{-4} cm²V⁻¹ s⁻¹. Electron mobility differs greatly between different acceptors. The best performing device, C5r, show highest electron mobility of 6.21×10^{-5} cm²V⁻¹s⁻¹. With hole mobility of 3.55×10^{-4} cm²V⁻¹s⁻¹, the hole to electron mobility ratio of C5r blend device is only 5.7. This fairly balanced mobility helps to explain the best J_{sc} and FF value of C5r device among the six acceptors. This high electron mobility of C5r blend is clearly due to the highly planarity of the molecular structure of C5r.

PROPHETIC EXAMPLES

The present disclosure contemplates the following additional molecular acceptors synthesized by the general reaction schemes shown below.

 $Pd_2(dba)_3$ (25 mg) and P(MeOPh)₃ (75 mg) is added to a mixture of starting materials, THF (12 mL) and 2M K₂CO₃ aqueous solution (3 mL) under nitrogen. After refluxing overnight, the mixture is poured into methanol. The precipitate will be filtered and purified by column chromatography, using dichloromethane/hexane=1:1 as the eluent.











Although specific embodiments of the present disclosure are herein illustrated and described in detail, the disclosure is not limited thereto. The above detailed descriptions are 65 provided as exemplary of the present disclosure and should not be construed as constituting any limitation of the dis-

0

R

ö

closure. Modifications will be obvious to those skilled in the art, and all modifications that do not depart from the spirit of the disclosure are intended to be included with the scope of the appended claims.

35

40

45

50

55

60

What is claimed is:

1. A molecular acceptor of formula A:



- where R^1 is selected from: C_1 - C_{30} linear or branched 25 chain alkyl;
- x is an integer selected from: 2, 4 and 6; wherein when Ar_a is bonded at 1, and x is 2, Ar_a is selected from: a bond,







65 wherein R², R³, R⁴, R⁵, R⁶, R⁷, R⁸, R⁹, and R¹⁰, if present, are each independently selected from: C₁-C₃₀ linear or branched chain alkyl, and



wherein R¹¹, if present, is C₁-C₃₀ linear or branched chain alkyl; 15

or when Ar_a is bonded at 1, and x is 4, Ar_a is selected from:














or when Ar_a is bonded at 1, and x is 6, Ar_a is selected from: ⁴⁵









20

25

30

35

40

50

55

60

65



wherein R^{12} and $R^{13},$ if present, are selected from: $C_1\text{-}C_{30}$ linear or branched chain alkyl; and

wherein y is an integer selected from 1 and 3;

or when Ar_a is bonded at 2 and 3 and x is 4, Ar_a is selected from:



92 or when Ar_a is bonded at 2 and 3 and x is 6, Ar_a is:



2. A molecular acceptor of formula I:



- where R^1 is selected from: $C_1\mathchar`-C_{30}$ linear or branched chain alkyl;
- 45 x is an integer selected from: 2, 4 and 6; wherein when x is 2, Ar is selected from: a bond,











R², R³, R⁴, R⁵, R⁶, R⁷, R⁸, R⁹ and R¹⁰, if present, are each independently selected from:

C1-C30 linear or branched chain alkyl, and



 45 R¹¹, if present, is C₁-C₃₀ linear or branched chain alkyl; or when x is 4, Ar is selected from:



















or when x is 6, Ar is selected from:





3. The molecular acceptor of claim **2** further selected from an acceptor of formula II:





⁴⁵ where R_1 is a selected from: C_1 - C_{30} linear or branched chain alkyl; and

 Ar_1 is selected from: a bond,

50

55

60

65



















 $R^2, R^3, R^4, R^5, R^6, R^7, R^8, R^9$ and R^{10} , if present, are each independently selected from a: C_1 - C_{30} linear or branched chain alkyl, and



 R^{11} , if present, is C_1 - C_{30} linear or branched chain alkyl.



45





101 4. The molecular acceptor of claim 3 further selected from:















US 10,818,849 B2











35

40

45

50

55

60

65

5. The molecular acceptor of claim 4 having a power conversion efficiency of greater than 4.92%.

6. The molecular acceptor of claim 1 further selected from an acceptor of formula VI:



where R^1 is a selected from: C_1 - C_{30} linear or branched $_{25}$ chain alkyl; and

when Ar_5 is bonded at 1, Ar_5 is selected from: a bond





wherein R², R³, R⁴, R⁵, R⁶, R⁷, R⁸, R⁹ and R¹⁰, if present, are each independently selected from: C₁-C₃₀ linear or branched chain alkyl, and



wherein R^{11} , if present, is C_1 - C_{30} linear or branched chain alkyl;

or wherein when Ar_5 is bonded at 2 and 3, where Ar_5 is selected from:



where R_1 is a selected from: C_1 - C_{30} linear or branched chain alkyl; and



wherein Ar₆ is selected from:





45

20



wherein R^{12} and R^{13} , if present, are selected from: C_1 - C_{30} linear or branched chain alkyl; and wherein y is an integer selected from 1 and 3.

7. The molecular acceptor of claim 6 further selected from an acceptor of formula VII:



 $_{65}\,$ wherein R^{12} and $R^{13},$ if present, are selected from: $C_1\text{-}C_{30}\,$ linear or branched chain alkyl; and

wherein y is an integer selected from 1 and 3.

8. The molecular acceptor of claim **7** further selected from:



wherein EH is 2-ethyl hexyl.

9. The molecular acceptor of claim 8 having a power conversion efficiency of greater than 5.59%.

10. A molecular acceptor of claim **1**, further selected from an acceptor of formula IX:





















or when Ar_8 is bonded at 2 and 3, Ar_8 is selected from:









 $11.\,\mathrm{A}$ molecular acceptor of claim 10 further selected from an acceptor of formula III:



where R^1 is C_1 - C_{30} linear or branched chain alkyl; and Ar_2 is selected from the group consisting of:











ş

C₃H₇

C₃H₇

C₃H₇

C₃H₇









40

where R^1 is $C_1\mathchar`-C_{30}$ linear or branched chain alkyl; and

wherein Ar₉ is selected from:







14. The molecular acceptor of claim **13** further selected from:



15. The molecular acceptor of claim 1 further selected from an acceptor of formula XI:



where R^1 is selected from: C_1 - C_{30} linear or branched chain alkyl; and

when Ar_{10} is bonded at 1, Ar_{10} is selected from:













16. A molecular acceptor of claim 15 further selected from an acceptor of formula IV:

-continued



10

15

40

45

50

55

60

65

wherein R^1 is C_1 - C_{30} linear or branched chain alkyl; and Ar^3 is selected from the group consisting of:











17. The molecular acceptor of claim 1, where R^1 and at least one of R^2 , R^3 , R^4 , R^5 , R^6 , R^7 , R^8 , R^9 , R^{10} and R^{11} is the same.

18. The molecular acceptor of claim 1, where R^1 and at least one of R^2 , R^3 , R^4 , R^5 , R^6 , R^7 , R^8 , R^9 , R^{10} and R^{11} is different.

²⁵ **19**. The molecular acceptor of claim **1**, where R¹ and at least one of R², R³, R⁴, R⁵, R⁶, R⁷, R⁸, R⁹, R¹⁰ and R¹¹ is 2-ethylhexyl.

20. The molecular acceptor of claim **1**, where R¹ and at 30 least one of R², R³, R⁴, R⁵, R⁶, R⁷, R⁸, R⁹, R¹⁰ and R¹¹ is 2-butyloctyl.

21. The semiconducting acceptor of claim **1**, where R¹ and at least one of R², R³, R⁴, R⁵, R⁶, R⁷, R⁸, R⁹, R¹⁰ and R¹¹ is 1-propylbutyl.

22. A use of the semiconducting acceptor of claim **1** in a solar cell, an optical device, an electroluminescent device, a photovoltaic cell, a semiconducting cell, or photodiode.

23. A semiconducting polymer of formula VIII:



where R¹ is a selected from: C₁-C₃₀ linear or branched chain alkyl;

n is an integer greater than 1; and

60

Ar₇ is selected from:









where R², R³, R⁴, R⁵, R⁶, R⁷, R⁸, R⁹ and R¹⁰, if present, are each independently selected from: C₁-C₃₀ linear or branched chain alkyl, and



 R^{11} , if present, is C_1 - C_{30} linear or branched chain alkyl.

* * * * *