Neutrino Masses from Generalized Symmetry Breaking

Clay Córdova^(b),^{1,2,*} Sungwoo Hong,^{1,3,4,†} Seth Koren^(b),^{1,‡} and Kantaro Ohmori^(b),^{5,§}

¹Enrico Fermi Institute, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois, USA

²Kadanoff Center for Theoretical Physics, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois, USA

³Theory Division, Argonne National Lab, Lemont, Illinois, USA

⁴Department of Physics, Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, Daejeon, Republic of Korea ⁵Faculty of Science, University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

(Received 5 July 2023; revised 1 April 2024; accepted 1 July 2024; published 21 August 2024)

We explore generalized global symmetries in theories of physics beyond the standard model. Theories of Z' bosons generically contain "noninvertible" chiral symmetries, whose presence indicates a natural paradigm to break this symmetry by an exponentially small amount in an ultraviolet completion. For example, in models of gauged lepton family difference such as the phenomenologically well motivated $U(1)_{L_{\mu}-L_{\tau}}$, there is a noninvertible lepton number symmetry which protects neutrino masses. We embed these theories in gauged non-Abelian horizontal lepton symmetries, e.g., $U(1)_{L_{\mu}-L_{\tau}} \subset SU(3)_H$, where the generalized symmetries are broken nonperturbatively by the existence of lepton family magnetic monopoles. In such theories, either Majorana or Dirac neutrino masses may be generated through quantum gauge theory effects from the charged lepton Yukawas, e.g., $y_{\nu} \sim y_{\tau} \exp(-S_{inst})$. These theories require no bevy of new fields nor *ad hoc* additional global symmetries but are instead simple, natural, and predictive: The discovery of a lepton family Z' at low energies will reveal the scale at which $L_{\mu} - L_{\tau}$ emerges from a larger gauge symmetry.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevX.14.031033

Subject Areas: Particles and Fields

I. INTRODUCTION

With 50 years of the standard model (SM) behind us, it is clear that we must look in as many directions as possible for new physics—from building ever-more-inventive experimental probes to understanding how subtle effects in quantum field theory might be connected to the real world.

Perhaps the surest sign that there is something else experimentally accessible is that we have already discovered physics beyond the standard model which interacts with the visible sector. Neutrino masses are not present in the standard model, and new dynamics *must exist* to provide them. This new physics could be light, weakly coupled partners permitting Dirac masses, or it could be any variety of interactions inducing an effective dimensionfive Majorana masses. Regardless, new degrees of freedom are certainly out there to be discovered. In this paper, we explore generation of neutrino masses from the viewpoint of novel generalized global symmetries [1,2]. In particular, a prominent role is played by so-called noninvertible symmetries which have recently been extensively investigated [6–35] (see Ref. [36] for more complete review of these developments). We use this perspective to build models of neutrino masses which are minimally generated by instantons and, hence, naturally small. In particular, this constitutes a first example of generalized global symmetries having important implications for realistic theories of physics beyond the standard model [37].

A. Neutrino masses

Building on the successful discovery of neutrino oscillations by Super-Kamiokande [64] and the Sudbury Neutrino Observatory [65] around the turn of the millennium, the parameters of the neutrino sector are the subject of intensive experimental efforts. We here briefly recall their coarse properties.

Precision measurements of the width of the Z boson [66,67] and the damping of large multipole anisotropies in the cosmic microwave background (CMB) [68–70] unambiguously dictate the existence of three "active" (by convention, "left-handed") neutrinos. Observations of oscillations of flavor eigenstates on different length

^{*}Contact author: clayc@uchicago.edu

[†]Contact author: sungwooh@kaist.ac.kr

[‡]Contact author: skoren@nd.edu

[§]Contact author: kant.ohmori@gmail.com

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI.

scales have pinned down two of the differences of mass eigenvalues as

$$m_2^2 - m_1^2 \simeq (9 \text{ meV})^2, \qquad |m_3^2 - m_i^2| \simeq (50 \text{ meV})^2, \quad (1)$$

where the index i = 1, 2 above indicates that we do not know the full ordering, with either a "normal" $m_1 < m_2 < m_3$ (i = 2) or "inverted" $m_3 < m_1 < m_2$ (i = 1) hierarchy allowed.

The overall scale of neutrino masses has not been measured, but cosmological observations impose an upper bound on the sum of neutrino masses [71–74], from the modification to the Universe's evolution when cosmic background neutrinos become nonrelativistic:

$$\sum m_{\nu} \lesssim 150 \text{ meV}.$$
 (2)

The prospect of measuring the mass scale with CMB stage 4 (or, alternatively, by precise measurements of beta decay kinematics [75,76]) this next decade remains enticing. But for now, the data remain consistent with scenarios ranging from one exactly massless neutrino to all three neutrino masses at the same rough scale.

Precise measurements of appearances and disappearances have also revealed that, while the m_1 , m_2 , and m_3 mass eigenstates are primarily composed of the electron, muon, and tau neutrino, respectively, their mixing is far larger than in the quark sector. In particular, the second and third generations are near "maximally mixed" ($\theta_{23} \sim \pi/4$).

B. Hierarchies and symmetries

Even before asking the theoretical origin of the mixing structure, there is a question posed merely by the overall neutrino mass scale being parametrically below that of the electromagnetically charged standard model fermions. If neutrino masses have the same origin as the rest of the fermions, i.e., Yukawa couplings to the Higgs field which generates mass by electroweak symmetry breaking, then this requires the introduction of minuscule coupling constants:

$$\frac{y_{\nu}}{y_{\tau}} \sim 10^{-11},$$
 (3)

comparing the largest Yukawa among neutral leptons to that in the charged lepton sector. If neutrinos instead have Majorana masses through the irrelevant "Weinberg operator," this directly requires new physics which violates an exact global symmetry of the standard model at a large scale $\Lambda \sim 10^{14}$ GeV.

Consequently, building theories of neutrino masses and studying their phenomenological implications has been an active field over decades. The original seesaw model [77,78] for Majorana masses, with its inverse dependence on an ultraviolet scale, has remained most popular and has seen many variations. In contrast, Dirac neutrinos would attain masses through the same relevant operators as the rest of the SM fermions, and so it is more difficult to explain their tiny relative sizes. This has been achieved in theories introducing many new degrees of freedom from extra-dimensional realizations [79–90] to clockwork theory [91]. Also of note is the "Dirac seesaw"; see, e.g., Refs. [92–97].

The problem of addressing hierarchies in effective field theory is closely related to an analysis of global symmetries [98,99]. Indeed, one way for a small parameter to manifestly be stable to radiative corrections is if, when the parameter exactly vanishes, a symmetry is restored. This feature is known as technical naturalness. In the case of neutrino masses, as with the rest of the Yukawa structure, technical naturalness ensures that Yukawa couplings which are small at some large scale will remain small upon evolving to the infrared. Then, a fully satisfying explanation for their size may be postponed until high energies for our academic descendants to discover. Thus, in a sense, the problem of the overall neutrino mass scale is ultimately reduced to explaining the ultraviolet origin of small parameters. In broad strokes, our goal in the following is to evince that *noninvertible* such protective symmetries motivate particularly minimal symmetry-breaking mechanisms which generate exponentially small neutrino masses.

C. This work

We begin our analysis in Sec. II with a review of recent developments in generalized symmetry with a focus on noninvertible chiral symmetries [17,18]. In particular, we discuss natural symmetry-breaking mechanisms described in Ref. [18] arising from loops of monopoles.

We then apply these ideas to phenomenological models working up in scale starting from the standard model in the infrared. While the standard model itself does not enjoy any noninvertible symmetries, [100] we show that such generalized global symmetries may play a role in understanding the phenomenology of well-motivated theories beyond the standard model. In particular, a careful analysis of the standard model symmetries in Sec. III leads us to consider theories of gauged lepton family differences $U(1)_{L_i-L_j}$, and, in Sec. IV, we show that gauging this standard model global symmetry results in noninvertible symmetries which protect neutrino masses. This suggests that such models have a natural ultraviolet completion in which small neutrino masses arise from the breaking of a one-form global symmetry by dynamical lepton family monopoles.

Indeed, we exhibit ultraviolet completions of these theories where either Majorana or Dirac neutrino masses arise originally from instantons of a gauged non-Abelian "horizontal" lepton symmetry [101]. These models are remarkably predictive: For example, in our Dirac model below, the instantonic origin of neutrino masses can be discovered at the IR scale of the Z' boson. In this case,

the UV scale v_{Φ} at which instantons are generated is determined by the neutrino mass scale and the measurements of $M_{Z'}$ and the coupling strength $\alpha_{\mu\tau}(M_{Z'}^2)$:

$$v_{\Phi}^2 \sim M_{Z'}^2 \left(\frac{m_{\nu}}{m_{\tau}}\right)^{3/2} \exp \frac{3\pi}{4\alpha_{\mu\tau}(M_{Z'}^2)}.$$
 (4)

In the Dirac case, we find that, after adding right-handed neutrinos, their Yukawa interactions with the Higgs are protected by a noninvertible symmetry. In Sec. VI, we give an embedding of this theory in a gauged horizontal lepton symmetry $SU(3)_H$ which breaks the noninvertible symmetry only in the quantum theory via instantons, providing Dirac masses which are exponentially suppressed compared to those for charged leptons. In the Majorana theory with solely the standard model light fermion content, there is a noninvertible lepton number symmetry whose breaking may arise from an embedding of $U(1)_{L_{\mu}-L_{\tau}}$ in a gauged $SU(2)_H \times U(1)_Z$, as we show in Sec. V.

Thus, in both these theories, the hierarchically low scale of neutrino masses is explained by a global symmetry which is classically respected but broken quantum mechanically. Rather than requiring complicated model building to explain why either explicit or spontaneous breaking of ad hoc symmetries in the neutrino sector are surprisingly small, here, the ultraviolet gauge theory automatically provides a small breaking through the instanton action. These effects can also be intuitively understood as loops of monopole states which correct the neutrino propagator (see Fig. 1). This correspondence between monopole or dyon loops and quantum corrections due to instantons was described quantitatively in the context of axions in Ref. [47]. In summary, in our models, neutrinos become massive because they interact with the Higgs boson through the exchange of virtual monopoles.

Hence, the presence of a noninvertible symmetry in the theory portends the existence of this mechanism for neutrino masses satisfying Dirac's criteria for naturalness: order one numbers in the ultraviolet Lagrangian.

Our work suggests a number of threads for future investigation. While we focus on the natural origin of the hierarchically small overall neutrino mass scale, we make brief comments below about generating also the full mixing matrix while flowing down from the UV scale.



FIG. 1. An intuitive illustration of Majorana neutrino masses generated by monopole and dyon loops in our models. From an ultraviolet perspective, the sum over monopole loops is identified with an instanton process.

However, a full exploration of integrating this mechanism into the large body of results on neutrino sector "textures" and related $L_{\mu} - L_{\tau}$ phenomenology is one interesting direction for future work.

A further direction is to consider the physics of the lepton family monopoles, which to our knowledge have received quite little attention in the literature [108–110]. In the early Universe, these particles may arise as interesting dynamical objects which may have unitarity-limited inelastic interactions with standard model leptons yet which safely decay away after further symmetry breaking. Such monopoles at the large gauge couplings that our models require may also see appreciable production at a lepton collider, and it would be prudent to understand their signatures.

II. GENERALIZED SYMMETRY

In this section, we review recent developments regarding symmetry in quantum field theory. We focus on the particular topic of noninvertible chiral symmetry [17,18] relevant for our phenomenological applications.

A. Symmetry defect operators

The conceptual basis of recent progress is a broad interpretation of Noether's theorem which links topological operators to symmetries [1]. To set the stage, recall that Noether's theorem connects a continuous global symmetry with a current operator J^{μ} with vanishing divergence:

$$\partial_{\mu}J^{\mu} = 0. \tag{5}$$

The Noether charge at time *t* is constructed as an integral over all space:

$$Q(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} J^0(t, x) d^3 x, \qquad \frac{d}{dt} Q(t) = 0,$$
 (6)

where conservation of the charge follows from Eq. (5).

The idea of a topological operator is to consider a more general three-dimensional surface Σ in spacetime (rather than just \mathbb{R}^3). This generalizes the Noether charge to an extended operator Q with support on Σ :

$$Q[\Sigma] \equiv \int_{\Sigma} J^{\mu} \mathrm{d}^3 S_{\mu},\tag{7}$$

where above d^3S_{μ} is the vector volume element pointing in the normal direction to Σ [111].

What does the local conservation law (5) tells us about the charge $Q[\Sigma]$? When we have a smooth deformation Σ' of Σ , the difference of the charges measured on Σ' and Σ can be computed by Stokes' theorem:

$$Q[\Sigma'] - Q[\Sigma] = \int_{V} \partial_{\mu} J^{\mu} \mathrm{d}v, \qquad (8)$$



FIG. 2. The charge operator $Q[\Sigma]$ wraps a local operator $\mathcal{O}(x)$ in spacetime. Using the topological property, $Q[\Sigma]$ can be shrunk and results in a factor of the charge q of $\mathcal{O}(x)$.

where V is the four-volume between Σ' and Σ : $\partial V = \Sigma' - \Sigma$, and dv is the scalar volume element. Thus, the local conservation law (5) tells us that $Q[\Sigma]$ is invariant under smooth deformations of Σ . In this case, we say that the extended operator $Q[\Sigma]$ is *topological*.

The smooth changes of the manifold Σ do not extend to deformations which cause Σ to cross local operators. In this case, the correlation functions of $Q[\Sigma]$, in general, change. More specifically, when the three-surface Σ surrounds a local operator \mathcal{O} in spacetime, it measures the charge carried by the local operator. (See Fig. 2.) This follows from the Ward-Takahashi identity:

$$\langle \partial_{\mu} J^{\mu}(x) \mathcal{O}(y) \cdots \rangle = q \delta^4(x-y) \langle \mathcal{O}(y) \cdots \rangle,$$
 (9)

where q is the charge of \mathcal{O} and \cdots represents other insertions away from x.

The charge operator $Q[\Sigma]$ can be viewed as generating the infinitesimal (algebra) action of the symmetry. The symmetry defect operator $U_{\varphi}[\Sigma]$ is simply the exponentiation of $Q[\Sigma]$ and, hence, corresponds to a finite (group) action of the symmetry:

$$U_{\varphi}[\Sigma] = e^{i\varphi Q[\Sigma]}.$$
 (10)

Note that such operators are now also labeled by a phase $e^{i\varphi}$ in U(1) indicating the angle of charge rotation.

One advantage of symmetry defect operators (as opposed to charges) is that the symmetry defect operators also exist for discrete symmetries where there is no corresponding conserved current. In general, for each element $g \in G$ of the symmetry group G, we have corresponding operator $U_q[\Sigma]$:

$$g \in G \rightsquigarrow U_q[\Sigma]. \tag{11}$$

Intuitively, one may understand the extended operator $U_g[\Sigma]$ as prescribing discontinuous field configurations along Σ , where the field values on the two sides of Σ differ by the action of g. Alternatively, one may consider the defects in a phase where the associated symmetry is spontaneously broken. In that case, $U_g[\Sigma]$ is a domain wall connecting distinct vacua. The topological property of $U_g[\Sigma]$ is a manifestation of conservation of the symmetry and generalizes Noether's theorem to the discrete setting.

B. One-form symmetry and monopoles

We have seen that Noether's theorem can be recast as the existence of topological operators corresponding to symmetry operations. Adopting this point of view broadly then suggests that any operator which has topological correlation functions (except when crossing other operators) can be viewed as defining a kind of symmetry. In general, for instance, a topological operator may have support which is lower dimensional and gives rise to a so-called higher-form global symmetry [1].

In this, a key role is played by one-form global symmetry which naturally arises in gauge theory. These are symmetries where the symmetry defect operators are topological surface operators that act on line defects (physically, the worldlines of heavy charged particles). The most prominent example occurs in the familiar context of free Maxwell theory, i.e., U(1) gauge theory with gauge field *A* without charged matter where the equation of motion and the Bianchi identity can be recast as conservation of two distinct currents, each with two vector indices:

$$\partial^{\mu}J^{E}_{\mu\nu} = 0, \qquad \partial^{\mu}J^{M}_{\mu\nu} = 0, \qquad (12)$$

where

$$J^{E}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{4\pi e^2} F_{\mu\nu}, \qquad J^{M}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F^{\rho\sigma}.$$
 (13)

As is the case of ordinary symmetry, we can define the corresponding symmetry defect operator by

$$U^{E}_{\varphi}[\Sigma_{2}] = e^{i\varphi \int_{\Sigma_{2}} J^{E}_{\mu\nu} \mathrm{d}S^{\mu\nu}}, \quad U^{M}_{\varphi}[\Sigma_{2}] = e^{i\varphi \int_{\Sigma_{2}} J^{M}_{\mu\nu} \mathrm{d}S^{\mu\nu}}, \quad (14)$$

where now these operators are supported on the twodimensional manifold Σ_2 and electromagnetic action is $S_{\rm EM} = (1/4e^2) \int F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} d^4 x$. The surface element tensor $dS^{\mu\nu}$ is defined by $n_1^{\mu} n_2^{\nu} dS$, where n_1 and n_2 are the orthogonal normal vectors on Σ_2 and dS is the scalar surface element. For example, when the surface Σ_2 is contained in a time slice $t = t_0$, we can take $n_1^{\mu} = \delta^{\mu 0}$, and we have

$$U^{E}_{\varphi}[\Sigma_{2}] = e^{i(\varphi/4\pi e^{2})\int_{\Sigma_{2}}\mathbf{E}\cdot\mathrm{d}\mathbf{S}}, \qquad U^{M}_{\varphi}[\Sigma_{2}] = e^{i(\varphi/16\pi^{2})\int_{\Sigma_{2}}\mathbf{B}\cdot\mathrm{d}\mathbf{S}},$$
(15)

in the vector analysis notation. These electric and magnetic one-form symmetry operators act on Wilson or 't Hooft lines by linking. See Fig. 3.

The fact that the charged objects for one-form symmetries are worldlines of infinitely massive source particles also underlies the natural symmetry-breaking method for these currents. By introducing dynamical, i.e., finite mass, electric (magnetic) charges, sources can be screened by vacuum polarization, and the underlying symmetries are

FIG. 3. The action of magnetic one-form symmetry operator U_{φ}^{M} on a 't Hooft line *T* (the worldline of a heavy probe monopole). The symmetry operator is spatially placed so that it wraps the heavy monopole in a time slice. Since U_{φ}^{M} is topological, it can be shrunk toward the monopole worldline, giving the phase $e^{i\varphi}$. This is the magnetic version of Gauss' law and generalizes the action in Fig. 2.

broken. At the more mechanical level, the divergences of the one-form symmetry currents (12) are then nonzero operators.

The simple physical picture of screening described above belies a subtle conclusion. In effective field theory, one cannot break higher-form global symmetries without changing the degrees of freedom. For example, modifying the free Maxwell Lagrangian by higher-derivative terms,

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{1}{\Lambda^4} (F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu})^2 + \cdots, \qquad (16)$$

merely deforms the electric one-form symmetry current:

$$F_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow F_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{\Lambda^4} F_{\mu\nu}(F)^2 + \cdots$$
 (17)

but leaves the rank of the symmetry unchanged, since the right-hand side is conserved as a consequence of the equation of motion. This should be contrasted with standard symmetry breaking of ordinary (zero-form) symmetries, where a charged local operator in the action violates conservation. Instead, in the higher-form case, to any finite derivative order in effective field theory, the symmetry is unbroken. That is, there are no local operators charged under this symmetry.

For the magnetic one-form global symmetry, this conclusion is particularly dramatic: Breaking this symmetry requires finite action field configurations carrying magnetic charge. In the simplest case, these are monopoles. Within weakly coupled effective field theory with coupling g, one may estimate the size of such symmetry-breaking effects by modeling the monopole as arising from a Higgsing process at a scale v_{Φ} . Then, the mass of the monopole and cutoff Λ are parametrically given by, respectively,

$$m_{\rm mon} \sim \frac{v_{\Phi}}{g}, \qquad \Lambda \sim g v_{\Phi}.$$
 (18)

The quantum vacuum contains such monopoles which propagate for a short proper time $\delta t \sim 1/\Lambda$ and, hence,

gives rise to terms in the effective action which scale exponentially:

$$\delta \mathcal{L} \sim \exp(-S_{\text{mon}}) \sim \exp(-m_{\text{mon}}\delta t) \sim \exp(-\#/g^2),$$
 (19)

where S_{mon} is the one-particle action of the monopole and we use the Schwinger representation of the monopole propagator. Note that the scaling with coupling is the same as that of instanton corrections from a non-Abelian group. Indeed, the first quantized picture of loops of monopoles can often be traded for a sum over instanton sectors in an ultraviolet non-Abelian group [47]. This is plausible, since a dyon has nontrivial $\vec{E} \cdot \vec{B}$ and, hence, can activate the instanton density.

In summary, violations of magnetic one-form symmetry are naturally related to exponentially suppressed instantonlike corrections to the effective action. For instance, this analysis applies to the $U(1)_Y$ hypercharge gauge group in the standard model, which has an associated magnetic one-form global symmetry.

C. Noninvertible chiral symmetry

Our discussion has linked exponentially small corrections in an effective action to ultraviolet violations of magnetic one-form symmetry. However, the pattern of these corrections is so far unclear: Are these tiny corrections merely extra contributions to process which are already present in the low-energy effective field theory, or are they the leading terms governing some processes?

Noninvertible symmetry provides a key tool to understand this essential question. For the purposes of this work, we confine our attention to those noninvertible symmetries which semiclassically appear as ordinary symmetries which are violated only by Abelian instanton configurations. The paradigmatic example is a classical symmetry encoded by a current J_{μ} which is violated by an Abelian Adler-Bell-Jackiw (ABJ) anomaly:

$$\partial^{\mu}J_{\mu} = \frac{k}{32\pi^2} F_{\alpha\beta}F_{\gamma\delta}\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}, \qquad k \in \mathbb{Z}, \qquad (20)$$

where F is an *Abelian* gauge field strength and k is an integral anomaly coefficient. There are several immediate pragmatic conclusions from this equation.

- (i) A Z_k subgroup of the symmetry generated by J is unaffected by the anomaly and remains as a standard (invertible) symmetry.
- (ii) Despite the presence of the nontrivial anomaly, the symmetry generated by J is still preserved at the level of local operator correlation functions (and, hence, *S*-matrix elements). This follows from the fact that, when the gauge group is Abelian, the instanton processes needed to generate a net violation of the charge do not exist in simple configurations with only local operator insertions.



Their absence follows straightforwardly from $\pi_3(U(1)) = 0$, so that gauge transformations cannot nontrivially wrap the boundary of 4D Euclidean spacetime.

In spite of these points, the Abelian anomaly (20) is not innocuous. The most significant question is how to construct symmetry defects, the dimension-three operators which perform finite chiral symmetry transformations defined in Sec. II A. Ordinarily, one would merely integrate the current as in Eq. (7), but the nonzero divergence in Eq. (20) obstructs this naive prescription.

As recently discussed in Refs. [17,18], the correct construction of symmetry defects for symmetries suffering from Abelian ABJ anomalies involves coupling the bulk degrees of freedom to a nontrivial three-dimensional topological field theory supported along the defect world volume Σ . These degrees of freedom are anyonic particles with fractional spin and Abelian statistics. They couple to the bulk via their one-form magnetic symmetry, which is gauged by *F*.

In somewhat more detail, consider a finite symmetry rotation by angle $2\pi/kN$ where $N \in \mathbb{Z}$. This is one *N*th of the angle $2\pi/k$ whose corresponding symmetry defect is unaffected by the anomaly. Then, the world volume degrees of freedom on the symmetry defect are an Abelian gauge field *C* with Chern-Simons level *N* and action:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{iN}{4\pi} \int_{\Sigma} C_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} C_{\sigma} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\sigma} d^3 x + \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma} C_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} A_{\sigma} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\sigma} d^3 x.$$
(21)

More generally, the construction above may be carried out for any finite rotation by a rational angle. Below, we sometimes abuse notation and still refer to such a symmetry for all rational angles as a U(1), since it enforces the same selection rules on correlation functions of local operators.

The fact that the defect now supports a nontrivial quantum field theory means, in modern terminology, that the symmetry with an Abelian ABJ anomaly has become noninvertible. This has two closely related effects.

First, when acting on 't Hooft lines (worldlines of heavy magnetic monopoles), the symmetry defect acts to give them a fractional electric charge (via the Witten effect) and, hence, converts such line operators to open surface operators where the surface supports an integral of the magnetic one-form symmetry current $F_{\mu\nu}$. (See Fig. 4.) This is a consequence of the fact that magnetic charges can activate the divergence in Eq. (20).

Second, a finite rotation, followed by a rotation by an inverse angle, does not result in the identity operator. Instead, this composition leaves behind a "condensate" of one-form symmetry operators. In equations, let $\mathcal{D}_{kN}(M)$ be a symmetry defect associated to the angle $2\pi/kN$, where M is the three-manifold (spatial slice) which supports the defect, and let $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$ be the operator generating a rotation by the



FIG. 4. When the noninvertible symmetry defect \mathcal{D}_{kN} for the chiral symmetry wraps a loop of 't Hooft line, it induces a fractional 1/kN electric charge on the 't Hooft line. Such a dyon with fractional charge has to be attached to the electromagnetic dual of a Dirac string whose world volume is identified with $U_{2\pi/kN}^{M}$. This indicates that dynamical monopoles break the chiral symmetry.

opposite angle. Upon colliding these operators, we find the result: [112]

$$\mathcal{D}_{kN}(M) \times \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{kN}(M) \sim \sum_{\text{two-cycles } S} \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{N} \int_{S} J^{M}_{\mu\nu} dS^{\mu\nu}\right)$$
$$\sim \sum_{\text{two-cycles } S} U^{M}_{2\pi/N}[S], \qquad (22)$$

where $J_{\mu\nu}^{M}$ is the magnetic one-form symmetry current introduced in Eq. (13). The right-hand side is a sum over insertions of magnetic one-form symmetry defects wrapping two-dimensional cycles $S \subset M$ [113].

Hence, the symmetry operator $\mathcal{D}_{kN}(M)$, in general, does not admit an inverse. We will presently see the consequences of Eq. (22) in our models below.

D. Chiral symmetry breaking by monopoles

The utility of the preceding discussion is that it provides a natural link between symmetries which enjoy Abelian ABJ anomalies (and are, hence, noninvertible) and magnetic one-form symmetry-breaking effects. To illustrate this, let us discuss possible mechanisms for breaking a noninvertible chiral symmetry in effective field theory. We imagine that, at long distances, the noninvertible symmetry is approximately respected by the physics, while at shorter distance scales there are symmetry-violating effects. We may then contemplate two broad possibilities.

 (i) One may directly add a chiral symmetry-violating local operator O(x) to the Lagrangian:

$$L \supset \int d^4x \frac{1}{\Lambda^n} \mathcal{O}(x).$$
 (23)

Then, as in the violation of any ordinary global symmetry, the current J_{μ} acquires a classical contribution to its divergence breaking the symmetry.

(ii) One may violate the magnetic one-form symmetry. In this case, as remarked in Sec. II B, one expects exponentially small corrections to the effective action scaling as instanton contributions $\exp(-\#/g^2)$. The algebra (22) links the noninvertible symmetry to the

magnetic one-form symmetry and, hence, implies that these terms will lead to exponentially small violations of the noninvertible chiral symmetry.

It is the second of these mechanisms that is particular to a noninvertible symmetry. At a pragmatic level, it implies that a theory with a noninvertible symmetry comes naturally equipped with a mechanism to violate the symmetry by exponentially small effects: the propagation of magnetic monopoles. This is to be contrasted with standard symmetry violation by higher-dimensional charged operators whose size depends on the details of the ultraviolet. Instead, in the case where the noninvertible symmetry violation is communicated by violating the magnetic one-form symmetry, the physics is universal.

III. SYMMETRY OF THE STANDARD MODEL

We now begin our construction of neutrino mass models protected by noninvertible symmetries. We start in the infrared with the standard model and subsequently increase the energy scale. Thus, our first task is to review the global symmetries of the standard model. As emphasized above, we are particularly interested in understanding classical symmetries which are broken by quantum effects [114,115].

The standard model is a gauge theory with gauge group [116]

$$G_{\rm SM} = {\rm SU}(3)_C \times {\rm SU}(2)_L \times {\rm U}(1)_Y, \qquad (24)$$

with the familiar Weyl fermion representations shown in Table I. Here, the index $i = 1, ..., N_g$ labels the number of generations (or families) of each type of matter field. (In practice, we often leave N_g as a variable in formulas to follow, though nature has chosen $N_g = 3$.) A systematic way to understand the global symmetries is to first consider only the effect of the kinetic terms $\overline{\Psi}_i \not D \Psi_i$ for the matter fields and then sequentially take into account interactions and quantum effects.

With only kinetic terms, we can rotate the families among each other:

$$\Psi_i \longrightarrow U_{ii} \Psi_i, \tag{25}$$

TABLE I. Representations of the standard model Weyl fermions under the classical gauge and global symmetries. We normalize each U(1) so the least-charged particle has unit charge. We list also the charges of the right-handed neutrino N and the Higgs boson H.

	Q_i	\bar{u}_i	\bar{d}_i	L_i	\bar{e}_i	N_i	Н
$\overline{SU(3)_C}$	3	3	3				
$SU(2)_L$	2			2			2
$U(1)_{Y}$	+1	-4	+2	-3	+6		-3
$U(1)_B$	+1	-1	-1				
$\mathrm{U}(1)_{L}^{2}$				+1	-1	-1	

where $U_{ij} \in U(N_g)$ is a unitary matrix. This results in a $U(N_g)^5$ classical global symmetry of the fermion gauge covariant kinetic terms.

The Higgs field H and associated mass-generating Yukawa couplings drastically reduce the symmetry of the standard model. Given some assignment of charges under a global symmetry, we can always use our freedom to add U(1)_Y charges to set the Higgs charge to zero. We use this convention in the following. The structure of these interactions is

$$\mathcal{L} \supset y_{ij}^u \tilde{H} Q_i \bar{u}_j + y_{ij}^d H Q_i \bar{d}_j + y_{ij}^e H L_i \bar{e}_j, \qquad (26)$$

where $\tilde{H} \equiv i\sigma_2 H^*$. The observed Yukawa matrices y (equivalently, the fermion masses and flavor-changing processes) explicitly break all of the non-Abelian continuous global symmetries, as they provide different masses for the generations.

To elucidate the classical symmetry preserved by the interactions (26), we must take into account field redefinitions that we may use to simplify the couplings. In the lepton sector, independent rotations of L_i and \bar{e}_i enable us to diagonalize the Yukawa matrix:

$$y_{ij}^e HL_i \bar{e}_j \longrightarrow y_i^e HL_i \bar{e}_i. \tag{27}$$

So the lepton Yukawa interaction links together the transformations of the left- and right-handed leptons, but the so-called "lepton family symmetries" remain good classical symmetries. We, therefore, have separate phase rotations for each generation:

$$U(1)_{L_a} \times U(1)_{L_a} \times U(1)_{L_{\tau}},$$
 (28)

under which L_i and \bar{e}_i transform oppositely. In particular, the conventional lepton number $U(1)_L$ is the minimal linear combination of symmetries above which acts identically on each family.

In the quark sector, the standard model has right-handed partners for both the up and down quarks. This means we cannot simultaneously diagonalize both y^u and y^d while preserving $SU(2)_L$. Hence, the would-be "quark family symmetries" are explicitly broken. The only remaining global symmetry in the quark sector is, therefore, an overall $U(1)_B$ quark number called "baryon number." Note that, with the charge assignments in Table I, a $U(1)_B$ rotation by an N_c th root of unity can be compensated for by a transformation in the center of the color gauge group:

$$\exp\left(\frac{2\pi iB}{3}\right) \in \mathrm{SU}(3)_C.$$
 (29)

Therefore, the classical global symmetry of the quark sector is, in fact, $U(1)_B/\mathbb{Z}_{N_c}$.



FIG. 5. A triangle diagram which breaks current conservation of the classical symmetries of the standard model.

In summary, then, the Yukawas leave an Abelian classical symmetry group:

classical symmetry
$$\cong \mathrm{U}(1)_{L_e} \times \mathrm{U}(1)_{L_{\mu}} \times \mathrm{U}(1)_{L_{\tau}} \times \frac{\mathrm{U}(1)_B}{\mathbb{Z}_3},$$
(30)

and we must now investigate which of these classical symmetries survive quantum effects. The relevant triangle diagrams are illustrated schematically in Fig. 5. As we are interested in terms that break the classical symmetry, we focus here on those terms which give a nontrivial operator-valued divergence to the classically conserved currents:

$$\partial^{\mu}J_{\mu} = \frac{c_L}{32\pi^2} \operatorname{Tr}(W_{\mu\nu}W_{\rho\sigma})\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} + \frac{c_Y}{32\pi^2}B_{\mu\nu}B_{\rho\sigma}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}, \quad (31)$$

where above J_{μ} indicates any of the currents of Eq. (30), $W_{\mu\nu}$ is the field strength of the SU(2)_L weak gauge group, and $B_{\mu\nu}$ is the field strength of the U(1)_Y hypercharge gauge group. Table II summarizes the resulting anomaly coefficients. Each of these anomaly terms leads to distinct consequences.

- (i) Nonzero c_L.—The selection rules for J_µ are violated by instantons in the weak sector. This means that the symmetry is broken from a U(1) factor to a discrete group Z_{c_L} controlled by the anomaly coefficient.
- (ii) *Nonzero* c_Y .—The selection rules for J_{μ} are not violated, since there are no Abelian instantons in trivial spacetime topology. As discussed in Sec. II C, such an anomaly with U(1)_Y alone leads to non-invertible chiral symmetries.

The dynamical violation of selection rules in the case of nonzero c_L is seen explicitly via the appearance of 't Hooft vertices in the effective action. These are multifermion

TABLE II. Anomaly coefficients of classical global symmetries in the standard model. $L = L_e + L_\mu + L_\tau$.

	$\mathrm{SU}(2)_L^2$	$U(1)_{Y}^{2}$	$SU(3)_c^2$
$\overline{\mathrm{U}(1)_{B}}$	$N_q N_c$	$-18N_qN_c$	0
$\mathrm{U}(1)_{L_{k}}$	1	-18	0
$U(1)_L$	N_g	$-18N_g$	0

operators generated by instantons that explicitly violate the anomalous symmetry. The appearance of fermions in the 't Hooft vertex arises because $SU(2)_L$ charged fermions necessarily have zero modes in the presence of an $SU(2)_L$ instanton. Grassmann statistics then force the instanton contribution to the correlator to vanish unless fermion fields are inserted to saturate all of the zero modes (see, e.g., Refs. [117,118] for further pheno discussion). The overall scale of these corrections is controlled by the action of the instantons $\exp(-S) \simeq \exp(-8\pi^2/g_L^2)$ and is, therefore, highly suppressed.

For later applications, we emphasize that 't Hooft vertices are a feature of non-Abelian gauge dynamics and instantons. By contrast, for Abelian gauge fields no corresponding instanton process exists.

In our context, a given U(1) global symmetry will have mixed anomalies with both $SU(2)_L$ and $U(1)_Y$. In this situation, noninvertible symmetry can arise only when there are transformations (continuous or discrete) that are unaffected by $SU(2)_L$ instantons but which have nonvanishing $U(1)_Y^2$ anomalies. It is straightforward to check that for the standard model matter content this scenario does not occur. Indeed, the anomaly coefficient of any global U(1) with $U(1)_Y^2$ is always an integer multiple of that with $SU(2)_L^2$. This means that any global U(1) rotations not violated by $SU(2)_L$ instanton effects are necessarily free of $U(1)_Y$ anomaly. Thus, while $U(1)_Y$ does have a magnetic oneform symmetry, the standard model does not have any noninvertible symmetries—their would-be effects are always swamped by those of $SU(2)_L$ [119].

Examining the anomaly coefficients in Table II, we can now deduce the true global symmetry of the standard model. The condition of vanishing $SU(2)_L^2$ anomaly implies a single linear relation on the charges, leaving three continuous U(1) factors of Eq. (30) preserved. These may be taken to be the difference of lepton family symmetries as well as a nonanomalous combination of lepton and baryon number. In total, then the standard model has symmetry:

$$U(1)_{L_e - L_{\mu}} \times U(1)_{L_{\mu} - L_{\tau}} \times \frac{U(1)_{B - N_c L}}{\mathbb{Z}_{N_c}}.$$
 (32)

With an eye toward later developments, we also note that there is a discrete $\mathbb{Z}_{N_g}^L$ subgroup of $U(1)_L$ which survives as a global symmetry. This is contained in Eq. (32), since on all fields

$$\exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{3}L\right) = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{3}\left(\left(L_e - L_{\mu}\right) - \left(L_{\mu} - L_{\tau}\right)\right)\right).$$
(33)

The standard model field content and global symmetries described in this section imply that neutrinos are exactly massless. In particular, the symmetry (32) prevents

Majorana neutrino masses from being generated by the effective dimension-five Weinberg operator:

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{y_{ij}^N}{\Lambda} (\tilde{H}L_i) (\tilde{H}L_j), \tag{34}$$

even though this operator is gauge invariant. In particular, $U(1)_{B-N_cL}$ disallows the Weinberg operator entirely, while the lepton flavor symmetries just set some of the entries to vanish. Alternatively, if we add right-handed neutrinos N_i , then we may directly include Yukawa couplings to generate Dirac neutrino masses:

$$\mathcal{L} \supset y_{ij}^N \tilde{H} L_i N_j. \tag{35}$$

In this case, the masses respect the factors in Eq. (32) that involve the overall lepton number, but for generic couplings y_{ij}^N violate the family difference symmetries $L_i - L_j$ in the same manner as the quark Yukawas y^u and y^d .

Thus, neutrino masses—whether Majorana or Dirac imply that some portion of the global symmetry (32) is approximate and, hence, that our understanding of their ultraviolet fate is incomplete.

IV. SYMMETRY OF LEPTOPHILIC Z' MODELS

We now describe the implications of gauging an additional U(1) factor or, in particle physics terminology, the existence of a Z'. We denote the gauge field by A'_{μ} with field strength $F'_{\mu\nu}$. We will see that such models lead to noninvertible symmetry and provide natural mechanisms constraining neutrino physics.

The simplest possible scenario explored here is to gauge a U(1) subgroup of the symmetry (32) of the standard model. In order for such a gauging to be consistent, we must still take care that the cubic 't Hooft anomaly vanishes for the new dynamical U(1). With the strict standard model field content, this singles out the lepton family difference symmetries $L_i - L_j$ as those to potentially gauge. With only slightly more complexity, we may also add righthanded neutrinos and then gauge $B - N_c L$ [121].

Below, we describe features of gauged lepton family models generally without reference to an ultraviolet embedding. In later sections, we realize such models from non-Abelian gauge theory.

A. Gauged $L_{\mu} - L_{\tau}$

Consider gauging a single combination of lepton family difference $L_i - L_j$. Note that, while mathematically any choice of *i* and *j* is allowed, gauging $L_{\mu} - L_{\tau}$ is both least constrained and most well motivated. To the former point, effects of new interactions with first-generation charged matter are far more easily probed and have a correspondingly larger set of constraints (see, e.g., Refs. [123–127]). In particular, in the regime of large gauge coupling which will be picked out by our models, for a representative $g_{\mu\tau} \sim 1$, the Z' mass must be above $M_{Z'} \gtrsim 1$ TeV [128,129]. To the latter point, models with gauged $L_{\mu} - L_{\tau}$ have seen much study the past two decades as a potential explanation for experimental anomalies seen in precise measurements of $(g-2)_{\mu}$ (e.g., Refs. [130–136]) and in *B* meson branching ratios (e.g., Refs. [137–142]), as well as for the structure of neutrino mass matrices. In general, gauged lepton family symmetries are a well-motivated extension which tie together signatures in a wide variety of frontiers, from colliders [143–147], to

cosmology [148–150], to direct detection [151], to astrophysics [152–154], to the intensity [155–158] and precision [159,160] frontiers.

When we promote $U(1)_{L_{\mu}-L_{\tau}}$ to a gauge symmetry, we must revisit the fate of the global symmetries of the standard model. Here, we consider models with no additional light fields beyond those of the standard model which contribute to the anomaly analysis.

Each of the classical global symmetries now has a new anomaly coefficient written in Table III. Taking into account the SU(2)²_L anomalies leading to Eq. (32), we find that one linear combination of currents is gauged, another is fully anomaly-free and remains as a standard (invertible) global symmetry, while the final linear combination has trivial SU(2)²_L anomaly but nontrivial U(1)²_{Lµ-L_τ} anomaly coefficient: Hence, it becomes a noninvertible symmetry of this class of models. We enumerate each of these linear combinations in Table IV.

Let us emphasize two essential points.

- (i) While the anomaly-free combination which remains a standard invertible global symmetry is uniquely fixed, any linearly independent combination generates a noninvertible symmetry, and Table IV indicates one possible choice which saturates the minimal $U(1)_{L_u-L_\tau}$ anomaly coefficient.
- (ii) The symmetries enumerated in Table IV represent the largest possible symmetry group of this class

TABLE III. Mixed anomalies of classical global symmetries of the standard model extended with a gauged $U(1)_{L_u-L_r}$.

	$\mathrm{U}(1)_B$	$\mathrm{U}(1)_{L_e}$	$\mathrm{U}(1)_{L_{\mu}}$	$\mathrm{U}(1)_{L_{\tau}}$
$\mathrm{U}(1)^2_{L_{\mu}-L_{\tau}}$	0	0	1	1

TABLE IV. Fate of the symmetries of the standard model after gauging a lepton family difference symmetry.

Gauged	$\mathrm{U}(1)_{L_{\mu}-L_{\tau}}$
Invertible	$\mathrm{U}(1)_{B-N_qN_cL_e}/\mathbb{Z}_{N_c}$
Noninvertible	$\mathrm{U}(1)_{L_e-L_\mu}$

of models. A given effective field theory may break some of these symmetries.

The fact that $\mathbb{Z}_{N_g}^L$ is a noninvertible symmetry can be made more tangible by considering the associated symmetry defect. Physically, this is the topological domain wall which implements the symmetry action on operators. This action depends dramatically on the kind of operator in question. On local operators, we simply see a third root of unity lepton number rotation.

However, when acting on extended objects, the behavior of the domain wall is richer. Indeed, as compared to a standard (invertible) global symmetry, this defect hosts nontrivial topological field theory, in this case the 3*d* Chern-Simons theory at level N_g , $U(1)_{N_g}$. The anyonic degrees of freedom of the defect are then coupled to the bulk 4*d* physics as in the physics of the fractional Hall effect: The one-form symmetry on the defect world volume is gauged in the bulk. More concretely, letting A' denote the dynamical gauge field for $L_{\mu} - L_{\tau}$ and C the dynamical Chern-Simons gauge field supported along the defect world volume, we have the following defect Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{iN_g}{4\pi} \int_{\Sigma} C_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} C_{\sigma} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\sigma} d^3 x + \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma} C_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} A'_{\sigma} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\sigma} d^3 x.$$
(36)

In particular, this means that a 't Hooft line of the Z' gauge group, physically the worldline of a heavy magnetic monopole of $L_{\mu} - L_{\tau}$, excites the anyons when placed in the \mathbb{Z}_3^L symmetry defect. See Fig. 6. Such anyons have Abelian statistics with fractional spin

$$\operatorname{spin} = \frac{1}{2N_g}.$$
 (37)

Here, the spin *s* of an anyon is defined by the phase of the wave function obtained after a rotation by angle 2π :

$$R_{2\pi}|\text{anyon}\rangle = e^{2\pi i s}|\text{anyon}\rangle.$$
 (38)



FIG. 6. A time slice where the noninvertible symmetry defect \mathcal{D}_{kN} (orange) wraps the 't Hooft line, or monopole, *T* (green). The particle *T* emits a magnetic flux $\int F_{\mu\nu} dS^{\mu\nu}$ indicated by the arrows. From the defect Lagrangian (36), we see that this flux effectively induces a Wilson line $\exp(i \int C_0 dt)$ of the defect gauge field C_{μ} stretching along time. This Wilson line is the worldline of an anyon.

The definition is the same as for a familiar boson or fermion, but for anyons the spin can take values other than a half integer. If we move the symmetry defect through the 't Hooft line, it acquires a fractional $L_{\mu} - L_{\tau}$ charge of $1/N_g$ by the Witten effect and, hence, is attached to a topological surface operator. See Fig. 4.

Finally, let us reconsider the possibility of Majorana neutrino masses in this class of models. The $U(1)_{L_{\mu}-L_{\tau}}$ gauge symmetry allows only two entries in the mass matrix: $(\tilde{H}L_e)^2$ and $(\tilde{H}L_{\mu})(\tilde{H}L_{\mu})$. The first is forbidden by the invertible global symmetry $U(1)_{B-N_gN_cL_e}$, while the noninvertible symmetry $U(1)_{L_e-L_{\mu}}$ protects both terms. Thus, we see that in our theory noninvertible symmetries play the essential role in controlling the neutrino mass operator. But the invertible $U(1)_{B-N_gN_cL_e}$ needs to be broken at least to a $\mathbb{Z}_{2N_gN_c}$ subgroup, which acts on the leptons as \mathbb{Z}_2^F fermion parity.

Generically, such breaking is expected to occur perturbatively. From a top-down perspective, a theory embedding $U(1)_{L_{\mu}-L_{\tau}}$ in a group *G* may explicitly violate additional infrared global symmetries which do not commute with the larger gauge group *G*. Indeed, this is a feature of the UV completion in Sec. V. Alternatively, a given theory may spontaneously break the extraneous symmetry factors at the scale where *G* is broken. From the bottom up, we may explicitly realize such breaking by including in the Lagrangian higher-dimensional operators. For instance, a four-fermion operator of the form

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{1}{\Lambda^4} H L_e H L_e \bar{e}_{\mu} \bar{e}_{\tau} \tag{39}$$

is consistent with $L_{\mu} - L_{\tau}$ gauge invariance and preserves $\mathbb{Z}_{N_g}^L$ but violates the larger possible symmetry of this class of models. In particular, it respects only $\mathbb{Z}_{2N_gN_c} \subset U(1)_{B-N_gN_cL_e}$. Similarly, we may also contemplate six fermion operators of the schematic form

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{1}{\Lambda^{11}} (\tilde{H}L_{\mu})^3 (\tilde{H}L_{\tau})^3, \tag{40}$$

which have similar effects on the pattern of symmetry realization in this range of scales.

Let us summarize the situation in these models. An effective field theory analysis making use of only the invertible symmetry to forbid operators from the effective action would not have a satisfying explanation for the smallness of all the neutrino masses. If, instead, the noninvertible symmetry $\mathbb{Z}_{N_g}^L$ is remembered, the situation is qualitatively different: All entries of the Weinberg operator are charged under the noninvertible symmetry and excluded from the effective action. Therefore, in this class of models, neutrino masses will be naturally

small provided that $\mathbb{Z}_{N_g}^L$ remains an approximate non-invertible symmetry.

B. Inclusion of right-handed neutrinos

For a model of Dirac neutrino masses, we add three right-handed neutrinos N_i to the standard model, and we remind that, as above in Table I, the right-handed neutrinos carry lepton number L_i . With these additional light, charged fields in our theory, the symmetry analysis in Sec. III is then incomplete. These neutrinos begin with the global flavor symmetry U(N_g)_N, and since they are "sterile" this symmetry is not broken by instanton effects of the standard model gauge group. If we add no more explicit global symmetry violation, we have left-handed and right-handed neutrinos which are massless and have nothing to do with each other.

When we now gauge $L_{\mu} - L_{\tau}$ to repeat the analysis in Sec. IVA, this explicitly breaks the non-Abelian parts of the neutrino flavor symmetry, as each now has different quantum numbers. Classically, we should then discuss instead $U(1)_{N_e} \times U(1)_{N_{\mu}} \times U(1)_{N_{\tau}}$. These, of course, have no mixed anomalies with the SM gauge group, but they do have nontrivial ABJ anomalies with $U(1)_{L_{\mu}-L_{\tau}}$, as seen in Table V.

Clearly, $U(1)_{N_e}$ should be anomaly-free, as is $U(1)_{N_{\mu}-N_{\tau}}$, as now is $U(1)_{L_e-L_{\mu}}$ with the inclusion of the right-handed neutrinos. However, since we have not introduced any interactions between the right-handed neutrinos and the standard model fermions, the global rotations of the previous section—that is, rotations acting on L_i and \bar{e}_i but not N_i —still remain good classical symmetries. But note that these rotations in Table III are no longer proper lepton number symmetries now that we have additional leptons. We introduce the charge \tilde{L}_i for these symmetries to consistently continue using L_i as a lepton number charge, noting, for example, that now the electron family number is $L_e = \tilde{L}_e - N_e$.

is $L_e = \tilde{L}_e - N_e$. Now that we have $L_{\mu} - L_{\tau}$ anomalies both for $U(1)_{N_e - N_{\mu}}$ and for $U(1)_{\tilde{L}_e - \tilde{L}_{\mu}}$, we find that the linear combination which is the normal lepton family difference symmetry $U(1)_{L_e - L_{\mu}}$ is now an invertible symmetry. But the orthogonal combination $\tilde{L}_e - \tilde{L}_{\mu} + N_e - N_{\mu}$ has become noninvertible, as laid out in Table VI.

TABLE V. Mixed anomalies of classical global symmetries of the standard model and right-handed neutrinos with a gauged $U(1)_{L_{\mu}-L_{\tau}}$.

	$\mathrm{U}(1)_{L_e-L_{\mu}}$	$\mathrm{U}(1)_{N_e}$	$\mathrm{U}(1)_{N_{\mu}}$	$\mathrm{U}(1)_{N_{\tau}}$
$\mathrm{U}(1)^2_{L_{\mu}-L_{\tau}}$	0	0	1	1

TABLE VI. Fate of the symmetries of the standard model + right-handed neutrinos after gauging a lepton family difference symmetry.

Gauged	$\mathrm{U}(1)_{L_{\mu}-L_{ au}}$
Invertible	$\mathrm{U}(1)_{B-N_gN_c\tilde{L}_e}/\mathbb{Z}_{N_c}$
	$ \times \mathrm{U}(1)_{N_{\mu}-N_{\tau}} $
	$\times U(1)_{L_e-L_\mu} \times U(1)_{N_e}$
Noninvertible	$\mathrm{U}(1)_{ ilde{L}_e+N_e- ilde{L}_\mu-N_\mu}$

As commented above, the symmetries shown in Table VI are the largest which may be realized given this spectrum of fermions. To realize a realistic neutrino Yukawa matrix, our UV completion must result in much of this being broken. In particular, in the UV completion in Sec. VI, it is only the overall $U(1)_{\tilde{L}+N}$ which is violated solely by instantons, and the rest of the invertible symmetries are explicitly broken by the embedding in the UV gauge group.

C. One-loop renormalization group equations

We comment on two qualitatively interesting effects arising perturbatively in these gauged $L_{\mu} - L_{\tau}$ models. The first is the $L_{\mu} - L_{\tau}$ beta function, which depends on the existence of the right-handed neutrinos:

$$\beta(g_{\mu\tau}) = \frac{g_{\mu\tau}^3}{24\pi^2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{Weyl}}} q_i^2 \Rightarrow \beta_{\text{M}} = \frac{g_{\mu\tau}^3}{4\pi^2}, \quad \beta_{\text{D}} = \frac{g_{\mu\tau}^3}{3\pi^2}, \quad (41)$$

where above the subscript M (D) indicates the value in the Majorana (Dirac) case.

Our neutrino masses will ultimately be generated by a gauge theory effect, the size of which will depend on the size of the gauge coupling at a higher scale. So we emphasize that the discovery of the $L_{\mu} - L_{\tau}$ gauge boson and measurement of the "range" of this force $M_{Z'}$ along with its strength $g^2_{\mu\tau}(M^2_{Z'}) \equiv g^2_0$ allows us to evolve the gauge theory up to higher scales, defining as usual $\alpha_{\mu\tau}(\mu^2) \equiv [g^2_{\mu\tau}(\mu^2)/4\pi]$:

$$\alpha_{\mu\tau}(\mu^2)^{-1} = \alpha_0^{-1} - \frac{c_i}{\pi} \log \frac{\mu^2}{M_{Z'}^2}, \qquad (42)$$

with $c_{\rm M} = 1$ and $c_{\rm D} = 4/3$, revealing the presence of a Landau pole for $\mu^2 \sim M_{Z'}^2 \exp(4\pi^2/c_i g_0^2)$. For $g_0 \gtrsim 1$, as is relevant for us below, the Landau pole is far closer than the familiar one in the hypercharge coupling, so embedding $L_{\mu} - L_{\tau}$ in a non-Abelian gauge group is quite well motivated on general grounds.

Finally, there is one more marginal gauge-invariant operator in this theory, which is kinetic mixing $\epsilon B^{\mu\nu}F'_{\mu\nu}$.

The presence of matter charged under both $U(1)_Y$ and $U(1)_{L_{\mu}-L_{\tau}}$ generically generates such kinetic mixing at one loop. However, since the second and third generations have the same hypercharges and opposite $L_{\mu} - L_{\tau}$, the divergent parts of the one-loop diagrams cancel. Then, without any new light charged fields, kinetic mixing will not be induced until after electroweak symmetry breaking and below the mass of the tau lepton, where there will be mixing of the Z' with the photon of size

$$\epsilon \sim \frac{eg_{\mu\tau}}{32\pi^2} \ln \frac{m_{\tau}^2}{m_{\mu}^2}.$$
 (43)

So we need not worry about kinetic mixing if we stick to massive Z's above the scales which have been probed already at colliders, but in a broader investigation including lighter $L_{\mu} - L_{\tau}$ gauge bosons, for example, to address $(g-2)_{\mu}$, this would impact the phenomenology (see Ref. [161]).

V. MAJORANA MASS MODEL

Having shown that the standard model extended by $U(1)_{L_{\mu}-L_{\tau}}$ has an exact noninvertible global symmetry which forbids neutrino masses, we now set about evincing a UV completion to break this symmetry and provide the observed small neutrino masses.

Superficially, thus far, our work echoes much of the broad literature on models in which a symmetry protects neutrino masses. However, we need not introduce any separate spontaneous symmetry-breaking sector nor any explicit breaking communicated via mediators with prescribed charges under some new global symmetries.

Instead, the fact that it is a noninvertible symmetry protecting neutrino masses here means that this symmetry can be broken in the gauge sector itself by purely quantum effects and so naturally be broken an exponentially small amount. To provide such a Majorana mass, we consider a UV model in which the lepton family difference is embedded into a horizontal symmetry $SU(2)_H \times U(1)_Z$ [162]. At the group level, this mirrors the emersion of QED out of the electroweak sector and to our knowledge has not been studied before. In particular, it is different from the oft-studied $SU(2)_H$ UV completion with lepton species in adjoint irreducible representations [163] and instead embeds each lepton species into the reducible $2 \oplus 2$ of $SU(2)_H$. We may easily lift the one extra generation of each species by including a conjugate $SU(2)_H$ singlet such that the total addition of matter is vectorlike under the SM gauge groups, resulting in a theory that bears some resemblance to $SU(2)_H$ theories putting the leptons in a $2 \oplus 1$ where the electron is a singlet [123,164].

In this case, our UV theory contains the fields in Table VII which interact as follows: [165]

TABLE VII. Fields and their representations under the relevant symmetry groups. ψ_L and $\psi_{\bar{e}}$ are in conjugate representations of the SM gauge group with respect to L and \bar{e} , respectively, so that the extra fields are overall vectorlike with respect to G_{SM} .

	$SU(2)_H$	$U(1)_Z$	$L_{\mu} - L_{\tau}$	$U(1)_L$
Φ	2	-1	$ \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_e \\ \Phi_\tau \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} $	0
$\mathbf{L}_{\mu e}$	2	+1	$\begin{pmatrix} L_{\mu} \\ L_{e_1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} +1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$	+1
$\mathbf{L}_{E\tau}$	2	-1	$\begin{pmatrix} L_{e_2} \\ L_{\tau} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$	+1
ψ_L		0	0	-1
$\bar{\mathbf{e}}_{\mu e}$	2	-1	$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{e}_1\\ \bar{\mu} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$	-1
$\bar{\mathbf{e}}_{E\tau}$	2	+1	$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{\tau} \\ \bar{e}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} +1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$	-1
$\psi_{\bar{e}}$		0	0	+1

$$\mathcal{L} \supset y_{\mu}H\mathbf{L}_{\mu e}\bar{\mathbf{e}}_{\mu e} + y_{\tau}H\mathbf{L}_{E\tau}\bar{\mathbf{e}}_{E\tau} + \lambda_{L_{1}}\mathbf{\Phi}\mathbf{L}_{\mu e}\psi_{L} + \lambda_{L_{2}}\tilde{\mathbf{\Phi}}\mathbf{L}_{E\tau}\psi_{L} + \lambda_{e_{1}}\tilde{\mathbf{\Phi}}\bar{\mathbf{e}}_{\mu e}\psi_{\bar{e}} + \lambda_{e_{2}}\mathbf{\Phi}\bar{\mathbf{e}}_{E\tau}\psi_{\bar{e}}.$$
(44)

That $U(1)_L$ is the only global symmetry of the lepton sector can be checked by using $U(1)_Y$ and $U(1)_Z$ to set the *H* and Φ charges to zero and then solving the constraints from the Yukawa operators. We can parametrize the classical global symmetry of the UV theory as

$$U(1)_L \times \frac{U(1)_{B-N_cL}}{Z_{N_c}},$$
 (45)

which will be useful to make contact with our analysis of the infrared symmetries. As mentioned above, it is a \mathbb{Z}_{N_g} noninvertible symmetry which plays a key role in forbidding Majorana masses in the $U(1)_{L_{\mu}-L_{\tau}}$ phase, and in the UV this is recognized as a subgroup of $U(1)_L$ and classically respected. On the other hand, the global $U(1)_{B-N_gN_cL_e}$ symmetry, which from the infrared could provide some additional protection for the electron neutrino masses, is simply violated classically by the multiplet structure of the $SU(2)_H$ theory. Restricting to the leptons, it is only a \mathbb{Z}_2 subgroup of this which is respected in the UV theory. In particular, this means that, in the UV completion of the $L_{\mu} - L_{\tau}$ theory, it is the quantum mechanical violation of the \mathbb{Z}_{N_g} noninvertible symmetry which will set the size of the neutrino masses.

In the SU(2)_H × U(1)_Z breaking when Φ gets a vacuum expectation value $\langle \Phi \rangle = (v_{\Phi}, 0)^{\mathsf{T}}$, one linear combination of each of the left-handed and right-handed electrons is lifted by the SU(2)_H singlets. Defining two rotation angles $\tan \theta_L = -\lambda_{L_1}/\lambda_{L_2}$ and $\tan \theta_e = -\lambda_{e_1}/\lambda_{e_2}$, the rotation to the mass basis is

$$\begin{pmatrix} L_e \\ L_E \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_L & -\sin \theta_L \\ \sin \theta_L & \cos \theta_L \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} L_{e_1} \\ L_{e_2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (46)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{e} \\ \bar{E} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_e & -\sin \theta_e \\ \sin \theta_e & \cos \theta_e \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{e}_1 \\ \bar{e}_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (47)$$

where L_E and \overline{E} pair up with ψ_L and $\psi_{\overline{e}}$ to get large Dirac masses $M_L = \sqrt{\lambda_{L_1}^2 + \lambda_{L_2}^2} v_{\Phi}$ and $M_e = \sqrt{\lambda_{e_1}^2 + \lambda_{e_2}^2} v_{\Phi}$. The other linear combination remains as the light electron, and in the infrared, at lowest order (in v/v_{Φ}), we have

$$\mathcal{L} \supset y_{\mu} H L_{\mu} \bar{\mu} - y_{\tau} H L_{\tau} \bar{\tau} + (y_{\tau} \sin \theta_L \sin \theta_e - y_{\mu} \cos \theta_L \cos \theta_e) H L_e \bar{e}, \quad (48)$$

with more than enough freedom to match the electron mass, though with some fine-tuning required. We neglect to write down the additional Yukawa couplings of the Higgs with the extra vectorlike fermions but mention that the subleading, off-diagonal Yukawas are also constrained through standard model effective field theory by low-energy and precision data [166,167].

The $U(1)_L \times SU(2)_H^2$ anomaly coefficient in Table VIII shows that $U(1)_L$ is broken down to $\mathbb{Z}_2^L \subset U(1)_L$ by $SU(2)_H$ instantons, which allows Majorana mass terms. Recalling that the exact noninvertible symmetry at intermediate scales is the subset $\mathbb{Z}_{N_g}^L \subset U(1)_L$ protecting neutrino masses in the $U(1)_{L_{\mu}-L_{\tau}}$ gauge theory, we see that in the UV theory $U(1)_L$ is then entirely broken by quantum effects. Operationally, the breaking by $SU(2)_H$ suffices to produce the effect of interest, since $SU(2)_H^2$ instantons generate 't Hooft vertices as

$$\mathcal{L} \sim \frac{e^{-2\pi/\alpha_H}}{v_{\Phi}^5} L_{\mu e} L_{\mu e} L_{E\tau} L_{E\tau} \bar{e}_{\mu e} \bar{e}_{E\tau}, \tag{49}$$

where the exponential suppression is the action of the instanton as discussed above. For a detailed introduction to the generation of such 't Hooft vertices, we refer the reader to, for example, Ref. [168]. From this operator, we may immediately use the charged lepton Yukawa couplings to

TABLE VIII. Mixed anomalies of $U(1)_L$ in the Majorana mass scenario in the IR (above) and UV (below).

	$SU(2)_L^2$	$U(1)_{Y}^{2}$	$\mathrm{U}(1)^2_{L_{\mu}-L_{\tau}}$
$\overline{\mathrm{U}(1)_L}$	N_g	$-18N_{g}$	$(N_{g} - 1)$
	$SU(2)_H^2$	$U(1)_{Z}^{2}$	
$U(1)_L$	$(N_g - 1)$	$2(N_g - 1)$	



FIG. 7. The 't Hooft vertex generated by $SU(2)_H$ instantons. Two pairs of fermion legs are contracted with the charged lepton Yukawa coupling to the Higgs, which generates a Majorana neutrino mass.

contract two pairs of charged fermion legs into Higgses as in Fig. 7 and have

$$\mathcal{L} \sim \frac{y_{\mu} y_{\tau}}{v_{\Phi}} e^{-2\pi/\alpha_H} \tilde{H} L_{\mu e} \tilde{H} L_{E\tau}.$$
 (50)

While the IR dominance of the instantons means that the largest contributions come from the energy at which $SU(2)_H \times U(1)_Z$ is broken, the instantons themselves are an effect of $SU(2)_H$ gauge theory and so respect this UV gauge symmetry.

To lowest order, the neutrino masses generated at v_{Φ} see their breaking only through the lifting of the vectorlike partners, as

$$\mathcal{L} \sim \frac{y_{\mu}y_{\tau}}{v_{\Phi}} e^{-2\pi/\alpha_{H}} [\tilde{H}L_{\mu}\tilde{H}L_{\tau} - \tilde{H}L_{e_{1}}\tilde{H}L_{e_{2}}] + v_{\Phi}(\lambda_{L_{1}}L_{e_{1}} - \lambda_{L_{2}}L_{e_{2}})\psi_{L}, \qquad (51)$$

where the second` line contains Dirac masses both for the charged lepton above and for one neutrino. Again to lowest order, this just removes the corresponding ν_E from the spectrum, and we have

$$\mathcal{L} \sim y_{\mu} y_{\tau} \frac{v^2}{v_{\Phi}} e^{-2\pi/\alpha_H} \left[\nu_{\mu} \nu_{\tau} - \frac{1}{2} \sin 2\theta_L \nu_e \nu_e \right].$$
(52)

We see explicitly now that our UV completion of the $U(1)_{L_{\mu}-L_{\tau}}$ theory does not respect the $U(1)_{B-N_gN_cL_e}$ global symmetry we observed above that the $U(1)_{L_{\mu}-L_{\tau}}$ extension of the standard model could enjoy. In particular, Higgsing of our ultraviolet gauge theory generates four fermion operators such as Eq. (39), while in the neutrino mass matrix it generates L_e violating entries in conjunction with the 't Hooft vertex (49). This is desirable, as, while the SM proper respects this symmetry, the observed neutrino phenomenology does not.

When the ψ_L couplings are near-universal $\lambda_{L_1} \simeq \lambda_{L_2}$, we have $\sin(2\theta_L) \simeq 1$ and are well set up for a quasidegenerate neutrino spectrum with large 2–3 mixing. This successful prediction of the large mixing angle for "atmospheric"

neutrinos has long made $L_{\mu} - L_{\tau}$ symmetries particularly interesting for neutrino theorists [163,169–171].

So far, we have taken into account only the physics above v_{Φ} , which provides the violation of the noninvertible $Z_{N_g}^L$ symmetry by $SU(2)_H$ instantons. The Higgsing leaves unbroken a remnant $U(1)_{L_{\mu}-L_{\tau}}$ subgroup, which prevents us from populating the other entries of the Majorana mass matrix. Since this is manifestly not gauged in the far IR, we must have further spontaneous breaking with a scalar ϕ effecting

$$\langle \phi \rangle \neq 0 \Rightarrow \mathrm{U}(1)_{L_u - L_\tau} \to \emptyset.$$
 (53)

This is the same scalar providing mass for the massive Z' boson whose discovery would be the harbinger of this mechanism. Given the mass $M_{Z'}^2$ and the coupling $g_{\mu\tau}(M_{Z'}^2)$, we can express the neutrino mass scale m_{ν} using Eq. (52) and the beta function:

$$m_{\nu} \sim \frac{m_{\mu}m_{\tau}}{v_{\Phi}} \left(\frac{v_{\Phi}}{M_{Z'}}\right)^{4s_{H}^{2}} \exp \frac{-2\pi s_{H}^{2}}{\alpha_{\mu\tau}(M_{Z'}^{2})},$$
 (54)

where we plug in $y_{\mu}v = m_{\mu}$ and $y_{\tau}v = m_{\tau}$ and we also introduce the "horizontal mixing angle" $s_{H}^{2} \equiv \sin^{2}\theta_{H}$, where $g_{\mu\tau}(v_{\Phi}) \equiv g_{H}(v_{\Phi}) \sin \theta_{H}$ in analogy to the electroweak sector. In terms of the microscopic gauge couplings, $s_{H} = 2g_{Z}/\sqrt{g_{H}^{2} + 4g_{Z}^{2}}$, where the factor of 2 difference from the SM case is due to Φ having unit charge. Then, we can easily invert Eq. (54) to find the needed UV scale as

$$v_{\Phi}^{4s_{H}^{2}-1} \sim M_{Z'}^{4s_{H}^{2}-1} \left(\frac{M_{Z'}m_{\nu}}{m_{\mu}m_{\tau}}\right) \exp \frac{2\pi s_{H}^{2}}{\alpha_{\mu\tau}(M_{Z'}^{2})}.$$
 (55)

The s_H dependence reflects that the contribution of the $SU(2)_H$ instantons to violating the noninvertible symmetry depends upon how $U(1)_{L_{\mu}-L_{\tau}}$ emerges from $SU(2)_H \times U(1)_Z$ upon Higgsing.

In contrast to the Dirac case below, here the scale of the UV completion is not uniquely predicted by the low-energy data but is instead partially degenerate with the mixing angle. In Fig. 8, we plot the UV scale as a function of the inverse coupling strength over the full range of $0 < \sin \theta_H < 1$, which displays several interesting features. It is useful to massage Eq. (55) into the form

$$\log\left(\frac{v_{\Phi}}{M_{Z'}}\right) \sim \frac{2\pi s_{H}^{2}}{4s_{H}^{2} - 1} \alpha_{\mu\tau}^{-1}(M_{Z'}) + \frac{1}{4s_{H}^{2} - 1} \log\left(\frac{m_{\nu}M_{Z'}}{m_{\mu}m_{\tau}}\right).$$
(56)

Here, one may see clearly that for a given s_H there will be a line with slope $2\pi s_H^2/(4s_H^2 - 1)$, and the value of $M_{Z'}$ just translates the line vertically. The limiting value $s_H^2 \rightarrow 0$ is reached at a fixed $\alpha_{\mu\tau}^{-1}(M_{Z'})$ by sending $\alpha_H \rightarrow \infty$. The disappearance of the exponential



FIG. 8. The UV scale v_{Φ} predicted upon discovery of a $U(1)_{L_{\mu}-L_{\tau}} Z'$ boson at $M_{Z'} = 1$ TeV over a range of coupling strengths $\alpha_{\mu\tau}^{-1}(M_{Z'}) \equiv 4\pi/g_{\mu\tau}^2(M_{Z'})$. A different value of $M_{Z'}$ merely shifts the plot vertically. The purple line denotes the scale at which the Landau pole in $U(1)_{L_{\mu}-L_{\tau}}$ is reached.

suppression means that this gives uniformly $\log v_{\Phi} \sim \log m_{\mu}m_{\tau}/m_{\nu}$, but of course our picture of 't Hooft vertices has properly broken down.

At the special value $\theta_H = \pi/6$ ($s_H = 1/2$), the UV scale drops out of Eq. (54), and this model predicts uniquely a coupling $2\pi \alpha_{\mu\tau}^{-1} \sim 4 \log M_{Z'} m_{\nu}/(m_{\mu}m_{\tau})$. Plugging the equation for the Landau pole into Eq. (55), one finds that the s_H dependence drops out of the relation to leave also this same coupling, so all lines of fixed s_H meet the Landau pole at this same point.

Intriguingly, this is precisely the mixing angle demanded by the unification $SU(2) \times U(1) \subset SU(3)$ [172,173], meaning that a $U(1)_{L_{\mu}-L_{\tau}}$ gauge boson satisfying this relationship between $M_{Z'}$ and $\alpha_{\mu\tau}^{-1}$ would be a smoking gun for this model.

Renormalization group flow from v_{Φ} to a lower scale generically generates higher-dimensional operators involving ϕ which respect the infrared symmetries. Since the theory below v_{Φ} contains the noninvertible \mathbb{Z}_3^L symmetry, any further generation of Majorana masses must come from an insertion of the \mathbb{Z}_3^L -violating operators already present at the scale v_{Φ} . For ϕ with charge 1 under the gauged $L_{\mu} - L_{\tau}$ but uncharged under the global total lepton number, our infrared Lagrangian should then include

$$\mathcal{L} \supset y_{\mu}y_{\tau} \frac{v^{2}}{v_{\Phi}} e^{-2\pi/\alpha_{H}} \bigg[\nu_{e}\nu_{\tau} \frac{\phi}{v_{\Phi}} + \nu_{e}\nu_{\mu} \frac{\phi^{\dagger}}{v_{\Phi}} + \nu_{\tau} \frac{\phi}{v_{\Phi}} \nu_{\tau} \frac{\phi}{v_{\Phi}} + \nu_{\mu} \frac{\phi^{\dagger}}{v_{\Phi}} \nu_{\mu} \frac{\phi^{\dagger}}{v_{\Phi}} \bigg],$$
(57)

where we leave off additional O(1) factors in front of these operators. We give this merely as a schematic for how $L_{\mu} - L_{\tau}$ symmetry-breaking effects are generated; fully realistic models require additional sources of symmetry breaking for the invertible symmetry factors which also control the Yukawa texture.

There is a large body of work on how to achieve realistic neutrino masses and mixing in the context of gauged $L_{\mu} - L_{\tau}$ symmetry, possibly with a stage of $\mu - \tau$ reflection symmetry. As our point here is to evince the generation of the neutrino mass scale from nonperturbative, inherently quantum-mechanical violation of noninvertible \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{L} , we leave the detailed study of this further soft breaking to future work and for now refer to Refs. [123,130,174–192], among many others, for discussions in this direction.

VI. DIRAC MASS MODEL

To break the noninvertible symmetry in the Dirac case, we embed $U(1)_{L_{\mu}-L_{\tau}}$ into a non-Abelian horizontal $SU(3)_{H}$ under which the leptons are fundamentals. No extra fermions are needed, and this completion of lepton family difference symmetries has recently been studied in Ref. [193]. We emphasize, in particular, that we consider gauging only the horizontal lepton flavor as opposed to the horizontal symmetry of simple grand unified theories which includes both quarks and leptons [194].

The matter content in the lepton sector is given in Table IX, and the Lagrangian of the UV theory is simply

$$\mathcal{L} = y_{\tau} H \mathbf{L} \,\bar{\mathbf{e}}.\tag{58}$$

The matter fields at the level of the gauge theory enjoy the global symmetry $U(1)_L \times U(1)_{\bar{e}} \times U(1)_N$, and the charged lepton Yukawa coupling breaks one combination explicitly $U(1)_L \times U(1)_{\bar{e}} \rightarrow U(1)_{\bar{L}}$ as in the theory at intermediate scales.

As a theory of just three species of gauge fundamentals, it is easy to compute the anomalies in Table X. One finds that the anomaly-free linear combination of the remaining global symmetries is $U(1)_L$, i.e., the normal lepton number symmetry, which prevents the production of Majorana masses for the right-handed neutrinos. The other direction, $\tilde{L} + N$, is anomalous, and the associated 't Hooft vertex

TABLE IX. Fields and their representations under the relevant symmetry groups.

	$SU(3)_H$	$\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mu- au}$	$\mathrm{U}(1)_{ ilde{L}}$	$U(1)_N$
L	3	$ \begin{pmatrix} L_e \\ L_\mu \\ L_\tau \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ +1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} $	+1	0
ē	3	$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{e} \\ \bar{\mu} \\ \bar{\tau} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ +1 \end{pmatrix}$	-1	0
N	3	$ \begin{pmatrix} N_e \\ N_\mu \\ N_\tau \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ +1 \end{pmatrix} $	0	+1

TABLE X. Mixed anomalies of flavor symmetries in the Dirac mass scenario in the IR (above) and UV (below).

	$\mathrm{SU}(2)_L^2$	$\mathrm{U}(1)_Y^2$	$\mathrm{U}(1)^2_{L_{\mu}-L_{\tau}}$
$\mathrm{U}(1)_{\tilde{L}_{e}-\tilde{L}_{u}}$	0	0	-1
$\mathrm{U}(1)_{N_e-N_{\mu}}$	0	0	-1
	$SU(3)_H^2$		
$U(1)_{\tilde{L}}$	+1		
$\mathrm{U}(1)_N$	+1		

in Fig. 9 clearly violates both $U(1)_{\tilde{L}}$ and $U(1)_N$ by one unit each:

$$\mathcal{L} \sim \frac{e^{-2\pi/\alpha_H}}{v_{\Phi}^2} \mathbf{L} \bar{\mathbf{e}} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{N}.$$
 (59)

A single insertion of the charged lepton Yukawa turns this into a Dirac neutrino mass of size

$$\mathcal{L} \sim y_{\tau} e^{-2\pi/\alpha_H} \tilde{H} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{N}.$$
 (60)

We must still address the generation of the neutrino texture, but we already have the information we need to link low-energy observables to the scale of $SU(3)_H$ breaking. The matching of gauge couplings at v_{Φ} is now $4g_{\mu\tau}^2 = g_H^2$, so we may write the neutrino mass scale as

$$m_{\nu} \sim m_{\tau} \left(\frac{v_{\Phi}}{M_{Z'}}\right)^{4/3} \exp \frac{-\pi}{2\alpha_{\mu\tau}(M_{Z'}^2)}.$$
 (61)

Then, we can again invert this to find the UV scale v_{Φ} given the neutrino mass scale and the measurements of $M_{Z'}$ and $\alpha_{\mu\tau}(M_{Z'}^2)$:

$$v_{\Phi}^2 \sim M_{Z'}^2 \left(\frac{m_{\nu}}{m_{\tau}}\right)^{3/2} \exp \frac{3\pi}{4\alpha_{\mu\tau}(M_{Z'}^2)},$$
 (62)

where we recall $m_{\nu}/m_{\tau} \sim 10^{-11}$. By requiring that $M_{Z'} \lesssim v_{\Phi} \lesssim M_{\rm pl}$, we get two-sided limits on the coupling strengths for which this mechanism may work:



FIG. 9. The 't Hooft vertex generated by $SU(3)_H$ instantons. Two fermion legs are contracted with the charged lepton Yukawa coupling, yielding a Dirac neutrino mass.

TABLE XI. Symmetries protecting various Yukawa entries in the basis used in Table VI. The noninvertible symmetry protects all entries, and its breaking requires a 't Hooft vertex insertion. The bolding reminds that $L_{\mu} - L_{\tau}$ is gauged, so its breaking is on a different footing than the other charges.

	N_e	N_{μ}	$N_{ au}$
L _e	$\begin{array}{l} \tilde{L}_e = +1 \\ N_e = +1 \end{array}$	$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{L}_{\mu} - \mathbf{L}_{\tau} &= -1 \\ \tilde{L}_{e} &= +1 \\ N_{\mu} - N_{\tau} &= +1 \end{aligned}$	$ \begin{aligned} \mathbf{L}_{\mu} - \mathbf{L}_{\tau} &= +1 \\ \tilde{L}_{e} &= +1 \\ N_{\mu} - N_{\tau} &= -1 \end{aligned} $
L_{μ}	$\mathbf{L}_{\mu} - L_{\tau} = +1$ $N_e = +1$ $L_e - L_{\mu} = -2$	$N_{\mu} - N_{\tau} = +1$	$\mathbf{L}_{\mu} - L_{\tau} = +2$ $N_{\mu} - N_{\tau} = -1$ $L_{e} - L_{\mu} = -1$
L_{τ}	$\mathbf{L}_{\mu} - \mathbf{L}_{\tau} = -1$	$\mathbf{L}_{\mu} - \mathbf{L}_{\tau} = -2$	

$$N_e = +1$$
 $N_{\mu} - N_{\tau} = +1$ $N_{\mu} - N_{\tau} = -1$
 $L_e - L_{\mu} = -1$ $L_e - L_{\mu} = +1$

$$\frac{3\pi}{4} \left[\ln \left(\frac{M_{\rm pl}^2}{M_{Z'}^2} \frac{m_{\tau}^{3/2}}{m_{\nu}^{3/2}} \right) \right]^{-1} < \alpha_{\mu\tau}(M_{Z'}^2) < \frac{\pi}{2} \left[\ln \frac{m_{\tau}}{m_{\nu}} \right]^{-1}.$$
 (63)

The lower limit depends on the scale at which the Z' is discovered, but for around the TeV scale this limits roughly $1/45 \lesssim \alpha_{\mu\tau}(M_{Z'}^2) \lesssim 1/16$. The Dirac theory is then far more predictive by virtue of involving no unknown mixing angles.

Now having discovered a hierarchically small neutrino mass scale from the charged leptons and gauge theory dynamics, at lower energies we must generate the observed neutrino texture. This should originate from the scalar sector responsible for spontaneous symmetry breaking $SU(3)_H \rightarrow U(1)_{L_{\mu}-L_{\tau}}$ and later $U(1)_{L_{\mu}-L_{\tau}} \rightarrow \emptyset$, similarly to the recent analysis in Ref. [193].

As we now have a multitude of global symmetries shaping the structure of the Yukawa matrix, there are many more options for combinations of charges to assign to spurions. For a rough analysis of the expected sizes of Yukawa entries, we just display which charges are violated by each operator in Table XI.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Jeff Harvey and Liantao Wang for useful discussions related to this work. C. C. is supported by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) DE-SC0009924 and the Simons Collaboration on Global Categorical Symmetries. The work of S. H. at the University of Chicago is supported by the DOE Grant No. DE-SC-0013642. S. H. is partially supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357 at Argonne National Laboratory. S. H. is supported by Basic Research Support Grant at the Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology (KAIST), by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) Grant No. RS-2023-00211732, by the Samsung Science and Technology Foundation under Project No. SSTF-BA2302-05, and by the POSCO Science Fellowship of POSCO TJ Park Foundation. S. K. is supported by an Oehme Postdoctoral Fellowship from the Enrico Fermi Institute at the University of Chicago. K. O. is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant-in-Aid No. 22K13969 and No. 24K00522, and also by Simons Foundation Grant No. 888984 (Simons 1202 Collaboration on Global Categorical Symmetries). S. H. and S. K. are grateful for the hospitality of the Aspen Center for Physics, where this work was performed in part, which is supported by National Science Foundation Grant No. PHY-1607611.

- D. Gaiotto, A. Kapustin, N. Seiberg, and B. Willett, Generalized global symmetries, J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2015) 172.
- [2] See Refs. [3–5] for recent reviews on the generalized global symmetries.
- [3] T. D. Brennan and S. Hong, Introduction to generalized global symmetries in QFT and particle physics, arXiv: 2306.00912.
- [4] P.R.S. Gomes, An introduction to higher-form symmetries, SciPost Phys. Lect. Notes 74 (2023).10.21468/ SciPostPhysLectNotes.74
- [5] S. Schafer-Nameki, *ICTP lectures on (non-)invertible generalized symmetries*, arXiv:2305.18296.
- [6] Y. Tachikawa, On gauging finite subgroups, SciPost Phys. 8, 015 (2020).
- [7] M. Koide, Y. Nagoya, and S. Yamaguchi, *Non-invertible topological defects in 4-dimensional Z₂ pure lattice gauge theory*, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. **2022**, 013B03 (2022).
- [8] Y. Choi, C. Córdova, P.-S. Hsin, H. T. Lam, and S.-H. Shao, *Noninvertible duality defects in* 3 + 1 *dimensions*, Phys. Rev. D 105, 125016 (2022).
- [9] J. Kaidi, K. Ohmori, and Y. Zheng, *Kramers-Wannier-like duality defects in* (3 + 1)D gauge theories, Phys. Rev. Lett. **128**, 111601 (2022).
- [10] M. Anosova, C. Gattringer, N. Iqbal, and T. Sulejmanpasic, *Phase structure of self-dual lattice gauge theories in 4d*, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2022) 149.
- [11] K. Roumpedakis, S. Seifnashri, and S.-H. Shao, *Higher gauging and non-invertible condensation defects*, Commun. Math. Phys. **401**, 3043 (2023).
- [12] L. Bhardwaj, L. E. Bottini, S. Schafer-Nameki, and A. Tiwari, *Non-invertible higher-categorical symmetries*, SciPost Phys. **14**, 007 (2023).
- [13] G. Arias-Tamargo and D. Rodriguez-Gomez, *Non-invertible symmetries from discrete gauging and completeness of the spectrum*, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2023) 093.
- [14] Y. Hayashi and Y. Tanizaki, Non-invertible self-duality defects of Cardy-Rabinovici model and mixed gravitational anomaly, J. High Energy Phys. 08 (2022) 036.
- [15] Y. Choi, C. Córdova, P.-S. Hsin, H. T. Lam, and S.-H. Shao, Non-invertible condensation, duality, and triality defects in 3 + 1 dimensions, Commun. Math. Phys. 402, 489 (2023).

- [16] J. Kaidi, G. Zafrir, and Y. Zheng, Non-invertible symmetries of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM and twisted compactification, J. High Energy Phys. 08 (2022) 053.
- [17] Y. Choi, H. T. Lam, and S.-H. Shao, *Noninvertible global symmetries in the standard model*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **129**, 161601 (2022).
- [18] C. Córdova and K. Ohmori, *Noninvertible chiral symmetry* and exponential hierarchies, Phys. Rev. X 13, 011034 (2023).
- [19] A. Antinucci, G. Galati, and G. Rizi, On continuous 2category symmetries and Yang-Mills theory, J. High Energy Phys. 12 (2022) 061.
- [20] V. Bashmakov, M. Del Zotto, and A. Hasan, On the 6d origin of non-invertible symmetries in 4d, arXiv:2206 .07073.
- [21] J. A. Damia, R. Argurio, and E. Garcia-Valdecasas, Noninvertible defects in 5d, boundaries and holography, SciPost Phys. 14, 067 (2023).
- [22] H. Moradi, S. F. Moosavian, and A. Tiwari, *Topological holography: Towards a unification of Landau and beyond-Landau physics*, arXiv:2207.10712.
- [23] C. Córdova, K. Ohmori, and T. Rudelius, *Generalized symmetry breaking scales and weak gravity conjectures*, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2022) 154.
- [24] Y. Choi, H. T. Lam, and S.-H. Shao, Non-invertible timereversal symmetry, Phys. Rev. Lett. 130, 131602 (2023).
- [25] L. Bhardwaj, S. Schafer-Nameki, and J. Wu, Universal non-invertible symmetries, Fortschr. Phys. 70, 2200143 (2022).
- [26] T. Bartsch, M. Bullimore, A. E. V. Ferrari, and J. Pearson, Non-invertible symmetries and higher representation theory I, arXiv:2208.05993.
- [27] I. n. García Etxebarria, Branes and non-invertible symmetries, Fortschr. Phys. 70, 2200154 (2022).
- [28] F. Apruzzi, I. Bah, F. Bonetti, and S. Schafer-Nameki, *Noninvertible symmetries from holography and branes*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **130**, 121601 (2023).
- [29] C. Delcamp, A toy model for categorical charges, arXiv: 2208.07361.
- [30] J. J. Heckman, M. Hübner, E. Torres, and H. Y. Zhang, *The branes behind generalized symmetry operators*, Fortschr. Phys. **71**, 2200180 (2023).
- [31] D. S. Freed, G. W. Moore, and C. Teleman, *Topological symmetry in quantum field theory*, arXiv:2209.07471.
- [32] P. Niro, K. Roumpedakis, and O. Sela, *Exploring non-invertible symmetries in free theories*, J. High Energy Phys. 03 (2023) 005.
- [33] J. Kaidi, K. Ohmori, and Y. Zheng, Symmetry TFTs for non-invertible defects, arXiv:2209.11062.
- [34] A. Antinucci, F. Benini, C. Copetti, G. Galati, and G. Rizi, *The holography of non-invertible self-duality symmetries*, arXiv:2210.09146.
- [35] V. Bashmakov, M. Del Zotto, A. Hasan, and J. Kaidi, *Non-invertible symmetries of class S theories*, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2023) 225.
- [36] C. Córdova, T. T. Dumitrescu, K. Intriligator, and S.-H. Shao, Snowmass White Paper: Generalized symmetries in quantum field theory and beyond, arXiv:2205.09545.
- [37] Other recent work toward higher symmetries in particle physics models includes Refs. [17,18,23,24,38–63].

- [38] T. D. Brennan and C. Cordova, Axions, higher-groups, and emergent symmetry, J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2022) 145.
- [39] C. Cordova and S. Koren, Higher flavor symmetries in the standard model, Ann. Phys. (Berlin) 535, 2300031 (2023).
- [40] T. D. Brennan, S. Hong, and L.-T. Wang, Coupling a cosmic string to a TQFT, arXiv:2302.00777.
- [41] Y. Hidaka, M. Nitta, and R. Yokokura, *Global 3-group* symmetry and 't Hooft anomalies in axion electrodynamics, J. High Energy Phys. 01 (2021) 173.
- [42] P.-S. Hsin, Non-invertible defects in nonlinear sigma models and coupling to topological orders, arXiv:2212 .08608.
- [43] Y. Choi, H. T. Lam, and S.-H. Shao, Non-invertible Gauss law and axions, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2023) 067.
- [44] J. Wang, CT or P problem and symmetric gapped fermion solution, Phys. Rev. D 106, 125007 (2022).
- [45] K. Hinterbichler, D. M. Hofman, A. Joyce, and G. Mathys, *Gravity as a gapless phase and biform symmetries*, J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2023) 151.
- [46] M. M. Anber, S. Hong, and M. Son, New anomalies, TQFTs, and confinement in bosonic chiral gauge theories, J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2022) 062.
- [47] J. J. Fan, K. Fraser, M. Reece, and J. Stout, Axion mass from magnetic monopole loops, Phys. Rev. Lett. 127, 131602 (2021).
- [48] S. Hong and G. Rigo, *Anomaly inflow and holography*, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2021) 072.
- [49] B. Heidenreich, J. McNamara, M. Montero, M. Reece, T. Rudelius, and I. Valenzuela, *Chern-Weil global symmetries and how quantum gravity avoids them*, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2021) 053.
- [50] J. McNamara and M. Reece, *Reflections on parity breaking*, arXiv:2212.00039.
- [51] R. Yokokura, Non-invertible symmetries in axion electrodynamics, arXiv:2212.05001.
- [52] J. Wang and X.-G. Wen, Nonperturbative definition of the standard models, Phys. Rev. Res. 2, 023356 (2020).
- [53] Z. Wan and J. Wang, Beyond standard models and grand unifications: Anomalies, topological terms, and dynamical constraints via cobordisms, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2020) 062.
- [54] J. Davighi, B. Gripaios, and N. Lohitsiri, *Global anomalies in the standard model(s) and beyond*, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2020) 232.
- [55] J. Wang, Ultra unification, Phys. Rev. D 103, 105024 (2021).
- [56] J. Wang, Anomaly and cobordism constraints beyond the standard model: Topological force, arXiv:2006.16996.
- [57] M. M. Anber and E. Poppitz, *Nonperturbative effects in the standard model with gauged 1-form symmetry*, J. High Energy Phys. 12 (2021) 055.
- [58] J. Wang, Z. Wan, and Y.-Z. You, Cobordism and deformation class of the standard model, Phys. Rev. D 106, L041701 (2022).
- [59] J. Wang and Y.-Z. You, *Gauge enhanced quantum criti*cality between grand unifications: Categorical higher symmetry retraction, arXiv:2111.10369.
- [60] J. Wang and Y.-Z. You, Gauge enhanced quantum criticality beyond the standard model, Phys. Rev. D 106, 025013 (2022).

- [61] J. Davighi, B. Gripaios, and N. Lohitsiri, Anomalies of non-Abelian finite groups via cobordism, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2022) 147.
- [62] J. Davighi and N. Lohitsiri, *The algebra of anomaly interplay*, SciPost Phys. **10**, 074 (2021).
- [63] D. Tong, *Line operators in the standard model*, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2017) 104.
- [64] Y. Fukuda *et al.* (Super-Kamiokande Collaboration), *Evidence for oscillation of atmospheric neutrinos*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 1562 (1998).
- [65] Q. R. Ahmad et al. (SNO Collaboration), Direct evidence for neutrino flavor transformation from neutral current interactions in the Sudbury Neutrino Observatory, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 011301 (2002).
- [66] R. Ansari et al. (UA2 Collaboration), Measurement of the standard model parameters from a study of W and Z bosons, Phys. Lett. B 186, 440 (1987).
- [67] D. Decamp *et al.* (ALEPH Collaboration), *Determination of the number of light neutrino species*, Phys. Lett. B 231, 519 (1989).
- [68] J. Dunkley et al., The Atacama Cosmology Telescope: Cosmological parameters from the 2008 power spectra, Astrophys. J. 739, 52 (2011).
- [69] R. Keisler et al., A measurement of the damping tail of the cosmic microwave background power spectrum with the South Pole Telescope, Astrophys. J. **743**, 28 (2011).
- [70] G. Hinshaw et al. (WMAP Collaboration), Nine-year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) observations: Cosmological parameter results, Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser. 208, 19 (2013).
- [71] J. Lesgourgues and S. Pastor, *Massive neutrinos and cosmology*, Phys. Rep. 429, 307 (2006).
- [72] R. Allison, P. Caucal, E. Calabrese, J. Dunkley, and T. Louis, *Towards a cosmological neutrino mass detection*, Phys. Rev. D 92, 123535 (2015).
- [73] N. Palanque-Delabrouille et al., Neutrino masses and cosmology with Lyman-alpha forest power spectrum, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 11 (2015) 011.
- [74] N. Aghanim *et al.* (Planck Collaboration), *Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters*, Astron. Astrophys. 641, A6 (2020); 652, C4(E) (2021).
- [75] M. Aker et al. (KATRIN Collaboration), Direct neutrinomass measurement with sub-electronvolt sensitivity, Nat. Phys. 18, 160 (2022).
- [76] S. Abe et al. (KamLAND-Zen Collaboration), First search for the Majorana nature of neutrinos in the inverted mass ordering region with KamLAND-Zen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 130, 051801 (2023).
- [77] P. Minkowski, $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ at a rate of one out of 10⁹ muon decays?, Phys. Lett. B **67**, 421 (1977).
- [78] R. N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanovic, *Neutrino mass and spontaneous parity nonconservation*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 44, 912 (1980).
- [79] S. J. Huber and Q. Shafi, Seesaw mechanism in warped geometry, Phys. Lett. B 583, 293 (2004).
- [80] C. Csaki, C. Grojean, J. Hubisz, Y. Shirman, and J. Terning, *Fermions on an interval: Quark and lepton masses without* a Higgs, Phys. Rev. D 70, 015012 (2004).

- [81] G. Perez and L. Randall, Natural neutrino masses and mixings from warped geometry, J. High Energy Phys. 01 (2009) 077.
- [82] C. Csaki, C. Delaunay, C. Grojean, and Y. Grossman, A model of lepton masses from a warped extra dimension, J. High Energy Phys. 10 (2008) 055.
- [83] M. Carena, A.D. Medina, N.R. Shah, and C.E.M. Wagner, *Gauge-Higgs unification, neutrino masses and dark matter in warped extra dimensions*, Phys. Rev. D 79, 096010 (2009).
- [84] K. Agashe, S. Hong, and L. Vecchi, Warped seesaw mechanism is physically inverted, Phys. Rev. D 94, 013001 (2016).
- [85] K. Agashe, P. Du, and S. Hong, LHC signals for singlet neutrinos from a natural warped seesaw mechanism. I, Phys. Rev. D 97, 075032 (2018).
- [86] K. Agashe, P. Du, and S. Hong, LHC signals for singlet neutrinos from a natural warped seesaw mechanism. II, Phys. Rev. D 97, 075033 (2018).
- [87] Y. Grossman and M. Neubert, *Neutrino masses and mixings in nonfactorizable geometry*, Phys. Lett. B 474, 361 (2000).
- [88] T. Gherghetta, Dirac neutrino masses with Planck scale lepton number violation, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 161601 (2004).
- [89] R. S. Chivukula, E. H. Simmons, H.-J. He, M. Kurachi, and M. Tanabashi, *Ideal fermion delocalization in Higgsless models*, Phys. Rev. D 72, 015008 (2005).
- [90] K. Agashe, T. Okui, and R. Sundrum, A common origin for neutrino anarchy and charged hierarchies, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 101801 (2009).
- [91] S. Hong, G. Kurup, and M. Perelstein, *Clockwork neutrinos*, J. High Energy Phys. 10 (2019) 073.
- [92] P. Roy and O. U. Shanker, Observable neutrino Dirac mass and supergrand unification, Phys. Rev. Lett. 52, 713 (1984); 52, 2190(E) (1984).
- [93] P.-H. Gu and H.-J. He, Neutrino mass and baryon asymmetry from Dirac seesaw, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 12 (2006) 010.
- [94] S. Gabriel and S. Nandi, A new two Higgs doublet model, Phys. Lett. B 655, 141 (2007).
- [95] E. Ma and O. Popov, *Pathways to naturally small Dirac neutrino masses*, Phys. Lett. B **764**, 142 (2017).
- [96] C. Bonilla, E. Ma, E. Peinado, and J. W. F. Valle, *Two-loop Dirac neutrino mass and WIMP dark matter*, Phys. Lett. B 762, 214 (2016).
- [97] M. Carena, D. Liu, J. Liu, N. R. Shah, C. E. M. Wagner, and X.-P. Wang, ν solution to the strong CP problem, Phys. Rev. D **100**, 094018 (2019).
- [98] G. 't Hooft, Naturalness, chiral symmetry, and spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking, NATO Sci. Ser. B 59, 135 (1980).
- [99] S. Koren, *The hierarchy problem: From the fundamentals to the frontiers*, Ph.D. thesis, UC, Santa Barbara, 2020, [arXiv:2009.11870].
- [100] In the approximation where fermion masses are neglected, there are such noninvertible symmetries which can be used to understand aspects of pion physics [17].
- [101] The idea of generating neutrino masses via instanton effects has been previously explored in string theory

models using D-brane instantons [102–107]. There, the ultraviolet physics responsible for mass generation is controlled by the size of the cycle wrapped by the brane, where one can probe extra dimensions. It would be interesting to connect our general paradigm to these models perhaps by interpreting them in terms of non-invertible symmetries involving the Ramond-Ramond gauge fields.

- [102] L. E. Ibanez and A. M. Uranga, *Neutrino Majorana masses from string theory instanton effects*, J. High Energy Phys. 03 (2007) 052.
- [103] R. Blumenhagen, M. Cvetic, and T. Weigand, Spacetime instanton corrections in 4D string vacua: The seesaw mechanism for D-brane models, Nucl. Phys. B771, 113 (2007).
- [104] S. Antusch, L. E. Ibanez, and T. Macri, *Neutrino masses and mixings from string theory instantons*, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2007) 087.
- [105] M. Cvetic and P. Langacker, *D-instanton generated Dirac* neutrino masses, Phys. Rev. D 78, 066012 (2008).
- [106] K. Hoshiya, S. Kikuchi, T. Kobayashi, K. Nasu, H. Uchida, and S. Uemura, *Majorana neutrino masses by D-brane instanton effects in magnetized orbifold models*, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2022, 013B04 (2022).
- [107] S. Kikuchi, T. Kobayashi, K. Nasu, H. Uchida, and S. Uemura, *Modular symmetry anomaly and nonperturbative neutrino mass terms in magnetized orbifold models*, Phys. Rev. D 105, 116002 (2022).
- [108] T. M. Bibilashvili and G. R. Dvali, Superconducting cosmic strings in models with spontaneously broken family symmetry, Phys. Lett. B 248, 259 (1990).
- [109] J. L. Chkareuli, Flavored cosmic strings and monopoles, Phys. Lett. B 272, 207 (1991).
- [110] G. Dvali, L. Funcke, and T. Vachaspati, *Time- and space-varying neutrino masses from soft topological defects*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **130**, 091601 (2023).
- [111] In expressions such as Eq. (7), one superficially encounters a contraction of indices; however, in fact, the operator $Q[\Sigma]$ does not depend on the metric. This can be clearly seen, for instance, by reexpressing the defining integrals using differential forms.
- [112] In formulas such as Eq. (22), one often encounters topological invariants such as homology and cohomology which superficially vanish if the spacetime manifold or M is taken to be sufficiently simple. However, in general, the insertion of other (extended) operators can be viewed as creating topology. Thus, even in flat space, one will see the sum over surface operators provided we consider a sufficiently general correlation function.
- [113] In Eq. (22), we ignore phases in the sum which depend on the triple self-intersection number of *S*, which play no role in this paper.
- [114] S. Koren, A note on proton stability in the standard model, Universe 8, 308 (2022).
- [115] J. Wang, Z. Wan, and Y.-Z. You, Proton stability: From the standard model to beyond grand unification, Phys. Rev. D 106, 025016 (2022).
- [116] There is a well-known ambiguity in the global structure of this gauge group, and we may replace $G_{\text{SM}} \mapsto G_{\text{SM}}/\Gamma$,

where Γ is a subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_6 . This ambiguity does not play any role in the discussion to follow.

- [117] D. E. Morrissey, T. M. P. Tait, and C. E. M. Wagner, *Proton lifetime and baryon number violating signatures at the CERN LHC in gauge extended models*, Phys. Rev. D 72, 095003 (2005).
- [118] S. Koren, A cosmological lithium solution from discrete gauged B – L, Phys. Rev. Lett. 131, 091003 (2023).
- [119] We note that, when dynamical gravity is turned on, baryon minus lepton number may become a noninvertible symmetry [120].
- [120] P. Putrov and J. Wang, *Categorical symmetry of the* standard model from gravitational anomaly, arXiv:2302 .14862.
- [121] It is also possible to make $B N_c L$ anomaly-free and so gaugeable by coupling the SM to a nontrivial TQFT [55,56,122], though the generation of neutrino masses in such a case requires further understanding.
- [122] J. Wang, Anomaly and cobordism constraints beyond grand unification: Energy hierarchy, arXiv:2008.06499.
- [123] J. Heeck and W. Rodejohann, *Gauged* $L_{\mu} L_{\tau}$ symmetry at the electroweak scale, Phys. Rev. D 84, 075007 (2011).
- [124] T. A. Wagner, S. Schlamminger, J. H. Gundlach, and E. G. Adelberger, *Torsion-balance tests of the weak equivalence principle*, Classical Quantum Gravity 29, 184002 (2012).
- [125] F. Elahi and A. Martin, *Constraints on* $L_{\mu} L_{\tau}$ *interactions at the LHC and beyond*, Phys. Rev. D **93**, 015022 (2016).
- [126] M. Bauer, P. Foldenauer, and J. Jaeckel, *Hunting all the hidden photons*, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2018) 094.
- [127] E. J. Chun, A. Das, J. Kim, and J. Kim, Searching for flavored gauge bosons, J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2019) 093; 07 (2019) 24.
- [128] M. Drees, M. Shi, and Z. Zhang, *Constraints on U*(1)_{$L_{\mu}-L_{\tau}$} from LHC data, Phys. Lett. B **791**, 130 (2019).
- [129] G.-y. Huang, F. S. Queiroz, and W. Rodejohann, *Gauged* $L_{\mu} L_{\tau}$ at a muon collider, Phys. Rev. D **103**, 095005 (2021).
- [130] E. Ma, D. P. Roy, and S. Roy, Gauged $L_{\mu} L_{\tau}$ with large muon anomalous magnetic moment and the bimaximal mixing of neutrinos, Phys. Lett. B **525**, 101 (2002).
- [131] S. Baek, N. G. Deshpande, X. G. He, and P. Ko, *Muon* anomalous g-2 and gauged $L_{\mu} L_{\tau}$ models, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 055006 (2001).
- [132] K. Harigaya, T. Igari, M. M. Nojiri, M. Takeuchi, and K. Tobe, *Muon* g-2 and *LHC* phenomenology in the $L_{\mu} L_{\tau}$ gauge symmetric model, J. High Energy Phys. 03 (2014) 105.
- [133] A. Biswas, S. Choubey, and S. Khan, *Neutrino mass, dark matter and anomalous magnetic moment of muon in a* $U(1)_{L_u-L_t}$ model, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2016) 147.
- [134] M. Lindner, M. Platscher, and F. S. Queiroz, A call for new physics: The muon anomalous magnetic moment and lepton flavor violation, Phys. Rep. 731, 1 (2018).
- [135] A. Kamada, K. Kaneta, K. Yanagi, and H.-B. Yu, *Self-interacting dark matter and muon* g 2 *in a gauged* $U(1)_{L_u-L_r}$ *model*, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2018) 117.
- [136] A. Greljo, P. Stangl, A. E. Thomsen, and J. Zupan, On $(g-2)_{\mu}$ from gauged U(1)_X, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2022) 098.

- [137] W. Altmannshofer, S. Gori, M. Pospelov, and I. Yavin, Quark flavor transitions in $L_{\mu} - L_{\tau}$ models, Phys. Rev. D 89, 095033 (2014).
- [138] A. Crivellin, G. D'Ambrosio, and J. Heeck, *Explaining* $h \rightarrow \mu^{\pm} \tau^{\mp}$, $B \rightarrow K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$ and $B \rightarrow K \mu^+ \mu^- / B \rightarrow K e^+ e^$ in a two-Higgs-doublet model with gauged $L_{\mu} - L_{\tau}$, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 151801 (2015).
- [139] W. Altmannshofer, S. Gori, S. Profumo, and F. S. Queiroz, Explaining dark matter and B decay anomalies with an $L_{\mu} - L_{\tau}$ model, J. High Energy Phys. 12 (2016) 106.
- [140] A. Greljo, Y. Soreq, P. Stangl, A. E. Thomsen, and J. Zupan, *Muonic force behind flavor anomalies*, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2022) 151.
- [141] P. Ko, T. Nomura, and H. Okada, *Explaining* $B \to K^{(*)}\ell^+\ell^$ anomaly by radiatively induced coupling in $U(1)_{\mu-\tau}$ gauge symmetry, Phys. Rev. D **95**, 111701(R) (2017).
- [142] P. Ko, T. Nomura, and H. Okada, *Muon g*-2, B \rightarrow K^(*) $\mu^+\mu^$ anomalies, and leptophilic dark matter in U(1)_{$\mu-\tau$} gauge symmetry, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2022) 098.
- [143] R. Capdevilla, D. Curtin, Y. Kahn, and G. Krnjaic, *No-lose theorem for discovering the new physics of* $(g-2)\mu$ *at muon colliders*, Phys. Rev. D **105**, 015028 (2022).
- [144] F. del Aguila, M. Chala, J. Santiago, and Y. Yamamoto, *Collider limits on leptophilic interactions*, J. High Energy Phys. 03 (2015) 059.
- [145] D. Liu, J. Liu, C. E. M. Wagner, and X.-P. Wang, A light Higgs at the LHC and the B-anomalies, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2018) 150.
- [146] M. Ekhterachian, A. Hook, S. Kumar, and Y. Tsai, *Bounds on gauge bosons coupled to nonconserved currents*, Phys. Rev. D 104, 035034 (2021).
- [147] S. Baek and P. Ko, *Phenomenology of* $U(1)_{L_{\mu}-L_{\tau}}$ charged dark matter at *PAMELA* and colliders, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 10 (2009) 011.
- [148] M. Escudero, D. Hooper, G. Krnjaic, and M. Pierre, Cosmology with a very light $L_{\mu} - L_{\tau}$ gauge boson, J. High Energy Phys. 03 (2019) 071.
- [149] T. Araki, K. Asai, K. Honda, R. Kasuya, J. Sato, T. Shimomura, and M. J. S. Yang, *Resolving the Hubble tension in a* $U(1)_{L_{\mu}-L_{\tau}}$ model with the Majoron, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. **2021**, 103B05 (2021).
- [150] S. Baek, J. Kim, and P. Ko, Muon (g-2) and thermal WIMP DM in U(1)_{L_u-L_r} models, arXiv:2204.04889.
- [151] J. Heeck and W. Rodejohann, Gauged $L_{\mu} L_{\tau}$ and different muon neutrino and anti-neutrino oscillations: MINOS and beyond, J. Phys. G **38**, 085005 (2011).
- [152] T. Araki, F. Kaneko, Y. Konishi, T. Ota, J. Sato, and T. Shimomura, *Cosmic neutrino spectrum and the muon anomalous magnetic moment in the gauged* $L_{\mu} L_{\tau}$ *model*, Phys. Rev. D **91**, 037301 (2015).
- [153] T. Kumar Poddar, S. Mohanty, and S. Jana, *Constraints on* long range force from perihelion precession of planets in a gauged $L_e - L_{\mu,\tau}$ scenario, Eur. Phys. J. C **81**, 286 (2021).
- [154] J. A. Dror, R. Laha, and T. Opferkuch, *Probing muonic forces with neutron star binaries*, Phys. Rev. D 102, 023005 (2020).
- [155] Y. Kaneta and T. Shimomura, On the possibility of a search for the $L_{\mu} - L_{\tau}$ gauge boson at Belle-II and neutrino beam experiments, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. **2017**, 053B04 (2017).

- [156] T. Shimomura and Y. Uesaka, *Kinematical distributions of* coherent neutrino trident production in gauged $L_{\mu} L_{\tau}$ model, Phys. Rev. D **103**, 035022 (2021).
- [157] Y. M. Andreev et al. (NA64 Collaboration), Search for a light Z' in the L_μ – L_τ scenario with the NA64-e experiment at CERN, Phys. Rev. D 106, 032015 (2022).
- [158] C. Cesarotti, S. Homiller, R. K. Mishra, and M. Reece, *Probing new gauge forces with a high-energy muon beam dump*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **130**, 071803 (2023).
- [159] K. Asai, K. Hamaguchi, N. Nagata, S.-Y. Tseng, and J. Wada, *Probing the* $L_{\mu} L_{\tau}$ *gauge boson at the MUonE experiment*, Phys. Rev. D **106**, L051702 (2022).
- [160] M. Ibe, W. Nakano, and M. Suzuki, *Constraints on* $L_{\mu} L_{\tau}$ gauge interactions from rare kaon decay, Phys. Rev. D **95**, 055022 (2017).
- [161] A. Hook, E. Izaguirre, and J. G. Wacker, *Model independent bounds on kinetic mixing*, Adv. High Energy Phys. 2011, 859762 (2011).
- [162] We note that this model does not admit finite mass monopole solutions. This is related to the fact that the UV model has a magnetic one-form symmetry whose current flows to the IR magnetic one-form symmetry current. Nevertheless, we need only virtual states to run in the loop in Fig. 1. Here, the relevant unstable virtual state is the one having the same asymptotic gauge field profile as the on-shell 't Hooft-Polyakov monopole in the related model of adjoint Higgsing $SU(2) \rightarrow U(1)$, and the coupling of the neutrino to such a state is determined by the UV anomaly coefficient. In the case of breaking $SU(2) \times U(1) \rightarrow U(1)$, this gauge field profile is nullhomotopic and, thus, unstable, but the estimate of the magnitude of contribution made in Eq. (19) applies. If we further embed the $SU(2) \times U(1)$ gauge group into SU(3), the unstable state can be understood as a bound state a monopole and an antimonopole with different moduli.
- [163] X.-G. He, G. C. Joshi, H. Lew, and R. R. Volkas, *Simplest Z-prime model*, Phys. Rev. D 44, 2118 (1991).
- [164] C.-W. Chiang and K. Tsumura, Model with a gauged lepton flavor SU(2) symmetry, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2018) 069.
- [165] There is one more gauge-invariant dimension-four interaction term, $\tilde{H}\psi_L\psi_{\overline{e}}$, whose presence does not alter any of our analysis.
- [166] J. Ellis, M. Madigan, K. Mimasu, V. Sanz, and T. You, Top, Higgs, diboson and electroweak fit to the standard model effective field theory, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2021) 279.
- [167] J. J. Ethier, G. Magni, F. Maltoni, L. Mantani, E. R. Nocera, J. Rojo, E. Slade, E. Vryonidou, and C. Zhang (SMEFiT Collaboration), *Combined SMEFT interpretation of Higgs, diboson, and top quark data from the LHC*, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2021) 089.
- [168] C. Csáki, R. T. D'Agnolo, E. Kuflik, and M. Ruhdorfer, Instanton NDA and applications to axion models, arXiv: 2311.09285.
- [169] R. Foot, New physics from electric charge quantization?, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 06, 527 (1991).
- [170] X. G. He, G. C. Joshi, H. Lew, and R. R. Volkas, New Z-prime phenomenology, Phys. Rev. D 43, 22 (1991).
- [171] R. Foot, X. G. He, H. Lew, and R. R. Volkas, *Model for a light Z-prime boson*, Phys. Rev. D **50**, 4571 (1994).

- [172] S. Weinberg, Mixing angle in renormalizable theories of weak and electromagnetic interactions, Phys. Rev. D 5, 1962 (1972).
- [173] H. Georgi and S. L. Glashow, *Unity of all elementary* particle forces, Phys. Rev. Lett. **32**, 438 (1974).
- [174] P. Binetruy, S. Lavignac, S. T. Petcov, and P. Ramond, Quasidegenerate neutrinos from an Abelian family symmetry, Nucl. Phys. B496, 3 (1997).
- [175] T. Araki, K. Asai, J. Sato, and T. Shimomura, *Low scale seesaw models for low scale* $U(1)_{L_{\mu}-L_{\tau}}$ symmetry, Phys. Rev. D **100**, 095012 (2019).
- [176] A. S. Joshipura, N. Mahajan, and K. M. Patel, *Generalised* $\mu \tau$ symmetries and calculable gauge kinetic and mass mixing in U(1)_{L_µ-L_τ} models, J. High Energy Phys. 03 (2020) 001.
- [177] T. Ota and W. Rodejohann, Breaking of $L_{\mu} L_{\tau}$ flavor symmetry, lepton flavor violation and leptogenesis, Phys. Lett. B **639**, 322 (2006).
- [178] R. N. Mohapatra and W. Rodejohann, Broken mu-tau symmetry and leptonic CP violation, Phys. Rev. D 72, 053001 (2005).
- [179] K. Fuki and M. Yasue, Two categories of approximately mu-tau symmetric neutrino mass textures, Nucl. Phys. B783, 31 (2007).
- [180] C.-H. Chen and T. Nomura, *Neutrino mass in a gauged* $L_{\mu} L_{\tau}$ model, Nucl. Phys. **B940**, 292 (2019).
- [181] N. F. Bell and R. R. Volkas, Bottom up model for maximal muon-neutrino-tau-neutrino mixing, Phys. Rev. D 63, 013006 (2000).
- [182] N. Chamoun, C. Hamzaoui, E. I. Lashin, S. Nasri, and M. Toharia, *Phase broken* $\mu \tau$ *symmetry and the neutrino mass hierarchy*, Phys. Rev. D **104**, 015004 (2021).
- [183] K. Asai, K. Hamaguchi, N. Nagata, S.-Y. Tseng, and K. Tsumura, *Minimal gauged* U(1)_{L_a-L_b} models driven into a corner, Phys. Rev. D **99**, 055029 (2019).

- [184] K. Asai, K. Hamaguchi, and N. Nagata, *Predictions* for the neutrino parameters in the minimal gauged $U(1)_{L_{\mu}-L_{\tau}}$ model, Eur. Phys. J. C 77, 763 (2017).
- [185] E. I. Lashin, N. Chamoun, C. Hamzaoui, and S. Nasri, *Neutrino mass textures and partial* $\mu - \tau$ *symmetry*, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 093004 (2014).
- [186] S. Gupta, A. S. Joshipura, and K. M. Patel, *How good is* $\mu \tau$ symmetry after results on non-zero θ_{13} ?, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2013) 035.
- [187] E. J. Chun and K. Turzynski, *Quasi-degenerate neutrinos* and leptogenesis from $L_{\mu} - L_{\tau}$, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 053008 (2007).
- [188] S. Baek, H. Okada, and K. Yagyu, *Flavour dependent gauged radiative neutrino mass model*, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2015) 049.
- [189] T. Nomura and H. Okada, *Neutrino mass generation with large SU*(2)_L multiplets under local $U(1)_{L_{\mu}-L_{\tau}}$ symmetry, Phys. Lett. B **783**, 381 (2018).
- [190] A. Dev, Gauged $L_{\mu} L_{\tau}$ model with an inverse seesaw mechanism for neutrino masses, arXiv:1710.02878.
- [191] J. Heeck and A. Thapa, *Explaining lepton-flavor non-universality and self-interacting dark matter with* $L_{\mu} L_{\tau}$, Eur. Phys. J. C **82**, 480 (2022).
- [192] S. Choubey and W. Rodejohann, A flavor symmetry for quasi-degenerate neutrinos: $L_{\mu} L_{\tau}$, Eur. Phys. J. C 40, 259 (2005).
- [193] G. Alonso-Álvarez and J. M. Cline, *Gauging lepton flavor SU(3) for the muon g -2*, J. High Energy Phys. 03 (2022) 042.
- [194] Z. G. Berezhiani, Horizontal symmetry and quark-lepton mass spectrum: The SU(5) × SU(3)-h model, Phys. Lett. B 150, 177 (1985).