

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

TOPOLOGICAL HOCHSCHILD HOMOLOGY OF MAXIMAL ORDERS IN SIMPLE
 \mathbb{Q} -ALGEBRAS

A DISSERTATION SUBMITTED TO
THE FACULTY OF THE DIVISION OF THE PHYSICAL SCIENCES
IN CANDIDACY FOR THE DEGREE OF
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

BY
HENRY YI-WEI CHAN

CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

AUGUST 2017

Copyright © 2017 by Henry Yi-Wei Chan
All Rights Reserved

As human beings, we have a natural compulsion to fill empty spaces.

Will Shortz

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	v
ABSTRACT	vi
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Trace Method	1
1.1.1 Hochschild Homology and the Dennis Trace Map	1
1.1.2 Topological Hochschild Homology, Topological Cyclic Homology, and the Cyclotomic Trace Map	4
1.2 Main Results	8
2 LARSEN'S WORK IN HOCHSCHILD HOMOLOGY OF MAXIMAL ORDERS IN CENTRAL SIMPLE ALGEBRAS	13
3 TOPOLOGICAL HOCHSCHILD HOMOLOGY OF MAXIMAL ORDERS IN SIM- PLE \mathbb{Q} -ALGEBRAS	23
3.1 The calculation of $\mathrm{THH}_*(A/(p))$ for A a maximal order in a division algebra over \mathbb{Q}_p	23
3.2 The calculation of $\mathrm{THH}_*(A, A/(p))$ for A a maximal order in a division algebra over \mathbb{Q}_p	29
3.3 Identifying the Torsion	38
3.4 Combining the Local Calculations into the Final Result	48
A PROOF OF PROPOSITION 3.2.2	50
REFERENCES	66

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

First, I would like to thank my advisor, J. Peter May, for his guidance over the past six years. He always knows the answer, or the right direction to the answer, to every single question I have. Besides research, he has also provided me a lot of teaching opportunities, such as Directed Reading Program (DRP) and Research Experiences for Undergraduates (REU). He has cultivated me to be a well-rounded mathematician.

I would also like to thank my coauthor Ayelet Lindenstrauss. She has been extremely patient and forgiving while guiding me during the entire process. Without her, this project would not have been such a success.

I would also like to thank Agnes Beaudry, Angelica Osorno, and Mona Merling. Each of you have been a great mentor when I needed guidance and dicipline, a great friend when I needed consolation, and a great mediator when I needed to tell Peter something but was too afraid to tell him myself.

Ayelet and I would like to thank Lars Hesselholt and Michael Larsen for useful conversations about the structure of maximal orders and their invariants, and Vignleik Angeltveit for finding a problem in an earlier draft.

ABSTRACT

We calculate the topological Hochschild homology groups of a maximal order in a simple algebra over the rationals. Since the positive-dimensional THH groups consist only of torsion, we do this one prime ideal at a time for all the nonzero prime ideals in the center of the maximal order. This allows us to reduce the problem to studying the topological Hochschild homology groups of maximal orders A in simple \mathbb{Q}_p -algebras. We show that the topological Hochschild homology of $A/(p)$ splits as the tensor product of its Hochschild homology with $\mathrm{THH}_*(\mathbb{F}_p)$. We use this result in Brun's spectral sequence to calculate $\mathrm{THH}_*(A; A/(p))$, and then we analyze the torsion to get $\pi_*(\mathrm{THH}(A)_p^\wedge)$.

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Trace Method

The trace method was motivated to help approximate algebraic K -groups of rings. Let us first recall the definition of algebraic K -theory.

A Waldhausen category is a category with cofibrations and, in addition, weak equivalences such that the weak equivalences contain all isomorphisms and are closed under compositions. Moreover, weak equivalences are closed under “gluing along cofibrations”. Let \mathcal{C} be a Waldhausen category. We say that a morphism in $S_n\mathcal{C}$ is a weak equivalence if it is a weak equivalence componentwise and satisfies some pushout properties. We denote $w\mathcal{C}$ to be the subcategory of \mathcal{C} whose morphisms are weak equivalences of \mathcal{C} .

Definition 1.1.1. *Let \mathcal{C} be a Waldhausen category. Then*

$$K(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \Omega BwS_*\mathcal{C}$$

We can iterate this S construction. Note that we have a natural inclusion $\Sigma Bw\mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow BwS_*\mathcal{C}$. This allows to define K -theory as a spectrum instead of as a space, where the n -th space is $S^n\mathcal{C} = BwS_*^n\mathcal{C} = Bw(S_*S_*\cdots S_*\mathcal{C})$.

1.1.1 Hochschild Homology and the Dennis Trace Map

We begin with the definition of the cyclic bar construction.

Definition 1.1.2. *Let X be a group object in a symmetric monoidal category \mathcal{M} with product \otimes . The cyclic bar construction is a generalization of the standard bar construction*

$$N_{\otimes, n}^{cy}(X) = X^{\otimes(n+1)},$$

with the structure maps

$$d_i(a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) = \begin{cases} a_0 a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n & i = 0 \\ a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_i a_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n & 0 < i < n \\ a_n a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n-1} & i = n \end{cases}$$

$$s_i(a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) = a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_i \otimes 1 \otimes a_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n$$

$$t_n(a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) = a_n \otimes a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n-1}.$$

This makes $N_{\otimes,*}^{cy}$ a cyclic \mathcal{M} -object. Connes proved that the geometric realizations of cyclic objects are equipped with a natural S^1 -action.

Definition 1.1.3. Let k be a commutative ring, A be a unital associative k -algebra. The Hochschild complex is a simplicial k -module $N_{\otimes,*}^{cy}(A)$. Take $\partial_n = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i d_i : N_{\otimes,n}^{cy}(A) \rightarrow N_{\otimes,n-1}^{cy}(A)$. Note that $(N_{\otimes,*}^{cy}(A), \partial_*)$ forms a chain complex. The Hochschild Homology, denoted $\mathrm{HH}_*(A)$, is defined to be the homology of this complex.

Example 1.1.4. If $A = k$, then the complex becomes

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{0} k \xrightarrow{1} k \xrightarrow{0} k \xrightarrow{1} k \xrightarrow{0} k \xrightarrow{1} k \xrightarrow{0} k \longrightarrow 0$$

$$\text{Hence } \mathrm{HH}_i(k) = \begin{cases} k & i = 0 \\ 0 & i > 0 \end{cases}$$

Example 1.1.5. Let G be a discrete group, and $A = k[G]$. We get an isomorphism

$$k \langle N_{\times,*}^{cy}(G) \rangle \cong N_{\otimes,*}^{cy}(k[G]),$$

which gives us

$$H_*(\Lambda BG, k) \cong \mathrm{HH}_*(k[G])$$

where ΛBG is the free loop space of BG .

Note that an $A - A$ bimodule can be regarded as a left/right $A \otimes A^{op}$ module by

$$(a \otimes b) \cdot m = amb$$

$$m \cdot (a \otimes b) = bma$$

For example,

$$\mathrm{HH}_0(A; A) = A \otimes_{A \otimes A^{op}} A$$

Proposition 1.1.6. *If A is k -flat, then*

$$\mathrm{HH}_*(A, A) = \mathrm{Tor}_*^{A \otimes A^{op}}(A, A)$$

Example 1.1.7. *Suppose $A = k[x]$, we have an $A \otimes A^{op}$ -projective resolution*

$$0 \longrightarrow A \otimes A \xrightarrow{x \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x} A \otimes A \xrightarrow{\mu} A \longrightarrow 0$$

This shows that $\mathrm{HH}_(k[x]) = k[x] \otimes \Lambda(y)$. More generally,*

$$\mathrm{HH}_*(k[x_1, \dots, x_n]) = k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \otimes \Lambda(y_1, \dots, y_n).$$

Dennis first came up with an approximation map for the algebraic K -groups.

Theorem 1.1.8. *(Dennis) There is a trace map*

$$K(R) \rightarrow \mathrm{HH}(R)$$

However, the Dennis trace map loses too much information. For example,

$$\mathrm{HH}_i(\mathbb{F}_p) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{F}_p & i = 0 \\ 0 & i > 0 \end{cases},$$

whereas

$$K_i(\mathbb{F}_p) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_{p^{i-1}-1} & \text{if } i \text{ is odd,} \\ 0 & \text{if } i \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

1.1.2 *Topological Hochschild Homology, Topological Cyclic Homology, and the Cyclotomic Trace Map*

Topological Hochschild homology is the ring spectrum analog of Hochschild homology for rings. It was originally defined by Bökstedt in [1], and after the introduction of a strictly associative product on ring spectra by Elmendorf, Kriz, Mandell, and May in [3], it can be defined completely analogously to the definition of the Hochschild homology of a ring. When we talk about topological Hochschild homology of a ring, we actually mean the topological Hochschild homology of that ring's Eilenberg Mac Lane spectrum. Its homotopy groups turn out to be a finer and more interesting invariant than the Hochschild homology groups of the ring.

In the world of spectra, we have an operation analogous to \otimes , which is the smash product. A ring spectrum R is equipped with two operations

$$\phi : R \wedge R \rightarrow R$$

$$\eta : S \rightarrow R$$

The construction of these operations was explained in detail in [3]. Take $N_{\wedge, n}^{cy}(R) = R^{\wedge(n+1)}$. We have a similar definition for d_i and s_i . With some justifications, these operations make $N_{\wedge, *}^{cy}(R)$ a simplicial spectrum. We define the *topological Hochschild homology spectrum* of R to be

$$\mathrm{THH}(R) = \left| N_{\wedge, *}^{cy}(R) \right|.$$

The n -th topological Hochschild homology group of R is just the n -th homotopy group of $\mathrm{THH}(R)$.

Theorem 1.1.9 (Bökstedt). *There is a spectral sequence*

$$E_2^{s,t} = HH_*(L, k)$$

which converges to $H_*(\mathrm{THH}(L), k)$.

The THH spectrum comes with a genuine S^1 -action.

Definition 1.1.10. *A genuine S^1 -spectrum T is cyclotomic if there is an S^1 -equivalence*

$$r_C : \rho_C^\# \Phi^C T \rightarrow T$$

for every finite subgroup C of S^1 such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \rho_{C_r}^\# \Phi^{C_r} \left(\rho_{C_s}^\# \Phi^{C_s} T \right) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \rho_{C_{rs}}^\# \Phi^{C_{rs}} T \\ \rho_{C_r}^\# \Phi^{C_r} r_{C_s} \downarrow & & \downarrow r_{C_{rs}} \\ \rho_{C_r}^\# \Phi^{C_r} T & \xrightarrow{\quad r_{C_r} \quad} & T \end{array}$$

The following example demonstrates how cyclotomic spectra are related to free loop spaces.

Example 1.1.11. *Given any space X , let $R = \Sigma^\infty(\Omega X)_+$, we know that*

$$\mathrm{THH}(R) \simeq \Sigma_{S^1}^\infty \Lambda X$$

By the property of geometric fixed points, we have

$$\Phi^{C_p} \mathrm{THH}(R) \simeq \Sigma_{S^1/C_p}^\infty (\Lambda X)^{C_p}$$

Note that $(\Lambda X)^{C_p} \cong \Lambda X$, therefore we have an equivalence

$$\rho^* \Phi^{C_p}(\mathrm{THH}(R)) \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(R)$$

where $\rho : S^1 \rightarrow S^1/C_p$ is the p -th root isomorphism.

Theorem 1.1.12. (Hesselholt, Madsen) *There is a cyclotomic S^1 -spectrum $T(R)$ whose underlying naive S^1 -spectrum is $\mathrm{THH}(A)$.*

Fix a prime p . We have the three maps.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(Restriction)} \quad R &: T^{C_{p^n}} \cong (T^{C_p})^{C_{p^{n-1}}} \rightarrow (\Phi^{C_p} T)^{C_{p^{n-1}}} \simeq T^{C_{p^{n-1}}} \\ \text{(Frobenius)} \quad F &: T^{C_{p^n}} \rightarrow T^{C_{p^{n-1}}} \\ \text{(Verschiebung)} \quad V &: T^{C_{p^{n-1}}} \rightarrow T^{C_{p^n}} \end{aligned}$$

These three maps are exactly like the structure maps in the Witt ring.

Note that the maps R and F commute. We define TR and TF to be the homotopy limit of the reversed telescope, and TC to be the homotopy limit of the 2-dimensional diagram.

$$\begin{aligned} TR &= \mathrm{holim}(\cdots T^{C_{p^n}} \rightarrow T^{C_{p^{n-1}}} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow T) \\ TF &= \mathrm{holim}(\cdots T^{C_{p^n}} \rightarrow T^{C_{p^{n-1}}} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow T) \\ TC &= TR^{hF} = TF^{hR} \end{aligned}$$

As conjectured by Tom Goodwillie, the Dennis trace map from algebraic K-theory to Hochschild homology factors through topological Hochschild homology. So topological Hochschild

homology is a closer approximation of algebraic K-theory which while being harder to calculate than Hochschild homology is still much easier to calculate than algebraic K-theory. Work of Bökstedt, Hsiang, and Madsen in [2] further refines the Dennis trace by factoring it through topological cyclic homology, which is an excellent approximation of algebraic K-theory.

Theorem 1.1.13. *(Bökstedt, Hsiang, Madsen) There is a topological version of the trace map*

$$K(R) \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(R)$$

which factors through $TC(R)$.

The following two theorems illustrate how well the trace map approximates the algebraic K-groups.

Theorem 1.1.14. *(McCarthy) Let $f : R \rightarrow S$ be a surjective homomorphism of rings whose kernel is a nilpotent ideal. Then the following diagram commutes up to homotopy after completion at p for any prime p .*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K(R) & \longrightarrow & TC(R) \\ K(f) \downarrow & & \downarrow TC(f) \\ K(S) & \longrightarrow & TC(S) \end{array}$$

In other words, the induced map on homotopy fibers $K(f) \rightarrow TC(f)$ becomes a homotopy equivalence after p -completion.

This theorem gives motivation for topological cyclic homology. That is, it gives a local approximation of K-theory to make some computations doable. However, if R is finitely generated over \mathbb{Z} , then

$$TC(R)_p^\wedge \simeq TC(R_p^\wedge)_p^\wedge.$$

In other words, TC does not see the fiber of the map $K\left(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}\right) \rightarrow K\left(\mathbb{Z}_p\right)$, so the cyclotomic trace map loses certain number theoretic information. This is a tradeoff for the pursuit of computability. The following theorem in [4] can be proved using McCarthy Theorem.

Theorem 1.1.15. *(Hesselholt-Madsen) Let k be a perfect field of characteristic p , and A be a finitely generated $W(k)$ -algebra, where $W(k)$ is the ring of Witt vectors over k . Then*

1. $K(A/p^i) \simeq TC(A/p^i)[0, \infty)$, for $i \geq 1$,
2. $K(A) \simeq \text{holim } K(A/p^i)$,
3. $TC(A) \simeq \text{holim } TC(A/p^i)$,
4. $K(A) \simeq TC(A)[0, \infty)$,

where $TC(R)[0, \infty)$ is the connective cover of the spectrum $TC(R)$.

This allows Hesselholt and Madsen to calculate $K(A_s)$ completely, where A_s is the Witt ring of \mathbb{F}_p^s .

1.2 Main Results

Throughout this paper let B be a simple \mathbb{Q} -algebra, and let U be a maximal order in B . We calculate the homotopy groups of the topological Hochschild homology spectrum $\text{THH}(U)$.

As will be discussed later in this introduction, the map from the topological Hochschild homology of the maximal order U to its Hochschild homology vanishes in high enough dimension, showing that the original Dennis trace map is also trivial in those high dimensions.

As a reference, below is Larsen's result on the Hochschild homology of U .

Corollary 2.0.1. Let B be a simple algebra over \mathbb{Q} , and let U be a maximal order in it. Let C be the center of B , and let V be its ring of integers. For every nontrivial prime ideal $\mathfrak{P} \subset V$, the completion $B_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}$ is a central simple $C_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}$ -algebra, and so $B_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}$ is isomorphic to a

matrix ring on some central division algebra $D_{\mathfrak{P}}$ over $C_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}$, of degree $e_{\mathfrak{P}}$. Let $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}} = V/\mathfrak{P}$. Then we have V -module isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{HH}_*(U) \cong \begin{cases} V \oplus \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{P} \subset V \text{ prime}} \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\oplus e_{\mathfrak{P}}-1} & * = 0 \\ \mathrm{HH}_{2a-1}(V) & * = 2a - 1 > 0 \\ \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{P} \subset V \text{ prime}} \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\oplus e_{\mathfrak{P}}-1} & * = 2a > 0. \end{cases}$$

Our main result for the topological Hochschild homology of U is:

Theorem 3.4.1. Let B be a simple algebra over \mathbb{Q} , and let U be a maximal order in it. Let C be the center of B , and let V be its ring of integers. For every nontrivial prime ideal $\mathfrak{P} \subset V$, the completion $B_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}$ is a central simple $C_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}$ -algebra, and so $B_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}$ is isomorphic to a matrix ring on some central division algebra $D_{\mathfrak{P}}$ over $C_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}$, of degree $e_{\mathfrak{P}}$. Let $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}} = V/\mathfrak{P}$. Then we have V -module isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{THH}_*(U) \cong \begin{cases} V \oplus \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{P} \subset V \text{ prime}} \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\oplus e_{\mathfrak{P}}-1} & * = 0 \\ \mathrm{THH}_{2a-1}(V) & * = 2a - 1 > 0 \\ \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{P} \subset V \text{ prime}} \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\oplus e_{\mathfrak{P}}-1} & * = 2a > 0 \\ 0 & * < 0. \end{cases}$$

For the number ring V , its topological Hochschild homology was calculated by Ib Madsen and the second author,

Theorem 1.1 of [10]. The nonzero topological Hochschild homology groups of a number ring V are

$$\mathrm{THH}_0(V) = V, \quad \mathrm{THH}_{2a-1}(V) = \mathcal{D}_V^{-1}/aV \quad (a > 0),$$

where \mathcal{D}_V is the different ideal.

There are many descriptions of the different ideal, but in the case that V is of the

form $\mathbb{Z}[x]/f(x)$ for some monic polynomial f with integer coefficients, \mathcal{D}_V is the ideal in V generated by the derivative $f'(x)$ and particularly if $V = \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathcal{D}_V = \mathbb{Z}$ as well.

Note that being a maximal order in B does not uniquely determine U , even up to isomorphism. The homotopy groups we get in our calculation of $\mathrm{THH}_*(U)$ will, however, be isomorphic for all the maximal orders U in a fixed B —see Remark 3.4.2 below.

The maximal order U is said to be *ramified* at a prime ideal \mathfrak{P} of its center V if the degree $e_{\mathfrak{P}}$ of the division algebra $D_{\mathfrak{P}}$ over its center is greater than one. Note that if U is unramified at \mathfrak{P} , $B_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}$ is isomorphic to the ring of $i \times i$ matrices over $C_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}$ for some positive integer i , and then the same must be true for their valuation rings $U_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}$ and $V_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}$ by [12, Theorem X.1]. Then by Morita equivalence [2, Proposition 3.9], $\mathrm{THH}(U_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}) \simeq \mathrm{THH}(M_i(V_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge})) \simeq \mathrm{THH}(V_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge})$, which is reflected in the fact that there is no \mathfrak{P} -torsion in even dimensions in the result of Theorem 3.4.1.

In even dimensions, we get that $\mathrm{THH}_{2a}(U) \cong \mathrm{HH}_{2a}(U)$, which was calculated by Michael Larsen in [6] and is given in Equation (2.0.9) below. However, the linearization map $\mathrm{THH}_*(U) \rightarrow \mathrm{HH}_*(U)$ induced by sending $(HU)^{\wedge(\ell+1)}$ to its components $U^{\otimes(\ell+1)}$ in each simplicial degree ℓ does not induce this isomorphism—in fact, it becomes trivial on the even-dimensional p -torsion when $* > 2p - 1$. It therefore becomes the zero map in even dimensions if $* > 2p - 1$ when p is the greatest prime for which $e_{\mathfrak{P}} > 1$ for some prime ideal \mathfrak{P} of V which contains (p) . In odd dimensions, we just get the linearization map for the center, $\mathrm{THH}_{2a-1}(V) \rightarrow \mathrm{HH}_{2a-1}(V)$, which also becomes the zero map for high enough dimensions. Since the Dennis trace map from algebraic K-theory to Hochschild homology factors through topological Hochschild homology via the linearization map, this gives topological Hochschild homology the potential of being a much better approximation to the higher algebraic K-groups than Hochschild homology is.

We begin with the spectral sequence from [9, Corollary 3.3],

$$E_{r,s}^2 = \mathrm{HH}_r(U; \mathrm{THH}_s(\mathbb{Z}; U)) \Rightarrow \mathrm{THH}_{r+s}(U). \quad (1.2.1)$$

It shows that $\mathrm{THH}_0(U) = \mathrm{HH}_0(U; \mathrm{THH}_0(\mathbb{Z}; U)) \cong \mathrm{HH}_0(U) \cong U/[U, U]$. By [6], $\mathrm{HH}_0(U)$ consists of V and of torsion, and $\mathrm{HH}_r(U)$ consists of torsion for $r > 0$. By Marcel Bökstedt's calculation in [1], $\mathrm{THH}_s(\mathbb{Z})$ is torsion for $s > 0$. So the spectral sequence shows that $\mathrm{THH}_0(U)$ consists of $V \oplus$ torsion and that for $* > 0$, $\mathrm{THH}_*(U)$ consists entirely of torsion. To understand the p -torsion for a prime p , by [4, Addendum 6.2], we know that

$$\mathrm{THH}(U)_p^\wedge \simeq \mathrm{THH}(U \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)_p^\wedge, \quad (1.2.2)$$

so it is enough to study the p -torsion in $\mathrm{THH}_*(U \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)$.

The ideal $(p) \subseteq V$ breaks down as $\mathfrak{P}_1^{a_1} \cdots \mathfrak{P}_k^{a_k}$ for distinct prime ideals \mathfrak{P}_i in V . Then $V \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \cong V_{(p)}^\wedge \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^k V_{\mathfrak{P}_i}^\wedge$ and $U \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \cong U_{(p)}^\wedge \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^k U_{\mathfrak{P}_i}^\wedge$ and so

$$\mathrm{THH}_*(U \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \mathrm{THH}_*(U_{\mathfrak{P}_i}^\wedge)$$

as V -modules, and we need to compute the latter. Since U is a central simple V -algebra, for every i the localization at the prime ideal $U_{\mathfrak{P}_i}^\wedge$ is a central simple $V_{\mathfrak{P}_i}^\wedge$ -algebra. Any central simple $V_{\mathfrak{P}_i}^\wedge$ -algebra is a matrix algebra over a finite-dimensional division algebra over $V_{\mathfrak{P}_i}^\wedge$, and as mentioned above, [2] shows the Morita equivalence of topological Hochschild homology. Theorem 3.4.1 is therefore proved by assembling, over all nontrivial prime ideals $\mathfrak{P} \subset V$, the result:

Theorem 3.3.1. Let D be a finite-dimensional division algebra over \mathbb{Q}_p and let A be a maximal order in D . Let L be the center of D , and let S be its valuation ring and \mathbb{F}_S the residue field of S ; we can write $S = R[\pi]/P(\pi)$, for R unramified over \mathbb{Z}_p , π a uniformizer of S , and P an Eisenstein polynomial. Assume that D is of degree n over L (that is, of

dimension n^2 over L). Then

$$\pi_*(\mathrm{THH}(A)_p^\wedge) \cong \begin{cases} S \oplus \mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus n-1} & * = 0 \\ S/(aP^l(\pi)) & * = 2a - 1 > 0 \\ \mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus n-1} & * = 2a > 0 \\ 0 & * < 0. \end{cases}$$

Note that Theorem 3.3.1 shows that $\pi_*(\mathrm{THH}(A)_p^\wedge)$ is isomorphic to a copy of $\pi_*(\mathrm{THH}(S)_p^\wedge)$, which lives in dimension zero and in odd dimensions, summed with $\mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus n-1}$ in all even dimensions. When p does not divide n , the inclusion $S \hookrightarrow A$ induces a map sending $\pi_*(\mathrm{THH}(S)_p^\wedge)$ isomorphically to the corresponding part of $\pi_*(\mathrm{THH}(A)_p^\wedge)$, but if p divides n , this is not so.

The future goal is to calculate the homotopy groups of the topological cyclic homology spectrum $\mathrm{TC}(U)$, and use it to extract information for the homotopy groups of the algebraic K -theory spectrum $K(U)$.

CHAPTER 2

LARSEN'S WORK IN HOCHSCHILD HOMOLOGY OF MAXIMAL ORDERS IN CENTRAL SIMPLE ALGEBRAS

In [6, Section 3], Larsen looks at the following set-up: K is a complete local field with ring of integers R , D is a division algebra over K , and A is a maximal order in D . He calculates $\mathrm{HH}_*^R(A)$ when the center of D , which is denoted L , is separable and totally ramified over K . The separability is not a concern since all the fields in question have characteristic 0. We are actually interested in calculating $\mathrm{HH}_*^{\mathbb{Z}_p}(A)$. Even though the center L of D is not necessarily totally ramified over \mathbb{Q}_p , if we let K be the maximal unramified extension of \mathbb{Q}_p in L and let R be the valuation ring of K , by [9, Theorem 3.1], we have a spectral sequence

$$E_{s,t}^2 = \mathrm{HH}_s^R(A; \mathrm{HH}_t^{\mathbb{Z}_p}(R; A)) \Rightarrow \mathrm{HH}_{s+t}^{\mathbb{Z}_p}(A). \quad (2.0.1)$$

Since R is unramified over \mathbb{Z}_p , $\mathrm{HH}_*^{\mathbb{Z}_p}(R; A)$ consists only of A in dimension 0, so in fact the E^2 page is concentrated in the 0th row and we get an isomorphism $\mathrm{HH}_*^R(A) \cong \mathrm{HH}_*^{\mathbb{Z}_p}(A)$. The map $\mathrm{HH}_*^{\mathbb{Z}_p}(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{HH}_*^R(A)$ which is induced by replacing $\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ by \otimes_R induces this isomorphism: this can be seen by mapping the obviously collapsing spectral sequence $E_{s,t}^2 = \mathrm{HH}_s^{\mathbb{Z}_p}(A; \mathrm{HH}_t^{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathbb{Z}_p; A)) \Rightarrow \mathrm{HH}_{s+t}^{\mathbb{Z}_p}(A)$ into the spectral sequence we are interested in by replacing the \mathbb{Z}_p 's by R 's.

So we let K be the maximal unramified extension of \mathbb{Q}_p in L and get that L is totally ramified over K . In the beginning of [6, Section 3], it is shown that if the degree of D over L is n (that is: the dimension of D over L is n^2) then there is a degree n unramified extension M of L whose valuation ring we can call T , an element $x \in A$ so that $x^n = \pi$ for a uniformizer $\pi \in S$, and a generator $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(M/L) \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ so that

$$D \cong M \oplus (M \cdot x) \oplus (M \cdot x^2) \oplus \cdots \oplus (M \cdot x^{n-1})$$

and

$$A \cong T \oplus (T \cdot x) \oplus (T \cdot x^2) \oplus \cdots \oplus (T \cdot x^{n-1}) \quad (2.0.2)$$

and $mx = x\sigma(m)$ for all $m \in M$.

Since L is a totally ramified extension of K , on the valuation ring S we get that the uniformizer π of S satisfies an Eisenstein polynomial $P(z) = z^d + p_{d-1}z^{d-1} + \cdots + p_1z + p_0$ where $d = [L : K]$, and that

$$S \cong R[\pi]/(P(\pi)). \quad (2.0.3)$$

Under these conditions, Larsen constructs quasi-isomorphisms both ways (which we will be using later) between the reduced Hochschild complex of A over R and the small complex

$$0 \longleftarrow T \xleftarrow{\pi(1-\sigma^{-1})} T \xleftarrow{P'(\pi)\text{Tr}} T \xleftarrow{\pi(1-\sigma^{-1})} T \xleftarrow{P'(\pi)\text{Tr}} T \cdots, \quad (2.0.4)$$

where $\text{Tr} = \text{Tr}_{T/S} = 1 + \sigma + \cdots + \sigma^{n-1}$. Recall that the complex

$$\cdots \xleftarrow{\text{Tr}} T \xleftarrow{1-\sigma^{-1}} T \xleftarrow{\text{Tr}} T \xleftarrow{1-\sigma^{-1}} T \xleftarrow{\text{Tr}} T \cdots \quad (2.0.5)$$

is exact: by Nakayama's Lemma, it is enough to check exactness on the residue fields $\mathbb{F}_T = T/(\pi)$, $\mathbb{F}_S = S/(\pi)$. There we know that the image of $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_T/\mathbb{F}_S}$ must be equal to \mathbb{F}_S : it is clearly contained in \mathbb{F}_S , it must be an \mathbb{F}_S -vector space, but it cannot be $\{0\}$ because then all the p^{nd} elements of \mathbb{F}_T would satisfy the polynomial $x + x^{p^d} + x^{p^{2d}} + \cdots + x^{p^{(n-1)d}} = 0$ which has degree $p^{(n-1)d}$. Once the image of $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_T/\mathbb{F}_S}$ is known to be equal to $\mathbb{F}_S = \ker(1 - \sigma^{-1})$, for dimension reasons the image of $1 - \sigma^{-1}$ must also be all of $\ker(\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_T/\mathbb{F}_S})$.

By the quasi-isomorphism to the small complex in Equation (2.0.4) given in the proof of [6, Theorem 3.5] (there is a misprint in the statement of the theorem there), we get the

formula

$$\mathrm{HH}_*^R(A) \cong \begin{cases} T/\pi \ker(\mathrm{Tr}_{T/S}) & * = 0 \\ S/P'(\pi)S & * = 2a - 1 > 0 \\ \ker(\mathrm{Tr}_{T/S})/\pi \ker(\mathrm{Tr}_{T/S}) & * = 2a > 0 \end{cases} \quad (2.0.6)$$

as S -modules. By the exactness of the complex in Equation (2.0.5), we know that $S = \ker(1 - \sigma^{-1}) = \mathrm{Tr}_{T/S}(T)$ and $\ker(\mathrm{Tr}_{T/S}) = (1 - \sigma^{-1})(T)$, so we have short exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow T \longrightarrow \ker(\mathrm{Tr}_{T/S}) \longrightarrow 0 \quad (2.0.7)$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \ker(\mathrm{Tr}_{T/S}) \longrightarrow T \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow 0.$$

This second short exact sequence has to split as a sequence of S -modules because S is free; we also know that as an S -module, $T \cong S^{\oplus n}$. That makes $\ker(\mathrm{Tr}_{T/S})$ a projective module over the discrete valuation ring S , hence free. Since $\ker(\mathrm{Tr}_{T/S}) \oplus S \cong T \cong S^{\oplus n}$ we must have that $\ker(\mathrm{Tr}_{T/S}) \cong S^{\oplus n-1}$ as an S -module. The fact that $\ker(\mathrm{Tr}_{T/S})$ is free and in particular projective also forces the first short exact sequence to split. There is however no reason for the splittings $S \rightarrow T$ and $\ker(\mathrm{Tr}_{T/S}) \rightarrow T$ of the two short exact sequences above to be the obvious inclusions. In fact, if $n > 1$ the splitting $S \rightarrow T$ cannot be the obvious inclusion, since $\mathrm{Tr}_{T/S}$ restricted to S is multiplication by n . Nevertheless, understanding the split decompositions into free S -modules in both short exact sequences lets us decompose the result in Equation (2.0.6) and write it more conveniently as S -module isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{HH}_*^{\mathbb{Z}_p}(A) \cong \mathrm{HH}_*^R(A) \cong \begin{cases} S \oplus \mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus n-1} & * = 0 \\ S/P'(\pi)S \cong \mathrm{HH}_{2a-1}^{\mathbb{Z}_p}(S) & * = 2a - 1 > 0 \\ \mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus n-1} & * = 2a > 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.0.8)$$

Note that except for the S in dimension zero, these Hochschild homology groups consist entirely of torsion.

Corollary 2.0.1. (To Theorem 3.5 of [6].) *Let B be a simple algebra over \mathbb{Q} , and let U be a maximal order in it. Let C be the center of B , and let V be its ring of integers. For every nontrivial prime ideal $\mathfrak{P} \subset V$, the completion $B_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}$ is a central simple $C_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}$ -algebra, and so $B_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}$ is isomorphic to a matrix ring on some central division algebra $D_{\mathfrak{P}}$ over $C_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}$, of degree $e_{\mathfrak{P}}$. Let $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}} = V/\mathfrak{P}$. Then we have V -module isomorphisms*

$$\mathrm{HH}_*(U) \cong \begin{cases} V \oplus \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{P} \subset V \text{ prime}} \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\oplus e_{\mathfrak{P}}-1} & * = 0 \\ \mathrm{HH}_{2a-1}(V) & * = 2a - 1 > 0 \\ \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{P} \subset V \text{ prime}} \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\oplus e_{\mathfrak{P}}-1} & * = 2a > 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.0.9)$$

Proof. This is assembled from Equation (2.0.8) over all nontrivial prime ideals $\mathfrak{P} \subset V$. Since U is finitely generated as a module over the Dedekind domain V , so is each level of the Hochschild complex and so is each Hochschild homology group. Thus each Hochschild homology group splits as a direct sum of a projective V -module with V -torsion groups. The torsion groups split as a direct sum of \mathfrak{P} -torsion over all nontrivial prime ideals $\mathfrak{P} \subset V$, and these were calculated in [6, Theorem 3.5] and are summed up here.

If we had a nonzero projective V -module in $\mathrm{HH}_i(U)$ for some i , we would get nonzero projective modules in all the completions, so by examining Equation (2.0.8), this happens only at $i = 0$. There we see, by looking at any of the completions, that this projective module has rank one. So in fact the only part of this corollary that does not follow immediately from the calculation in [6, Theorem 3.5] is the determination that the projective summand of $\mathrm{HH}_0(U)$ is isomorphic to V .

It would suffice to produce a surjective V -linear map $\mathrm{HH}_0(U) \cong U/[U, U] \rightarrow V$, because V is free over itself, we would know that there is a section, so $\mathrm{HH}_0(U)$ would consist of a

direct sum of V with another module. This complement would have to consist entirely of torsion, because otherwise the localization at any prime of $\mathrm{HH}_0(U)$ would have a higher rank free part than one copy of the localization of V , which is all there is in Larsen's result.

For any field C and any finitely generated C -algebra B we can define a trace map $\mathrm{Trace}_{B/C} : B \rightarrow C$ which assigns to every $b \in B$ the trace of the matrix describing left multiplication by b as a C -linear function $B \rightarrow B$. Clearly, $\mathrm{Trace}_{B/C}$ has to vanish on the commutators $[B, B]$. If B is a central simple C -algebra of degree m (so of dimension m^2) over C , we can also look at the reduced trace $\mathrm{Trd}_{B/C} = \frac{1}{m} \mathrm{Trace}_{B/C}$. We want to use the B and C given in the conditions of the corollary and have

$$\mathrm{Trd}_{B/C} : U/[U, U] \rightarrow V$$

be the required surjection, In order for this to make sense, we need to verify that $\mathrm{Trd}_{B/C}(U) \subseteq V$ and that the image is all of V . Both these conditions can be verified locally, so we will show that $\mathrm{Trd}_{B_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}/C_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}}(U_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge})$ has its image contained in $V_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}$ and in fact equal to all of $V_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}$ for every nontrivial prime ideal $\mathfrak{P} \subset V$.

After completing, using the notation discussed earlier in this section, we know that $B_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge} \cong M_{i_{\mathfrak{P}} \times i_{\mathfrak{P}}}(D)$ for D a central division algebra over $C_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}$ of degree $e_{\mathfrak{P}}$. Counting dimensions, we get $m = i_{\mathfrak{P}} e_{\mathfrak{P}}$. Since the trace of an $(i_{\mathfrak{P}} e_{\mathfrak{P}}) \times (i_{\mathfrak{P}} e_{\mathfrak{P}})$ matrix can be calculated by first taking trace of an $i_{\mathfrak{P}} \times i_{\mathfrak{P}}$ matrix of $e_{\mathfrak{P}} \times e_{\mathfrak{P}}$ blocks and then taking trace of the resulting $e_{\mathfrak{P}} \times e_{\mathfrak{P}}$ block,

$$\mathrm{Trd}_{B_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}/C_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}} = \frac{1}{m} \mathrm{Trace}_{B_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}/C_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}} = \frac{1}{e_{\mathfrak{P}}} \mathrm{Trace}_{D/C_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}} \circ \frac{1}{i_{\mathfrak{P}}} \mathrm{Trace}_{B_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}/D}. \quad (2.0.10)$$

As in the introduction, since $U_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}$ is a maximal order in $B_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge} \cong M_{i_{\mathfrak{P}} \times i_{\mathfrak{P}}}(D)$, $U_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge} \cong M_{i_{\mathfrak{P}} \times i_{\mathfrak{P}}}(A)$ for a maximal order A in D . Since $M_{i_{\mathfrak{P}} \times i_{\mathfrak{P}}}(A)$ as a representation of itself acting by left multiplication splits as a direct sum of $i_{\mathfrak{P}}$ copies of $M_{i_{\mathfrak{P}} \times i_{\mathfrak{P}}}(A)$ acting on the columns

$M_{i_{\mathfrak{P}} \times 1}(A)$, we get that $\frac{1}{i_{\mathfrak{P}}} \text{Trace}_{B_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}/D}$ sends $U_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}$ to the image of the usual trace of $M_{i_{\mathfrak{P}} \times i_{\mathfrak{P}}}(A)$ acting on $M_{i_{\mathfrak{P}} \times 1}(A)$, which is all of A .

So it remains to show that $\frac{1}{e_{\mathfrak{P}}} \text{Trace}_{D/C_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}}(A) \subseteq V_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}$ and that it is in fact all of $V_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}$. To show the containment, we find a finite extension \tilde{C} of $C_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}$ over which D splits, that is: so that $\tilde{C} \otimes_{C_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}} D \cong M_{e_{\mathfrak{P}} \times e_{\mathfrak{P}}}(\tilde{C})$. Let \tilde{V} be the valuation ring of \tilde{C} . By the previous argument for matrix rings,

$$\frac{1}{e_{\mathfrak{P}}} \text{Trace}_{(\tilde{C} \otimes_{C_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}} D)/\tilde{C}}(\tilde{V} \otimes_{V_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}} A) = \tilde{V},$$

and of course

$$\frac{1}{e_{\mathfrak{P}}} \text{Trace}_{(\tilde{C} \otimes_{C_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}} D)/\tilde{C}}(D) = \frac{1}{e_{\mathfrak{P}}} \text{Trace}_{D/C_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}}(D) \subseteq D.$$

Therefore, for the intersection of these two we have

$$\frac{1}{e_{\mathfrak{P}}} \text{Trace}_{(\tilde{C} \otimes_{C_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}} D)/\tilde{C}}(A) = \frac{1}{e_{\mathfrak{P}}} \text{Trace}_{D/C_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}}(A) \subseteq \tilde{V} \cap D = V_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}.$$

To see that the image of A under $\frac{1}{e_{\mathfrak{P}}} \text{Trace}_{D/C_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}}$ is indeed all of $V_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}$, it is enough to show that $\frac{1}{e_{\mathfrak{P}}} \text{Trace}_{D/C_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}}(T) = V_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}$ for the $T \subseteq A$ defined above Equation (2.0.2). By that equation, $A \cong T^{\oplus e_{\mathfrak{P}}}$ as a T -module (since $n = e_{\mathfrak{P}}$ in this context). Therefore, on T , $\frac{1}{e_{\mathfrak{P}}} \text{Trace}_{D/C_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}} = \text{Trace}_{M/C_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}}$, where M is the field of fractions of T . But the trace of M over $L = C_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}$ is the same as the $\text{Tr} = \text{Tr}_{T/S} = 1 + \sigma + \dots + \sigma^{n-1}$ defined above Equation (2.0.5). By the exactness in Equation (2.0.5), $\text{Tr}_{T/S}(T) = \ker(1 - \sigma^{-1}) = S = V_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}$. \square

We conclude this section with a lemma that we will use later, elaborating on the complex (2.0.4), and a few consequences:

Lemma 2.0.2. *If we calculate $\text{HH}_*^R(A)$ using the complex (2.0.4), then the following map of complexes induces on homology the map that the inclusion $T \hookrightarrow A$ induces on Hochschild*

homology:

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
0 & \longleftarrow & T & \xleftarrow{0} & T & \xleftarrow{P'(\pi)} & T & \xleftarrow{0} & T & \xleftarrow{P'(\pi)} & T & \xleftarrow{0} & \dots \\
& & \downarrow = & & \downarrow \text{Tr} & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow \text{Tr} & & \downarrow = & & \\
0 & \longleftarrow & T & \xleftarrow{\pi(1-\sigma^{-1})} & T & \xleftarrow{P'(\pi)\text{Tr}} & T & \xleftarrow{\pi(1-\sigma^{-1})} & T & \xleftarrow{P'(\pi)\text{Tr}} & T & \xleftarrow{\pi(1-\sigma^{-1})} & \dots
\end{array}$$

Proof. To see that the homology of the complex in the top row is $\text{HH}_*^R(T)$, consider the tensored-down version of the resolution (1.6.1) in [7] for $S = R[\pi]/P(\pi)$. Since T is free and unramified over S , $\text{HH}_*^R(T) \cong \text{HH}_*^R(S) \otimes_S T$. Therefore, if we have a complex of free S -modules calculating $\text{HH}_*^R(S)$, it can be tensored over S with T to give a complex calculating $\text{HH}_*^R(T)$. This is exactly what the top row is.

The proof of the lemma is a direct calculation using the weak equivalences of [7] and [6]: take the weak equivalence from the small complex calculating $\text{HH}_*^R(S)$ into the standard Hochschild complex of S over R that is given in [7, Equation (1.8.6)]. Tensor the 0th S coordinate over S with T to obtain a map from the complex in the top row of our diagram into the standard Hochschild complex of T over R . Since the standard Hochschild complex is a functor, this includes in the obvious way into the standard Hochschild complex of A over R . The standard Hochschild complex maps to the reduced Hochschild complex, and the map π_* defined below [6, Equation (3.8.1)] can be applied. The resulting map of complexes can readily be seen to have period 2. The map π_0 sends elements of T to themselves. To understand π_1 , let U be the valuation ring in the maximal unramified extension of the field of fractions of R in the field of fractions of T (see the diagram below [6, Equation (3.2.1)]). The equation $\pi_1(u_1\pi^{\ell_1} \otimes \pi^{\ell_2}) = \text{Tr}(u_1)\pi^{\ell_1+\ell_2-1}$ for $u_1 \in U$, $\ell_2 > 0$ means that an element $u_1\pi^{\ell_1} \otimes \pi^{\ell_2}$ which corresponds to $u_1\pi^{\ell_1+\ell_2-1}$ in dimension 1 in the top row of our complex maps to $\text{Tr}(u_1)\pi^{\ell_1+\ell_2-1}$ in the bottom row. Since $T = U[\pi]/P(\pi)$, these elements span T . □

Corollary 2.0.3. *If the degree n of D over L is not divisible by p then the inclusion $S \hookrightarrow A$*

induces the map of $\mathrm{HH}_^R(S) \cong \begin{cases} S & * = 0 \\ S/P'(\pi)S & * = 2a - 1 > 0 \\ 0 & * = 2a > 0. \end{cases}$ into the corresponding parts of*

$\mathrm{HH}_*^R(A) \cong \begin{cases} S \oplus \mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus n-1} & * = 0 \\ S/P'(\pi)S & * = 2a - 1 > 0 \\ \mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus n-1} & * = 2a > 0. \end{cases}$ *by the inclusion in dimension zero and multipli-*

cation by the unit $n \in S$ in odd dimensions.

Proof. If $p \nmid n$, the second short exact sequence in (2.0.7) splits.

$$0 \longrightarrow \ker(\mathrm{Tr}_{T/S}) \longrightarrow T \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow 0$$

\curvearrowright
 $\cdot 1/n$

We get a decomposition of S -modules $T \cong \ker(\mathrm{Tr}_{T/S}) \oplus S$ that works for both short exact sequences in (2.0.7) in terms of breaking T up into two S -submodules, even if the maps are not exactly the standard inclusions—they differ from that only by multiplication by a unit of S . Recall that the top complex in Lemma 2.0.2 was obtained by taking the complex of S -modules

$$0 \longleftarrow S \xleftarrow{0} S \xleftarrow{P'(\pi)} S \xleftarrow{0} S \xleftarrow{P'(\pi)} S \xleftarrow{0} \dots$$

and tensoring it over S with $T \cong \ker(\mathrm{Tr}_{T/S}) \oplus S$. It is therefore isomorphic to the direct sum of the complex

$$0 \longleftarrow S \xleftarrow{0} S \xleftarrow{P'(\pi)} S \xleftarrow{0} S \xleftarrow{P'(\pi)} S \xleftarrow{0} \dots, \quad (2.0.11)$$

whose homology is the image of $\mathrm{HH}_*^R(S)$ in $\mathrm{HH}_*^R(T)$, with the complex

$$0 \longleftarrow \ker(\mathrm{Tr}_{T/S}) \xleftarrow{0} \ker(\mathrm{Tr}_{T/S}) \xleftarrow{P'(\pi)} \ker(\mathrm{Tr}_{T/S}) \xleftarrow{0} \dots \quad (2.0.12)$$

Similarly, the bottom complex in Lemma 2.0.2 can be split into the direct sum of the complex

$$0 \longleftarrow S \xleftarrow{0} S \xleftarrow{nP'(\pi)} S \xleftarrow{0} S \xleftarrow{nP'(\pi)} S \xleftarrow{0} \dots, \quad (2.0.13)$$

whose homology accounts for the copy of $\mathrm{HH}_*^R(S)$ inside $\mathrm{HH}_*^R(A)$, and the complex

$$0 \longleftarrow \ker(\mathrm{Tr}_{T/S}) \xleftarrow{\pi(1-\sigma^{-1})} \ker(\mathrm{Tr}_{T/S}) \xleftarrow{0} \ker(\mathrm{Tr}_{T/S}) \xleftarrow{\pi(1-\sigma^{-1})} \dots \quad (2.0.14)$$

Note that $(1 - \sigma^{-1}) : \ker(\mathrm{Tr}_{T/S}) \rightarrow \ker(\mathrm{Tr}_{T/S})$ is an isomorphism because $(1 - \sigma^{-1})(T) = \ker(\mathrm{Tr}_{T/S})$ and that $\ker(1 - \sigma^{-1})$ is exactly the the image of the standard inclusion of S in T ; thus the homology of the complex (2.0.14) accounts for the $\mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus n-1}$'s in even dimensions in $\mathrm{HH}_*^R(A)$.

Lemma 2.0.2 explains to us exactly what the inclusion $T \hookrightarrow A$ does to these parts: the complex (2.0.11) maps by the identity in even dimensions and multiplication by the unit n in odd dimensions onto the complex (2.0.13) while the complex (2.0.12) maps into the complex (2.0.14) by the identity in even dimensions and the zero map in odd dimensions. Note however that most of the homology of the complex (2.0.12) lies in odd dimensions, and therefore goes to zero: the only even-dimensional homology is $\ker(\mathrm{Tr}_{T/S})$ in dimension zero which goes by the obvious quotient map to $\ker(\mathrm{Tr}_{T/S})/\pi \ker(\mathrm{Tr}_{T/S}) \cong \mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus n-1}$. \square

Repeating the argument above after tensoring both complexes in Lemma 2.0.2 with \mathbb{F}_p , we get

Corollary 2.0.4. *If the degree n of D over L is not divisible by p then the inclusion $S \hookrightarrow A$ induces an embedding of $\mathrm{HH}_*(S/(p))$ as a direct summand in $\mathrm{HH}_*(A/(p))$, with the comple-*

mentary direct summand consisting of a copy of $\mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus n-1}$ in every dimension $* \geq 0$.

In the general case, when the degree n of D over L might be divisible by p , we can say less, but we can still deduce the following two corollaries:

Corollary 2.0.5. *The inclusion $T \hookrightarrow A$ induces a map of from $\mathrm{HH}_*^R(T)$ to $\mathrm{HH}_*^R(A)$ which is the quotient map $T \rightarrow T/\pi\mathrm{Tr}_{T/S}(T)$ in dimension zero, a surjection in odd dimensions, and the zero map in positive even dimensions.*

Proof. In odd dimensions, $\mathrm{Tr}_{T/S}$ in the vertical arrows in the diagram in Lemma 2.0.2 sends T onto $\ker(\pi(1 - \sigma^{-1})) = \ker(1 - \sigma^{-1}) = \mathrm{im}(\mathrm{Tr}_{T/S})$. In even dimensions, we have that for $a > 0$, $\mathrm{HH}_{2a}^R(T) \cong 0$. □

Corollary 2.0.6. *The inclusion $T/(p) \hookrightarrow A/(p)$ induces a map*

$$\mathrm{HH}_*(T/(p)) \rightarrow \mathrm{HH}_*(A/(p)) \cong \mathrm{HH}_*(S/(p)) \oplus \mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus n-1}$$

For $ = 0$ it is the quotient map $T/(p) \rightarrow T/(p, \pi\mathrm{Tr}_{T/S}(T))$ and for all $* > 0$ surjects onto the first summand $\mathrm{HH}_*(S/(p))$.*

Proof. Since P is an Eisenstein polynomial, $P(\pi) \equiv \pi^d \equiv 0$ and $P'(\pi) \equiv d\pi^{d-1}$ modulo p . After tensoring both complexes in Lemma 2.0.2 with \mathbb{F}_p , we see that in odd dimensions in the bottom row of the diagram in Lemma 2.0.2, $\ker(\pi(1 - \sigma^{-1}))$ becomes the direct sum of $\mathrm{im}(\mathrm{Tr}_{T/S})$ with π^{d-1} times its complement in $T/(p)$. The former is the image of the vertical map and its quotient by the incoming boundary map is the $\mathrm{HH}_{2a-1}(S/(p))$ part of $\mathrm{HH}_{2a-1}(A/(p))$. The latter gives the copy of $\mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus n-1}$.

In even dimensions $2a > 0$ in the bottom row of the diagram in Lemma 2.0.2, $\ker(d\pi^{d-1}\mathrm{Tr}_{T/S})$ becomes the direct sum of $\ker(\mathrm{Tr}_{T/S})$ and of $\mathrm{Ann}_{S/(p)}(d\pi^{d-1})$. The quotient of $\ker(\mathrm{Tr}_{T/S})$ by the incoming boundary map is the copy of $\mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus n-1}$ in $\mathrm{HH}_{2a}(A/(p))$. The copy of $\mathrm{Ann}_{S/(p)}(d\pi^{d-1})$ in the complement of $\ker(\mathrm{Tr}_{T/S})$ in $T/(p)$ forms the $\mathrm{HH}_{2a}(S/(p))$ in $\mathrm{HH}_{2a}(A/(p))$. □

CHAPTER 3

TOPOLOGICAL HOCHSCHILD HOMOLOGY OF MAXIMAL ORDERS IN SIMPLE \mathbb{Q} -ALGEBRAS

3.1 The calculation of $\mathrm{THH}_*(A/(p))$ for A a maximal order in a division algebra over \mathbb{Q}_p

In the introduction, we explained how we can find all the torsion in $\mathrm{THH}_*(U)$ by looking at $\mathrm{THH}_*(A)$ for appropriate maximal orders A in division algebras over \mathbb{Q}_p . Our approach to the calculation of $\mathrm{THH}_*(A)$ is to start with finding $\mathrm{THH}_*(A/(p))$ for such algebras. Then we use that and the Brun Spectral Sequence from [10, Theorem 3.3] to calculate $\mathrm{THH}_*(A; A/(p)) \cong \pi_*(\mathrm{THH}(A); \mathbb{F}_p)$, which gives us the rank of the p -torsion in each dimension, and finally we analyze the order of the torsion.

Proposition 3.1.1. *Let A be the maximal order in a division algebra over \mathbb{Q}_p with center S . Then there is an isomorphism of $\mathrm{THH}_*(\mathbb{F}_S)$ -modules*

$$\mathrm{THH}_*(A/(p)) \cong \mathrm{THH}_*(\mathbb{F}_S) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_S} \mathrm{HH}_*^{\mathbb{F}_S}(A/(p)).$$

which can also be viewed as an isomorphism of $\mathrm{THH}_(\mathbb{F}_p) \otimes \mathbb{F}_S$ -modules*

$$\mathrm{THH}_*(A/(p)) \cong \mathrm{THH}_*(\mathbb{F}_p) \otimes \mathrm{HH}_*(A/(p)).$$

Proof. Using the notation of the previous section, recall that T is a degree n unramified extension of S , so T has the same uniformizer π that satisfies a degree d Eisenstein polynomial P over R , and T is generated over S by some element whose reduction modulo (π) generates \mathbb{F}_T over \mathbb{F}_S . Therefore $T/(p) \cong \mathbb{F}_T[\pi]/(\pi^d)$. By our description of A from Equation (2.0.2),

this means

$$A/(p) \cong \mathbb{F}_T[\pi]/(\pi^d) \oplus \mathbb{F}_T[\pi]/(\pi^d) \cdot x \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{F}_T[\pi]/(\pi^d) \cdot x^{n-1},$$

where $x^n = 0$, $\pi x = x\pi$, and $fx = x\sigma(f)$ for all $f \in \mathbb{F}_T$ for a generator σ of $\text{Gal}(M/N) \cong \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_T/\mathbb{F}_S) \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$.

By [9, Corollary 3.3] applied to the \mathbb{F}_S -algebra $A/(p)$ we get a spectral sequence of $\text{THH}_*(\mathbb{F}_S)$ -algebras

$$E_{r,s}^2 = \text{HH}_r^{\mathbb{F}_S}(A/(p); \text{THH}_s(\mathbb{F}_S; A/(p))) \Rightarrow \text{THH}_{r+s}(A/(p)).$$

Since all \mathbb{F}_S -modules are free,

$$E_{r,s}^2 \cong \text{HH}_r^{\mathbb{F}_S}(A/(p)) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_S} \text{THH}_s(\mathbb{F}_S).$$

The claim is that this spectral sequence collapses at E^2 , and the $\text{THH}_*(\mathbb{F}_S)$ -algebra structure on the $E^2 = E^\infty$ term is the correct one.

The first formulation of the proposition implies the second since \mathbb{F}_S is unramified over \mathbb{F}_p and so $\text{THH}_*(\mathbb{F}_S) \cong \text{THH}_*(\mathbb{F}_p) \otimes \mathbb{F}_S$ and also (by the same argument as that in Equation (2.0.1)), $\text{HH}_*^{\mathbb{F}_S}(A/(p)) \cong \text{HH}_*(A/(p))$.

Definition 3.1.2. *Let k be a field. We will say that a unital k -algebra C is weakly monoidal if it has a basis \mathcal{B} over k so that $\mathcal{B} \cup \{0\}$ is closed under multiplication.*

Note that this is weaker than the definition of a pointed monoid algebra in [4, Section 7.1], which also requires that the unit $1 \in C$ should be in \mathcal{B} . However, if C is weakly monoidal, we can still define the cyclic nerve $N^{cy}(\mathcal{B}_+)$, for $\mathcal{B}_+ = \mathcal{B} \cup \{0\}$, as in [4, Section 7.1]. It is no longer a simplicial set, just a semisimplicial one. We can map the suspension spectrum of its semisimplicial realization $\Sigma^\infty N^{cy}(\mathcal{B}_+)$ into the ‘fat’ realization of $\text{THH}(C)$:

the one that uses only its semisimplicial structure and not the degeneracies. We can do this for example in Bökstedt's model for THH of functors with smash product, which assigns to each level in the spectrum a simplicial space, where the simplicial structure maps and the spectrum structure maps commute; by the theory of simplicial spaces, at each level of the spectrum, if we use a 'fat' realization ignoring the degeneracies, we get something homotopy equivalent to the usual realization. We still get that

$$\mathrm{HH}_*^k(C) = \mathrm{HH}_*^k(k[\mathcal{B}]) \cong \tilde{H}_*(N^{cy}(\mathcal{B}_+); k) \cong \pi_*(Hk \wedge N^{cy}(\mathcal{B}_+)).$$

We can also map $Hk \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(C)$ by using the unit map of C and including into the 0-skeleton. Since we have a product $\mathrm{THH}(k) \wedge \mathrm{THH}(C) \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(C)$ (because k is in the center of C , even if the multiplication of \mathcal{B} is not commutative), we can map

$$Hk \wedge N^{cy}(\mathcal{B}_+) \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(C) \rightarrow \mathrm{HH}^{\mathbb{Z}}(C) \rightarrow \mathrm{HH}^k(C).$$

Here the map before last is the linearization map, and the last map is induced by taking tensor products over k rather than over \mathbb{Z} . The homotopy groups of the first spectrum and the last spectrum are both $\mathrm{HH}_*^k(C)$, and the composition induces an isomorphism between the two. On the spectral sequence

$$E_{r,s}^2 = \mathrm{HH}_r^k(C) \otimes_k \mathrm{THH}_s(k) \Rightarrow \mathrm{THH}_{r+s}(C),$$

linearization and tensoring over k induce the component map $\mathrm{THH}_*(k) \rightarrow k$ on the columns. So if we know that our composition map, which passes through $\mathrm{THH}(C)$, induces an isomorphism on $\mathrm{HH}_*^k(C)$, the spectral sequence differentials d^r have to vanish on the 0th row for all $r \geq 2$. Since $\mathrm{THH}_*(k)$ sits in the 0th column of a first quadrant spectral sequence, all the d^r , $r \geq 2$ must vanish on it as well. We recall that our spectral sequence respects

multiplication by $\mathrm{THH}_*(k)$, and deduce from the vanishing of its differentials on $\mathrm{THH}_*(k)$ and $\mathrm{HH}_*^k(C)$ that it collapses at E^2 . We can also deduce that the $E^\infty = E^2$ -term has the correct $\mathrm{THH}_*(k)$ -algebra structure since it is maximally nontrivial as a $\mathrm{THH}_*(k)$ -algebra. We get that for C weakly monoidal over a field k ,

$$\mathrm{THH}_*(C) \cong \mathrm{HH}_*^k(C) \otimes_k \mathrm{THH}_*(k).$$

Thus Proposition 3.1.1 would follow directly if we knew that $A/(p)$ was weakly monoidal over \mathbb{F}_S , but we do not know that. We have the following, instead:

Lemma 3.1.3. $\mathbb{F}_T \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_S} A/(p)$ is weakly monoidal over \mathbb{F}_T .

Proof. By our decomposition of $A/(p)$, we know that

$$A/(p) \cong \mathbb{F}_T[\pi]/(\pi^d) \oplus \mathbb{F}_T[\pi]/(\pi^d) \cdot x \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{F}_T[\pi]/(\pi^d) \cdot x^{n-1}$$

with $x^n = 0$ and $fx = x\sigma(f)$ for all $f \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$ and $\pi x = x\pi$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{F}_T \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_S} A/(p) &\cong \\ &(\mathbb{F}_T \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_S} \mathbb{F}_T[\pi]/(\pi^d)) \oplus (\mathbb{F}_T \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_S} \mathbb{F}_T[\pi]/(\pi^d))x \oplus \cdots \oplus (\mathbb{F}_T \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_S} \mathbb{F}_T[\pi]/(\pi^d))x^{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

where x commutes with the first tensor factor of \mathbb{F}_T , but not with the second one, in each summand.

The map $\varphi : \mathbb{F}_T \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_S} \mathbb{F}_T \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{F}_T$ given by

$$\varphi(a \otimes b) = (ab, a\sigma(b), a\sigma^2(b), \dots, a\sigma^{n-1}(b))$$

for the generator $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_T/\mathbb{F}_S)$ that we have been working with is an algebra isomorphism: it is obviously a homomorphism. Since its domain and range have the same number of

elements, it suffices to show that it is injective. To show injectivity, let b_0 be a primitive element of \mathbb{F}_T . Then the elements $1, b_0, \dots, b_0^{n-1}$ span \mathbb{F}_T over \mathbb{F}_S and $\sigma^j(b_0)$, $0 \leq j \leq n-1$ are the n distinct roots of the minimal polynomial of b_0 . If $\varphi(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i \otimes b_0^i) = (0, \dots, 0)$, we must have $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i \sigma^j(b_0^i) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i (\sigma^j(b_0))^i = 0$ for $0 \leq j \leq n-1$. But then we have a degree $n-1$ polynomial over a field with n distinct roots, which is impossible unless the polynomial is identically zero.

Thus we can identify $\mathbb{F}_T \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_S} A/(p)$ with

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{F}_T[\pi]/(\pi^d) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{F}_T[\pi]/(\pi^d) \cdot x \oplus \dots \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{F}_T[\pi]/(\pi^d) \cdot x^{n-1}.$$

Let e_1, \dots, e_n be the standard basis for $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{F}_T$ over \mathbb{F}_T , where we take the indices of the e_i to be in \mathbb{Z}/n . Then the relation

$$(a \otimes b)x = x(a \otimes \sigma(b))$$

implies that

$$(ab, a\sigma(b), \dots, a\sigma^{n-1}(b))x = x(a\sigma(b), a\sigma^2(b), \dots, a\sigma^{n-1}(b), ab),$$

that is: $e_i x = x e_{i-1}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n$.

The \mathbb{F}_T -basis we take for $\mathbb{F}_T \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_S} A/(p)$ is

$$\mathcal{B} = \{e_i \pi^j x^k : 1 \leq i \leq n, 0 \leq j \leq d-1, 0 \leq k \leq n-1\}$$

with the multiplication

$$\begin{aligned} (e_i x^j) \cdot (e_k x^l) &= e_i (x^j e_k) x^l = e_i e_{k+j} x^j x^l \\ &= \begin{cases} e_i x^{j+l} & i \equiv k+j \pmod{n}, \text{ and } j+l < n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and powers of π commuting with everything. \square

By this lemma and the discussion about weakly monoidal algebras preceding it, we get that the spectral sequence

$$\begin{aligned} E_{r,s}^2(\mathbb{F}_T \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_S} A/(p)) &= \mathrm{HH}_r^{\mathbb{F}_T}(\mathbb{F}_T \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_S} A/(p)) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_T} \mathrm{THH}_s(\mathbb{F}_T) \\ &\Rightarrow \mathrm{THH}_{r+s}(\mathbb{F}_T \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_S} A/(p)) \end{aligned} \quad (3.1.1)$$

collapses at E^2 . We observe that the spectral sequence (3.1.1) is obtained from the analogous spectral sequence

$$E_{r,s}^2(A/(p)) = \mathrm{HH}_r^{\mathbb{F}_S}(A/(p)) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_S} \mathrm{THH}_s(\mathbb{F}_S) \Rightarrow \mathrm{THH}_{r+s}(A/(p)) \quad (3.1.2)$$

by tensoring it over \mathbb{F}_S with \mathbb{F}_T : Since \mathbb{F}_T is flat over \mathbb{F}_S , $\mathrm{HH}_*^{\mathbb{F}_T}(\mathbb{F}_T \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_S} A/(p)) \cong \mathbb{F}_T \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_S} \mathrm{HH}_*^{\mathbb{F}_S}(A/(p))$, and since \mathbb{F}_T is étale over \mathbb{F}_S , $\mathrm{THH}_*(\mathbb{F}_T) \cong \mathbb{F}_T \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_S} \mathrm{THH}_*(\mathbb{F}_S)$.

Note that tensoring with \mathbb{F}_T is faithfully flat for \mathbb{F}_S -modules, and the \mathbb{F}_T in the E^2 term in (3.1.1) sits in bidegree $(0,0)$ so all differentials have to vanish on it for dimension reasons. We get that the spectral sequence (3.1.1) calculating $\mathrm{THH}_*(\mathbb{F}_T \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_S} A/(p))$ collapses at E^2 if and only if the spectral sequence (3.1.2) calculating $\mathrm{THH}_*(A/(p))$ does. But the spectral sequence (3.1.1) does collapse. So

$$E_{r,s}^\infty(A/(p)) \cong E_{r,s}^2(A/(p)) \cong \mathrm{HH}_r^{\mathbb{F}_S}(A/(p)) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_S} \mathrm{THH}_s(\mathbb{F}_S).$$

The only remaining thing to check in order to complete the proof of Proposition 3.1.1 is that $\mathrm{THH}_*(A/(p)) \cong \mathrm{HH}_*^{\mathbb{F}_S}(A/(p)) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_S} \mathrm{THH}_*(\mathbb{F}_S)$ also as a $\mathrm{THH}_*(\mathbb{F}_S)$ -module. But that follows since the multiplication by $\mathrm{THH}_*(\mathbb{F}_S)$ is maximally nontrivial in the E^∞ -term. \square

3.2 The calculation of $\mathrm{THH}_*(A, A/(p))$ for A a maximal order in a division algebra over \mathbb{Q}_p

Our calculation will depend on whether the center S of A is wildly ramified over \mathbb{Z}_p or not. Recall from Equation (2.0.3) that if R denotes the valuation ring of the maximal unramified extension of \mathbb{Q}_p inside the center of the division algebra in question, we have $S \cong R[\pi]/(P(\pi))$ for P an Eisenstein polynomial of degree d . The ramification is wild when $p \mid d$, and otherwise it is tame. The unramified case can be viewed as the case $d = 1$. Since P is an Eisenstein polynomial, if $\mathbb{F}_S = R/(p) = S/(\pi)$ is the residue field of R and S , we get that $S/(p) \cong \mathbb{F}_S[\pi]/(\pi^d)$.

From [10, Theorem 5.1], the local version of Theorem 1.1 that was quoted in the introduction, we get S -module isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{THH}_i(S) \cong \begin{cases} S & i = 0 \\ S/(aP'(\pi)) & i = 2a - 1 > 0 \\ 0 & i = 2a > 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.2.1)$$

Using the Universal Coefficient Theorem over S , we can calculate $\mathrm{THH}_*(S; S/(p))$: modulo p , $P'(\pi)$ reduces to the same thing as $d\pi^{d-1}$ which is divisible by p if $p \mid d$ but divides p if $p \nmid d$. So if $p \mid d$, for all for $i \geq 0$

$$\mathrm{THH}_i(S, S/(p)) \cong S/(p) \cong \mathbb{F}_S[\pi]/(\pi^d) \quad (3.2.2)$$

and if $p \nmid d$ (which includes the case of S unramified over \mathbb{Z}_p , where $R = S$ and $d = 1$),

$$\mathrm{THH}_i(S, S/(p)) \cong \begin{cases} S/(p) \cong \mathbb{F}_S[\pi]/(\pi^d) & i = 0 \text{ or } 2pk - 1 \text{ or } 2pk, k \geq 1 \\ S/(\pi^{d-1}) \cong \mathbb{F}_S[\pi]/(\pi^{d-1}) & i = 2k \text{ or } 2k - 1, k \geq 0, p \nmid k. \end{cases} \quad (3.2.3)$$

Theorem 3.2.1. *Let A be the maximal order in a division algebra over \mathbb{Q}_p of degree n (so of dimension n^2) over its center. Let S be the valuation ring of the center of the division algebra, and let \mathbb{F}_S be its residue field. Then for all $i \geq 0$, there is an isomorphism of S -modules*

$$\mathrm{THH}_i(A, A/(p)) \cong \mathrm{THH}_i(S, S/(p)) \oplus \mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus n-1}.$$

When $p \nmid n$, the $\mathrm{THH}_i(S, S/(p))$ in this decomposition is the isomorphic image of the map $\mathrm{THH}_i(S, S/(p)) \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}_i(A, A/(p))$ induced by the inclusion $S \hookrightarrow A$. When $p \mid n$, that is not true but we do have that for T the valuation ring of a degree n unramified extension of the center that exists inside A , the inclusion $T \hookrightarrow A$ induces a map $\mathrm{THH}_i(T, T/(p)) \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}_i(A, A/(p))$ which in terms of the decomposition above, surjects onto the first factor. The notation $\mathrm{THH}_i(S, S/(p))$ in the decomposition should be viewed when $p \mid n$ as shorthand notation for the different cases (3.2.2) and (3.2.3) above.

Proof. We will use the Brun spectral sequence from [10, Theorem 3.3] which is associated to the reduction map $A \rightarrow A/(p)$. It is of the form

$$E_{r,s}^2 = \mathrm{THH}_r(A/(p), \mathrm{Tor}_s^A(A/(p), A/(p))) \Rightarrow \mathrm{THH}_{r+s}(A, A/(p)) \quad (3.2.4)$$

which, since $\mathrm{Tor}_*^A(A/(p), A/(p))$ is just $A/(p)$ in dimensions 0 and 1, consists of two rows, each isomorphic to $\mathrm{THH}_*(A/(p))$. If we let τ denote a generator of $\mathrm{Tor}_1^A(A/(p), A/(p))$ in bidegree $(0, 1)$ in the spectral sequence, then $E_{*,*}^2 \cong \mathbb{F}_p[\tau]/\tau^2 \otimes \mathrm{THH}_*(A/(p))$, and by Proposition 3.1.1 and Bökstedt's calculation [1] of $\mathrm{THH}_*(\mathbb{F}_p) \cong \mathbb{F}_p[u]$ for a 2-dimensional

generator u ,

$$E_{*,*}^2 \cong \mathbb{F}_p[\tau]/\tau^2 \otimes \mathbb{F}_p[u] \otimes \mathrm{HH}_*(A/(p)).$$

As explained in Equation (2.0.8) above, [6] shows that

$$\mathrm{HH}_i^{\mathbb{Z}_p}(A) \cong \mathrm{HH}_i^R(A) \cong \begin{cases} S \oplus \mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus n-1} & i = 0 \\ S/(P'(\pi)) \cong \mathrm{HH}_{2a-1}^{\mathbb{Z}_p}(S) & i = 2a - 1 > 0 \\ \mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus n-1} & i = 2a > 0. \end{cases}$$

The relative Hochschild homology $\mathrm{HH}_*^{\mathbb{Z}_p}(A)$ is actually smaller than $\mathrm{HH}_*^{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$. We want to use the above result to get $\mathrm{HH}_*(A/(p))$, and for that the difference does not matter: The Hochschild complex for the quotient ring $A/(p)$ is just \mathbb{F}_p tensored with the Hochschild complex for the ring A , and once we tensor with \mathbb{F}_p , $A^{\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(i+1)} \otimes \mathbb{F}_p \cong A^{\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}(i+1)} \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$ for all $i \geq 0$.

Applying the Universal Coefficient Theorem to the Hochschild complex of A , observing that everything except for the S in dimension zero in Equation (2.0.8) is torsion of order which is a power of p , we therefore get

$$\mathrm{HH}_i(A/(p)) \cong \begin{cases} S/(p) \oplus \mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus(n-1)} & \text{if } i = 0 \\ \mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus(n-1)} \oplus S/(p, P'(\pi)) & \text{if } i > 0. \end{cases}$$

We would also like to understand this homology in terms of generators, so we view the Hochschild homology of $A/(p)$ in terms of the small complex in Equation (2.0.4). In the proof of [6, Theorem 3.5], Larsen shows that the reduced Hochschild complex of A is a direct sum of the small complex (2.0.4) and another complex, and that the inclusion induces a quasi-isomorphism. Thus, the other summand must be acyclic. If we tensor everything with \mathbb{F}_p , the direct sum decomposition will continue to hold and the second complex will continue

to be acyclic, so the modulo p version of Equation (2.0.4) calculates $\mathrm{HH}_*(A/(p))$:

$$0 \longleftarrow T/(p) \xleftarrow{\overline{\pi(1-\bar{\sigma}^{-1})}} T/(p) \xleftarrow{\overline{P'(\pi)\mathrm{Tr}}} T/(p) \xleftarrow{\overline{\pi(1-\bar{\sigma}^{-1})}} T/(p) \longleftarrow \cdots, \quad (3.2.5)$$

where we use bars to denote the reductions of the elements and functions modulo p . Moreover, the reduction modulo p of the original quasi-isomorphism will continue to be a quasi-isomorphism.

Proposition 3.2.2. *In the spectral sequence (3.2.4), d^2 sends $\mathrm{HH}_i(A/(p)) \subset E_{i,0}^2$ to $\tau\mathrm{HH}_{i-2}(A/(p)) \subset E_{i-2,1}^2$ for all $i \geq 2$, and in terms of the complex (2.0.4),*

$$d^2([m]) = -\tau[m]$$

for all $m \in \ker(\overline{\pi(1-\bar{\sigma}^{-1})})$ if i is odd and for all $m \in \ker(\overline{P'(\pi)\mathrm{Tr}})$ if $i > 0$ is even. Thus, it induces an isomorphism between $\mathrm{HH}_i(A/(p)) \subset E_{i,0}^2$ and $\tau\mathrm{HH}_{i-2}(A/(p)) \subset E_{i-2,1}^2$ if $i > 2$, and an inclusion when $i = 2$.

We will postpone the proof to the end of the paper, and see how this Proposition lets us complete the proof of Theorem 3.2.1. Note that the spectral sequence (3.2.4) is multiplicative with respect to multiplication by the corresponding spectral sequence for $\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{Z}/(p))$, since \mathbb{Z} lies in the center of A . There we have $\mathbb{F}_p[\tau]/\tau^2 \otimes \mathbb{F}_p[u]$ with $d^2(u) = \tau$ mapping into the u and τ that we have in (3.2.4). Thus for $[m] \in \mathrm{HH}_i(A/(p))$ and $j \geq 0$,

$$d^2(u^j[m]) = \tau j u^{j-1}[m] + u^j d^2([m]) = \tau(j u^{j-1}[m] - u^j[m]).$$

When $i = 2k$ is even, we get that $d^2 : E_{i,0}^2 \rightarrow E_{i-2,1}^2$ is given by

$$d^2\left(\sum_{i=0}^k u^{k-i}[a_i]\right) = \tau(u^{k-1}[ka_0 - a_1] + \cdots + u[2a_{k-2} - a_{k-1}] + 1[a_{k-1} - a_k]) \quad (3.2.6)$$

for all $a_0 \in T/(p)$, $a_i \in \ker(\overline{P'(\pi)\overline{\text{Tr}}})$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Equation (3.2.6) means that $\text{im}(d^2)$ consists of all those $\tau \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} u^{k-1-i} [b_i]$ where

$$[b_0] \in (kT/(p) + \ker(\overline{P'(\pi)\overline{\text{Tr}}})) / \text{im}(\overline{\pi}(1 - \overline{\sigma}^{-1}))$$

and

$$b_i \in \ker(\overline{P'(\pi)\overline{\text{Tr}}})$$

for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$. Thus, d^2 surjects onto $E_{2k-2,1}^2$ when $p \nmid k$ and so $kT/(p) = T/(p)$.

If $p \mid k$, the image of d^2 consists of all those $\tau \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} u^{k-1-i} [b_i]$ where

$$[b_0] \in \ker(\overline{P'(\pi)\overline{\text{Tr}}}) / \text{im}(\overline{\pi}(1 - \overline{\sigma}^{-1})),$$

but there are no restrictions on the $b_i \in \ker(\overline{P'(\pi)\overline{\text{Tr}}})$ for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$. So if $p \mid k$, the cokernel of $d^2 : E_{i,0}^2 \rightarrow E_{i-2,1}^2$ is isomorphic to the quotient of $(T/(p)) / \text{im}(\overline{\pi}(1 - \overline{\sigma}^{-1}))$ by $\ker(\overline{P'(\pi)\overline{\text{Tr}}}) / \text{im}(\overline{\pi}(1 - \overline{\sigma}^{-1}))$, which we now analyze. We showed that $\text{Tr} : T \rightarrow S$ is surjective, yielding a short exact sequence of S -modules $0 \rightarrow \ker(\text{Tr}) \rightarrow T \rightarrow S \rightarrow 0$ which must split. The splitting is not the obvious inclusion $S \rightarrow T$. If $p \nmid n$, we can take $1/n$ times the inclusion as our splitting, but we cannot do this if n is not a unit. The splitting does in any case give a splitting of $S/(p)$ -modules $T/(p) \cong \ker(\text{Tr})/(p) \oplus S/(p)$. We intend to divide by $\ker(\overline{P'(\pi)\overline{\text{Tr}}})$ which contains the first summand, so we can ignore the first summand. The first summand also contains all of $\text{im}(\overline{\pi}(1 - \overline{\sigma}^{-1}))$. So our cokernel is the quotient of the second summand $S/(p)$ by its intersection with $\ker(\overline{P'(\pi)\overline{\text{Tr}}})$. By construction, $\overline{\text{Tr}}$ sends this second summand isomorphically onto $S/(p)$. Thus the only way an element of it can be

in $\ker(\overline{P'(\pi)\overline{\text{Tr}}})$ is if it is in $\text{Ann}_{S/(p)}\overline{P'(\pi)}$. We get that the cokernel is

$$S/(p)/(\text{Ann}_{S/(p)}\overline{P'(\pi)}) \cong \begin{cases} (\mathbb{F}_S[\pi]/(\pi^d))/(\mathbb{F}_S[\pi]/(\pi^d)) \cong 0 & \text{if } p \mid d, \\ (\mathbb{F}_S[\pi]/(\pi^d))/(\pi\mathbb{F}_S[\pi]/(\pi^d)) \cong \mathbb{F}_S & \text{if } p \nmid d. \end{cases}$$

Equation (3.2.6) also means that $\ker(d^2)$ consists of all those $\sum_{i=0}^k u^{k-i}[a_i]$ where $[ka_0] \in \ker(\overline{P'(\pi)\overline{\text{Tr}}})/\text{im}(\overline{\pi}(1 - \overline{\sigma}^{-1}))$ and the other $[a_i]$ are calculated inductively from $[a_0]$ by the requirement that $[ia_{k-i} - a_{k-(i-1)}] = [0]$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. Thus, if p does not divide k , the kernel is exactly isomorphic to

$$\ker(\overline{P'(\pi)\overline{\text{Tr}}})/\text{im}(\overline{\pi}(1 - \overline{\sigma}^{-1})) \cong \text{HH}_2(A/(p)) \cong \text{HH}_{2a}(A/(p)) \text{ for any } a > 0,$$

whereas if $p \mid k$, $[ka_0] = 0$ and so the kernel is is all of $\text{HH}_0(A/(p))$.

The calculation for i odd is similar, but easier since all the odd Hochschild homology groups of $A/(p)$ are isomorphic. So when i is odd, $d^2 : E_{i,0}^2 \rightarrow E_{i-2,1}^2$ is always surjective, with kernel always isomorphic to $\text{HH}_1(A/(p))$.

We gather all this information together to get

$$E_{s,t}^3 \cong E_{s,t}^\infty \cong \begin{cases} \text{HH}_0(A/(p)) & t = 0, s = 2k, p \mid k \\ \text{HH}_{2k}(A/(p)) & t = 0, s = 2k, p \nmid k \\ \text{HH}_{2k-1}(A/(p)) & t = 0, s = 2k - 1 \\ \mathbb{F}_S & t = 1, s = 2k - 2, p \mid k \text{ but } p \nmid d \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If we look only at the structure of vector spaces over \mathbb{F}_S , there can be no nontrivial extensions. Even if we want to get the full S -module structure, that is: the $S/(p) \cong \mathbb{F}_S[\pi]/(\pi^d)$ -module structure, the only case in which we have more than one nonzero module on a diagonal is in

dimensions $2k - 1$ if $p \nmid d$ but $p \mid k$. The final result is an isomorphism of S -modules

$$\mathrm{THH}_i(A, A/(p)) \cong \begin{cases} \mathrm{HH}_0(A/(p)) \cong \mathbb{F}_S[\pi]/(\pi^d) \oplus \mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus(n-1)} & i = 2k, p \mid k \\ \mathrm{HH}_{2k}(A/(p)) \cong \mathbb{F}_S[\pi]/(\pi^d, d\pi^{d-1}) \oplus \mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus(n-1)} & i = 2k, p \nmid k \\ \mathbb{F}_S[\pi]/(\pi^d) \oplus \mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus(n-1)} & i = 2k - 1, p \mid k \\ \mathrm{HH}_{2k-1}(A/(p)) \cong \mathbb{F}_S[\pi]/(\pi^d, d\pi^{d-1}) \oplus \mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus(n-1)} & i = 2k - 1, p \nmid k. \end{cases}$$

The only case requiring justification is the extension

$$0 \rightarrow E_{2k-2,1}^\infty \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}_{2k-1}(A, A/(p)) \rightarrow E_{2k-1,0}^\infty \rightarrow 0$$

which takes the form

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_S \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}_{2k-1}(A, A/(p)) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_S[\pi]/(\pi^{d-1}) \oplus \mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus(n-1)} \rightarrow 0$$

when $p \nmid d$ but $p \mid k$. In that case, we claim that the extension is nontrivial.

In the case where $p \nmid n$ this follows directly from of [10, Proposition 5.6(ii)], where the analogous extension problem is solved for S . By Corollary 2.0.4, the first summand in $\mathrm{HH}_i(A/(p)) \cong \mathrm{HH}_i(S/(p)) \oplus \mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus(n-1)}$ for all i is the isomorphic image of $\mathrm{HH}_i(S/(p))$ by the map induced by the inclusion $S \hookrightarrow A$. By the naturality of the Brun spectral sequence (3.2.4), the extension problem we see here is exactly that in [10], where the result is that $\mathrm{THH}_{2k-1}(S, S/(p)) \cong \mathbb{F}_S[\pi]/(\pi^d)$. The only difference is that in our calculation, we also have an extra copy of $\mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus(n-1)}$ added on as a direct summand in the whole group and in the quotient.

In the case where $p \mid n$, the analysis of the extension in [10, Proposition 5.6(ii)] is completely analogous for T and for S , so if we are only interested in the S -module structure,

the extension problem in the analogous calculation of $\mathrm{THH}_{2k-1}(T, T/(p))$ using the Brun spectral sequence is

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus(n)} \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}_{2k-1}(T, T/(p)) \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}_S[\pi]/(\pi^{d-1}))^{\oplus(n)} \rightarrow 0.$$

The conclusion, again if we are only interested in the S -module structure, is that

$$\mathrm{THH}_{2k-1}(T, T/(p)) \cong (\mathbb{F}_S[\pi]/(\pi^d))^{\oplus(n)}.$$

Instead of Corollary 2.0.4, we have the weaker Corollary 2.0.6. We still have the naturality of the Brun spectral sequence. Its spectral sequence differentials respect the decomposition of $\mathrm{HH}_*(A/(p))$ and $\mathrm{THH}_*(A/(p))$ because of the explicit formula in Proposition 3.2.2. So the inclusion $T \hookrightarrow A$ induces maps sending the Brun spectral sequence $E_{2k-2,1}^\infty$ for $\mathrm{THH}_{2k-1}(T, T/(p))$ surjectively onto the $E_{2k-2,1}^\infty$ for $\mathrm{THH}_{2k-1}(A, A/(p))$, and sending the $E_{2k-1,0}^\infty$ for $\mathrm{THH}_{2k-1}(T, T/(p))$ surjectively onto the first factor in the $E_{2k-1,0}^\infty \cong \mathbb{F}_S[\pi]/(\pi^{d-1}) \oplus \mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus(n-1)}$ we have in the calculation of $\mathrm{THH}_{2k-1}(A, A/(p))$.

The nontriviality of the extension for A can therefore be deduced from the nontriviality of the analogous extension for T by the following algebraic lemma, for $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_S$ and M the image of $\mathrm{THH}_{2k-1}(T, T/(p))$ in $\mathrm{THH}_{2k-1}(A, A/(p))$. \square

Lemma 3.2.3. *Let \mathbb{F} be a field and let $d \geq 2$, $n \geq 1$ be integers. Assume that in the commutative diagram of $\mathbb{F}[x]/(x^d)$ -modules*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & (x^{d-1}\mathbb{F}[x]/(x^d))^{\oplus n} & \xrightarrow{i} & (\mathbb{F}[x]/(x^d))^{\oplus n} & \xrightarrow{q} & (\mathbb{F}[x]/(x^{d-1}))^{\oplus n} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow f_0 & & \downarrow f_1 & & \downarrow f_2 \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{F} & \xrightarrow{i_M} & M & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{F}[x]/(x^{d-1}) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

the maps i and q in the top row are the usual inclusion and quotient maps, the bottom row

is also exact, and the maps f_0 and f_2 are surjections. Then we have an $\mathbb{F}[x]/(x^d)$ -module isomorphism $M \cong \mathbb{F}[x]/(x^d)$.

Proof. (of Lemma 3.2.3)

Since f_0 is surjective, there exist some elements $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n \in \mathbb{F}$ so that $i_M(1_{\mathbb{F}}) = f_1(\gamma_1 x^{d-1}, \gamma_2 x^{d-1}, \dots, \gamma_n x^{d-1})$. The elements $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n$ cannot all be zero because i_M is an injection. Set $\underline{v} = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n)$. Then $f_1(\underline{v})$ generates over $\mathbb{F}[x]/(x^d)$ a free submodule of M . This is because a cyclic $\mathbb{F}[x]/(x^d)$ -module is either free or it is a $\mathbb{F}[x]/(x^{d-1})$ -module, but we know that

$$x^{d-1} f_1(\underline{v}) = f_1(x^{d-1} \underline{v}) = i_M(1_{\mathbb{F}}) \neq 0.$$

Once we know that $\mathbb{F}[x]/(x^d) \cdot f_1(\underline{v})$ is a free $\mathbb{F}[x]/(x^d)$ -module, we know that it has dimension $d = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} M$ over \mathbb{F} , so by counting dimensions it must be equal to all of M . \square

Lemma 3.2.4. *In the iterated bar construction $B_*(A/(p), A, A/(p))$ which calculates $\text{Tor}_*^A(A/(p), A/(p))$, for any $\bar{a} \in A/(p)$ and $0 \leq t < n$ the chain $\bar{a} \left(x^n \left(\bar{x}^t \right) \right)$ in $B_1(A/(p), A, A/(p))$ represents the homology class $\tau \cdot \bar{a} \bar{x}^t \in \text{Tor}_1^A(A/(p), A/(p)) = \tau \cdot A/(p)$.*

Proof. The fact that $\bar{a} \left(x^n \left(\bar{x}^t \right) \right)$ is a cycle follows directly from $\bar{x}^n = 0$. To see what homology class it represents, as in the proof of [10, Proposition 4.2] we compare the free A resolutions of $A/(p)$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & A\left(A\left(A/(p)\right)\right) & \xrightarrow{d_0-d_1} & A\left(A/(p)\right) & \longrightarrow & A/(p) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow f_1 & & \downarrow f_0 & & \downarrow = \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \xrightarrow{p} & A & \longrightarrow & A/(p) \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

We choose a (non-additive) section $s : M/(p) \rightarrow M$ of reduction modulo p which satisfies $s(\bar{0}) = 0$, $s(\bar{1}) = 1$. Defining $f_0 \left(a \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \bar{m}_i \bar{x}^i \right) \right) = a \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} s(\bar{m}^i) x^i$, we get a map that makes the right square in the diagram commute. Therefore, composing f_0 with $d_0 - d_1$ will

yield an element of A which is divisible by p so we can define $f_1 = \frac{1}{p} f_0 \circ (d_0 - d_1)$. Now f_1 and f_0 (with zero maps $f_i = 0$ for $i > 1$) induce a chain homotopy equivalence between the resolutions, which will continue being a chain homotopy equivalence after tensoring over A with $A/(p)$. Evaluating this,

$$f_1 \left(a \left(x^n \left(\bar{x}^t \right) \right) \right) = \frac{1}{p} f_0 \left(ax^n \left(\bar{x}^t \right) - a \left(\bar{x}^{n+t} \right) \right) = \frac{1}{p} f_0 \left(ax^n \left(\bar{x}^t \right) \right) = \frac{1}{p} ax^n x^t = ax^t,$$

since $x^n = p$. Reducing mod p , we get $\tau \cdot \bar{a}\bar{x}^t \in \text{Tor}_1^A(A/(p), A/(p)) = \tau \cdot A/(p)$. \square

3.3 Identifying the Torsion

Theorem 3.3.1. *Let D be a finite-dimensional division algebra over \mathbb{Q}_p and let A be a maximal order in D . Let L be the center of D , and let S be its valuation ring and \mathbb{F}_S the residue field of S ; we can write $S = R[\pi]/P(\pi)$, for R unramified over \mathbb{Z}_p , π a uniformizer of S , and P an Eisenstein polynomial. Assume that D is of degree n over L (that is, of dimension n^2 over L). Then*

$$\pi_*(\text{THH}(A)_p^\wedge) \cong \begin{cases} S \oplus \mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus n-1} & * = 0 \\ S/(aP'(\pi)) & * = 2a - 1 > 0 \\ \mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus n-1} & * = 2a > 0 \\ 0 & * < 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We will use the following spectral sequence:

Lemma 3.3.2. *If S is a commutative \mathbb{Z}_p -algebra and A is an S -algebra, we have a spectral sequence of S -modules*

$$E_{r,s}^2 = \text{HH}_r^S(A; \pi_s(\text{THH}(S; A)_p^\wedge)) \Rightarrow \pi_{r+s}(\text{THH}(A)_p^\wedge), \quad (3.3.1)$$

which is multiplicative with respect to multiplication by $\pi_*(\mathrm{THH}(S)_p^\wedge)$. This multiplication is the obvious one on the coefficients $\pi_*(\mathrm{THH}(S; A)_p^\wedge)$ in the E^2 -term, but it comes from the multiplication $\mathrm{THH}(S) \wedge \mathrm{THH}(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(A)$ that we have because S is the center of A .

Proof. We take a model of HS cofibrant over the sphere spectrum, and a model of HA cofibrant over HS . We start with the spectral sequence of [9, Corollary 3.3],

$$E_{r,s}^2 = \mathrm{HH}_r^S(A; \mathrm{THH}_s(S; A)) \Rightarrow \mathrm{THH}_{r+s}(A).$$

It is too large because $\pi_*(\mathrm{THH}(S; A))$ contains large \mathbb{Q}_p -vector spaces in addition to $\pi_*(\mathrm{THH}(S; A)_p^\wedge) \cong \pi_*(\mathrm{THH}(S)_p^\wedge) \otimes_S A$, which is the part we are interested in. The spectral sequence above comes from identifying

$$\mathrm{THH}(A) \simeq HA \wedge_{HA \wedge HA^{\mathrm{op}}} HA \simeq HA \wedge_{HA \wedge HS HA^{\mathrm{op}}} ((HA \wedge_{HS} HA^{\mathrm{op}}) \wedge_{HA \wedge HA^{\mathrm{op}}} HA)$$

and observing that the map induced by the obvious inclusions

$$THH(S; A) = HS \wedge_{HS \wedge HS^{\mathrm{op}}} HA \rightarrow (HA \wedge_{HS} HA^{\mathrm{op}}) \wedge_{HA \wedge HA^{\mathrm{op}}} HA$$

is a weak equivalence. This gives a weak equivalence

$$\mathrm{THH}(A) \simeq HA \wedge_{HA \wedge HS HA^{\mathrm{op}}} THH(S; A).$$

If we p -complete $THH(S; A)$, since HA and $HA \wedge_{HS} HA^{\mathrm{op}}$ are already p -complete and the maps between them commute with the p -completion, we will get that

$$\mathrm{THH}(A)_p^\wedge \simeq HA \wedge_{HA \wedge HS HA^{\mathrm{op}}} \mathrm{THH}(S; A)_p^\wedge,$$

yielding the spectral sequence (3.3.1) that we need.

To see what the multiplication $\mathrm{THH}(S) \wedge \mathrm{THH}(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(A)$ does, we use the naturality of our construction for free S -algebras and compare the spectral sequence (3.3.1) with the analogous one we would get for S in place of A :

$$E_{r,s}^2 = \mathrm{HH}_r^S(S; \pi_s(\mathrm{THH}(S; S)_p^\wedge)) \Rightarrow \pi_{r+s}(\mathrm{THH}(S)_p^\wedge).$$

Note that $E_{r,s}^2 = 0$ unless $r = 0$, and we only have the copy of $\pi_*(\mathrm{THH}(S)_p^\wedge)$ in the 0th column. □

We recall from [10, Theorem 5.1] that

$$\pi_*(\mathrm{THH}(S)_p^\wedge) \cong \begin{cases} S & * = 0 \\ S/(aP'(\pi)) & * = 2a - 1 > 0 \\ 0 & * = 2a > 0 \text{ or } * < 0. \end{cases}$$

In odd dimensions, the result there actually gives the inverse different ideal modulo aS , but that is isomorphic to S modulo a times the different ideal ($P'(\pi)$). Since A is free over S , this gives

$$\pi_*(\mathrm{THH}(S; A)_p^\wedge) \cong \pi_*((\mathrm{THH}(S) \wedge_{HS} HA)_p^\wedge) \cong \pi_*(\mathrm{THH}(S)_p^\wedge) \otimes_S A.$$

Thus in the spectral sequence (3.3.1), we have

$$E_{r,s}^2 \cong \begin{cases} \mathrm{HH}_r^S(A) & s = 0 \\ \mathrm{HH}_r^S(A; A/(aP'(\pi))) & s = 2a - 1 > 0 \\ 0 & s = 2a > 0 \text{ or } s < 0. \end{cases}$$

In Equation (2.0.6) above we used Larsen's result from [6, Theorem 3.5] with the ground ring

R equal to the ring of integers in the maximal unramified extension of \mathbb{Q}_p that is contained in the center L of D . But we can use as the ground ring the ring of integers in any extension of \mathbb{Q}_p over which L is purely ramified and in particular, we can use the ring of integers in L itself and take $R = S$. In that case, [6, Theorem 3.5] tells us that

$$\mathrm{HH}_*^S(A) \cong \begin{cases} T/\pi \ker(\mathrm{Tr}_{T/S}) \cong S \oplus \mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus n-1} & * = 0 \\ 0 & * = 2a - 1 > 0 \\ \ker(\mathrm{Tr}_{T/S})/\pi \cong \mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus n-1} & * = 2a > 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.3.2)$$

Comparing with Equation (2.0.6), the difference here is that the uniformizer of S remains π , but its minimal polynomial over $R = S$ is now $x - \pi$, and so has derivative equal to 1, making the odd dimensional Hochschild homology vanish. Using this and the Universal Coefficient Theorem over S , for any nontrivial ideal (π^i) in S ,

$$\mathrm{HH}_*^S(A; A/(\pi^i)) \cong \begin{cases} S/(\pi^i) \oplus \mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus n-1} & * = 0 \\ \mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus n-1} & * > 0. \end{cases}$$

We now have to separate into two cases, according to whether the ideal $(aP'(\pi))$ is the trivial ideal or not for different a 's. In the case where the center S is ramified over \mathbb{Z}_p , the ideal $(P'(\pi))$ is already nontrivial, and so for any integer $a \geq 1$, the ideal $(aP'(\pi))$ is nontrivial as well. In that case, the spectral sequence (3.3.1) takes the form

$$E_{r,s}^2 \cong \begin{cases} S \oplus \mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus n-1} & s = r = 0 \\ S/(aP'(\pi)) \oplus \mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus n-1} & s = 2a - 1 \text{ and } r = 0 \\ \mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus n-1} & s = 2a - 1 \text{ and } r > 0, \text{ or } s = 0 \text{ and } r = 2b > 0 \\ 0 & s = 2a > 0, \text{ or } s = 0 \text{ and } r = 2b - 1 > 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.3.3)$$

On the other hand, if the center S is unramified over \mathbb{Z}_p , $(P'(\pi)) = S$ and so the ideal $(aP'(\pi))$ will be nontrivial only when a is a multiple of p . In that case, we get

$$E_{r,s}^2 \cong \begin{cases} S \oplus \mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus n-1} & s = r = 0 \\ S/(ap) \oplus \mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus n-1} & s = 2ap - 1 \text{ and } r = 0 \\ \mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus n-1} & s = 2ap - 1 \text{ and } r > 0, \text{ or } s = 0 \text{ and } r = 2b > 0 \\ 0 & s = 2a > 0 \text{ or } s = 2a - 1 \text{ and } p \nmid a, \\ & \text{or } s = 0 \text{ and } r = 2b - 1 > 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.3.4)$$

Since S is the center of A , these are spectral sequences of S -modules and it is meaningful to talk of S -ranks. In both cases, we have seen that $\pi_*(THH(A)_p^\wedge)$ will consist entirely of torsion in positive dimensions, and of torsion and one copy of S in dimension zero. Any S -torsion of rank m in $\pi_i(THH(A)_p^\wedge)$ will give S -torsion of rank m in dimensions i and $i + 1$ in

$$\pi_*(THH(A)_p^\wedge; \mathbb{F}_p) \cong \pi_*(THH(A); \mathbb{F}_p) \cong THH_*(A; A/p).$$

So we read backwards from Theorem 3.2.1 which describes the homotopy groups with mod p coefficients. In the ramified case, it says that $THH_i(A; A/p)$ has rank n over S for any $i \geq 0$, meaning (because of the copy of S in dimension zero) that $THH_i(A)$ should have rank n for $i = 0$, rank 1 for odd positive i , and rank $n - 1$ for even positive i . In the unramified case, by similar analysis $THH_i(A)$ should have rank n for $i = 0$, rank 1 in dimensions is $2ap - 1$ for $a > 0$, rank $n - 1$ for even positive i , and rank zero in odd dimensions that are not of the form $2ap - 1$.

To understand exactly what the homotopy groups are, we will separate the discussion into cases according to whether p divides n , the degree of A over S , and according to whether S is ramified. The cases where p does not divide the degree n are easier, because then in the calculation of $\pi_*(THH(A)_p^\wedge)$ using the spectral sequence (3.3.1) we can see in the 0th column

of the spectral sequence a copy of $\pi_*(THH(S)_p^\wedge)$ which exactly gives all the odd-dimensional homotopy groups in $\pi_*(THH(A)_p^\wedge)$. Therefore all other groups in odd total dimension have to be cancelled, but we will argue inductively that all outgoing spectral sequence differentials from them have to be trivial, and we will show by counting elements that the only way the superfluous odd total dimension groups can all be cancelled is if every incoming spectral sequence differential that can be nontrivial is as nontrivial as possible. After that, all that remains in any positive even total dimension is $\mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus n-1}$, the smallest possible S -module of rank $n-1$, and so having a good understanding the S -torsion in odd dimensions completely determines the S -torsion in even dimensions.

When p does divide n , we can still say that all of $\pi_{2a-1}(THH(A)_p^\wedge)$ comes from the 0th column of the spectral sequence (3.3.1); as explained above, we also know that it has S -rank 1. This is shown to be enough to force the same cancellation pattern as in the case where p does not divide n , and thus determines the even torsion as before.

The case $d > 1, p \nmid n$:

Since $p \nmid n$, the E^2 -term in the spectral sequence (3.3.3) contains a copy of $\pi_*(THH(S)_p^\wedge)$ in the 0th column which is the isomorphic image of $\pi_*(THH(S)_p^\wedge)$ under the map induced on the spectral sequence (3.3.1) by the inclusion $S \hookrightarrow A$. This is because, as in the proof of Corollary 2.0.3, we have an isomorphism of S -modules $T \cong S \oplus \ker(\mathrm{Tr}_{T/S})$ with the copy of S equal to the image of the inclusion $S \hookrightarrow T$, that is: $\ker((1 - \sigma^{-1}))$. Using this decomposition with the result in equation (3.3.2), we see that it is in fact the image of S under the inclusion $S \hookrightarrow T$ that survives to be the S in $\mathrm{HH}_0^S(A) \cong S \oplus \mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus n-1}$. Larsen's comparison between the small complex and the Hochschild complex is the standard inclusion $T \hookrightarrow A$ in dimension zero, so this S in $\mathrm{HH}_0^S(A)$ is the image of $S = \mathrm{HH}_0^S(S)$ under the inclusion $S \hookrightarrow A$. Similarly, in $\mathrm{HH}_0^S(A; A/(\pi^i)) \cong S/(\pi^i) \oplus \mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus n-1}$ the $S/(\pi^i)$ is the image of the included S .

By Theorem 3.2.1 we know that if $p \nmid n$, the image of $\mathrm{THH}_{2a-1}(S, S/(p)) \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}_{2a-1}(A, A/(p))$ is the part of $\mathrm{THH}_{2a-1}(A, A/(p))$ which does

not come from $\text{Tor}(\text{THH}_{2a-2}(A), \mathbb{F}_p)$: starting in dimension zero, we see that the $\mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus n-1}$'s in $\text{THH}_{2a}(A, A/(p))$ come from $\text{THH}_{2a}(A) \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$ but those in $\text{THH}_{2a-1}(A, A/(p))$ come from $\text{Tor}(\text{THH}_{2a-2}(A), \mathbb{F}_p)$. So we deduce that the generator over S of $\text{THH}_{2a-1}(A)$ comes from the image of $\text{THH}_{2a-1}(S)$ under the inclusion $S \hookrightarrow A$. That image is by the previous paragraph exactly the $\text{THH}_{2a-1}(S)$ summand in $E_{0,2a-1}^2$. Once we have accounted for the generator over S of $\text{THH}_{2a-1}(A)$ in $E_{0,2a-1}^2$, we know that all other classes in total dimension $2a - 1$ have to die by the time we get to the E^∞ term, both the $\mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus n-1}$ in $E_{0,2a-1}^2$ and the classes in $E_{i,2a-1-i}^2$ for $i > 0$. A priori there could have been a nontrivial extension of $E_{0,2a-1}^\infty$ by some $E_{i,2a-1-i}^\infty$ for $i > 0$ which is still of rank one over S , but if the generator of $\text{THH}_{2a-1}(A)$ over S is already in $E_{0,2a-1}^\infty$, this cannot happen.

We work inductively: clearly $E_{0,0}^2 \cong E_{0,0}^\infty \cong \text{THH}_0(A)$. In total dimension 1, we know that the $\mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus n-1}$ in $E_{0,1}^2$ must die before the E^∞ term, and the only way this could happen is for $d^2 : E_{2,0}^2 \rightarrow E_{0,1}^2$ to be injective and onto this summand. That means that all that is left in total dimension 2 is the $\mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus n-1}$ in $E_{1,1}^2$, which is the smallest possible S -module of rank $n - 1$ so there cannot be any possible nontrivial incoming differentials from total dimension 3 to make it yet smaller.

More generally, at each stage a with $a > 1$, it turns out that most of the elements in total dimension $2a - 2$ were used to eliminate elements of total dimension $2a - 3$ and all that is left in total dimension $2a - 2$ is a copy of $\mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus n-1}$ in $E_{1,2a-3}^2$ which cannot be shrunk further while maintaining a rank of $n - 1$ over S . Therefore there can be no nontrivial spectral sequence differentials from total dimension $2a - 1$ into total dimension $2a - 2$, and all classes of total dimension $2a - 1$ that need to be eliminated need to be hit by spectral sequence differentials from total dimension $2a$. In total dimension $2a - 1$, we have $\text{THH}_{2a-1}(S)$ in $E_{0,2a-1}^2$ and a copies of $\mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus n-1}$ that have to be eliminated, in $E_{0,2a-1}^2, E_{2,2a-3}^2, \dots, E_{2a-2,1}^2$. In total dimension $2a$, we have $a + 1$ copies of $\mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus n-1}$, in $E_{1,2a-1}^2, E_{3,2a-3}^2, \dots, E_{2a-1,1}^2$ and also in $E_{2a,0}^2$. Note however that there cannot be any nontrivial spectral sequence differentials

d^r out of $E_{1,2a-1}^r$ for $r \geq 2$, so that copy has to last to E^∞ and be the rank $n-1$ S -module we need in $\mathrm{THH}_{2a}(A)$. But the remaining a copies all need to be used to eliminate the a copies in total dimension $2a-1$.

In order to utilize the a copies in total dimension $2a$ to eliminate classes in total dimension $2a-1$, we must have that $d^2 : E_{2,0}^2 \rightarrow E_{0,1}^2$ is injective onto the $\mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus n-1}$ summand, that $d^2 : E_{2b,0}^2 \xrightarrow{\cong} E_{2b-2,1}^2$ for all $b > 1$, and that d^2 vanishes everywhere else. And afterwards, that d^3 is as nontrivial as it can be, meaning that $d^3 : E_{3,2a-1}^3 \rightarrow E_{0,2a+1}^3$ is injective onto the $\mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus n-1}$ summand for all $a > 1$, that $d^3 : E_{2b+1,2a-1}^3 \xrightarrow{\cong} E_{2b-2,2a+1}^3$ for all $b > 1$, $a > 0$, and that d^3 vanishes everywhere else. No nontrivial differentials from total dimension $2a$ into total dimension $2a-1$ are possible beyond that, so we get S -module isomorphisms

$$E_{r,s}^\infty \cong \begin{cases} S \oplus \mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus n-1} & r = s = 0 \\ S/(aP'(\pi)) & r = 0 \text{ and } s = 2a - 1 \\ \mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus n-1} & r = 1 \text{ and } s = 2a - 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (3.3.5)$$

Since every diagonal contains exactly one nontrivial S -module, there are no possible extensions and the result of Theorem 3.3.1 follows in this case.

The case $d > 1$, $p \mid n$:

In the previous case, we used the fact that $p \nmid n$ to show that $\mathrm{THH}_{2a-1}(A)$ consists only of the isomorphic image of $\mathrm{THH}_{2a-1}(S)$ in $E_{0,2a-1}^2$ in the spectral sequence (3.3.3). When $p \mid n$, that copy is no longer the isomorphic image of $\mathrm{THH}_{2a-1}(S)$ under the map induced by the inclusion $S \hookrightarrow A$, but we can still show that $\mathrm{THH}_{2a-1}(A)$ contains only a copy of $\mathrm{THH}_{2a-1}(S)$ that sits inside $E_{0,2a-1}^2$, and that was the essential feature that allowed us to deduce what all the differentials of the spectral sequence must do.

We now look at the map induced on the spectral sequence (3.3.1) by the inclusion $T \hookrightarrow A$,

instead. Recall that T is unramified over S , so $\mathrm{HH}_*^S(T) \cong T$ when $* = 0$ and vanishes everywhere else; similarly, $\mathrm{HH}_*^S(T; T/(\pi^i)) \cong T/(\pi^i)$ when $* = 0$ and vanishes everywhere else. So the spectral sequence (3.3.1) for T is concentrated in the 0th column and gives the result we know, that $\mathrm{THH}_*(T) \cong T \otimes_S \mathrm{THH}_*(S)$.

This means that the image of $\pi_*(\mathrm{THH}(T)_p^\wedge)$ in $\pi_*(\mathrm{THH}(A)_p^\wedge)$ under the map induced by the inclusion $T \hookrightarrow A$ is all in the 0th column of the spectral sequence (3.3.3). This explains why all the nontrivial $E_{i,2a-1-i}^2$'s for $i > 0$ need to disappear before the E^∞ term. As far as $E_{0,2a-1}^2$ goes, we know that $E_{0,2a-1}^\infty$ is a submodule of $\pi_*(\mathrm{THH}(A)_p^\wedge)$ which has rank one over S . Therefore $E_{0,2a-1}^\infty$ has rank one over S , as well. But $E_{0,2a-1}^2$ has rank n over S , and of course all the outgoing spectral sequence differentials originating from it cannot hit anything so they are zero. So an S -submodule of rank $n - 1$ inside $E_{0,2a-1}^2$ must be eliminated by incoming spectral sequence differentials. The above argument shows, by counting elements, that the incoming differential that could cancel these (while making sure all the $E_{i,2a-i}^2$'s for $i > 0$ are cancelled) is $d^2 : E_{2,0}^2 \rightarrow E_{0,1}^2$ if $a = 1$ or $d^3 : E_{3,2a-3}^3 \rightarrow E_{0,2a-1}^3$ if $a > 1$. The source of these differentials is, in both cases, $\mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus n-1}$; the target is $S/(aP'(\pi)) \oplus \mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus n-1}$. If the cokernel needs to have rank one over S , the cokernel has to be isomorphic to $S/(aP'(\pi))$. If it happens that $S/(aP'(\pi)) \cong \mathbb{F}_S$, it might be that it is not the separate $S/(aP'(\pi))$ that remains in the cokernel but one of the \mathbb{F}_S 's in $\mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus n-1}$, but it is nevertheless a copy of $S/(aP'(\pi))$ that remains.

So the same cancellation pattern that we had in the $p \nmid n$ case must hold in the $p \mid n$ case, yielding the same E^∞ result as Equation (3.3.5).

The case $d = 1$:

If S is unramified over \mathbb{Z}_p , or in the notation we are using: $S = R$, the spectral sequence (3.3.1) takes the form (3.3.4), which is much sparser than (3.3.3). However, the argument that explains why $\pi_{2a-1}(\mathrm{THH}(A)_p^\wedge)$ should contain only a copy of $\pi_{2a-1}(\mathrm{THH}(S)_p^\wedge)$ in $E_{0,2a-1}^2$ of (3.3.1) is the same. As before, the argument depends on whether that copy of

$\pi_{2a-1}(\mathrm{THH}(S)_p^\wedge)$ is the isomorphic image of $\pi_{2a-1}(\mathrm{THH}(S)_p^\wedge)$ under the inclusion $S \hookrightarrow A$ as in the case $p \nmid n$ or not. Note that when $d = 1$, $\pi_{2a-1}(\mathrm{THH}(S)_p^\wedge) \cong 0$ if $p \nmid a$ but is a rank one S -module if $p \mid a$, so the argument would sometimes be used about a rank one module and sometimes about the zero module. Also, the argument that shows by induction on a that the only way to get nothing but a copy of $\pi_{2a-1}(\mathrm{THH}(S)_p^\wedge)$ in $E_{0,2a-1}^2$ in total dimension $2a - 1$ in the E^∞ term is to have maximally nontrivial spectral sequence differentials from total dimension $2a$ remains the same argument.

The difference in the unramified case is what the pattern of the maximally nontrivial differentials is. Here, $d^2, d^3, \dots, d^{2p-1}$ all have to be trivial. The first potentially nontrivial differential is d^{2p} , where we must have that $d^{2p} : E_{2p,0}^{2p} \rightarrow E_{0,2p-1}^{2p}$ is injective onto a direct summand isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus n-1}$, that for all $b > p$ $d^{2p} : E_{2b,0}^{2p} \xrightarrow{\cong} E_{2b-2p,2p-1}^{2p}$, and that d^{2p} vanishes everywhere else. Afterwards, we have that $d^{2p+1} : E_{2p+1,2ap-1}^{2p+1} \rightarrow E_{0,2(a+1)p-1}^{2p+1}$ is injective onto a direct summand isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus n-1}$ for all $a > 0$, that $d^{2p+1} : E_{2b+1,2ap-1}^{2p+1} \xrightarrow{\cong} E_{2b-2p,2(a+1)p-1}^{2p+1}$ for all $b > p, a > 0$, and that d^{2p+1} vanishes everywhere else. And then no further nontrivial differentials are possible and $E^{2p+2} \cong E^\infty$.

We get

$$E_{r,s}^\infty \cong \begin{cases} S \oplus \mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus n-1} & r = s = 0 \\ S/(a) & r = 0 \text{ and } s = 2a - 1 \\ \mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus n-1} & r = 2b, 0 < b < p, \text{ and } s = 0 \\ \mathbb{F}_S^{\oplus n-1} & r = 2b + 1, 0 \leq b < p, \text{ and } s = 2ap - 1, a > 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (3.3.6)$$

and since every diagonal contains at most one nontrivial S -module, there are no possible extensions and the result of Theorem 3.3.1 follows in this case as well. \square

3.4 Combining the Local Calculations into the Final Result

We now combine the results of Theorem 3.3.1 over all localizations of the center V at non-trivial prime ideals $\mathfrak{P} \subset V$. As explained in the introduction and worked out in Corollary 2.0.1, $\mathrm{THH}_0(U) \cong \mathrm{HH}_0(U)$ and we know what it is by the work of Larsen in [6]. For $* > 0$, $\mathrm{THH}_*(U)$ is a V -module that consists entirely of torsion, so it is equal to the direct sum over all primes $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ of the p -torsion in the homotopy groups of $\mathrm{THH}(U)_p^\wedge \simeq \mathrm{THH}(U_{(p)}^\wedge)_p^\wedge$ [4, Addendum 6.2]. Since

$$U_{(p)}^\wedge \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{\mathfrak{P} \subset V \\ \text{prime}, (p) \subseteq \mathfrak{P}}} U_{\mathfrak{P}}^\wedge,$$

this is in turn equal to the direct sum over all primes $\mathfrak{P} \subset V$ with $(p) \subseteq \mathfrak{P}$ of the \mathfrak{P} torsion in $\pi_*(\mathrm{THH}(U_{\mathfrak{P}}^\wedge)_p^\wedge)$, so we need to understand that for all such p and \mathfrak{P} .

If B is the simple algebra over \mathbb{Q} that U is a maximal order in and C its center (which has V as its ring of integers), the completion $B_{\mathfrak{P}}^\wedge$ is a central simple $C_{\mathfrak{P}}^\wedge$ -algebra, and so $B_{\mathfrak{P}}^\wedge$ is isomorphic to an $i_{\mathfrak{P}} \times i_{\mathfrak{P}}$ matrix ring on some central division algebra $D_{\mathfrak{P}}$ over $C_{\mathfrak{P}}^\wedge$, of degree $e_{\mathfrak{P}}$. But then by [12, Theorem X.1], the valuation ring $U_{\mathfrak{P}}^\wedge$ must be isomorphic to an $i_{\mathfrak{P}} \times i_{\mathfrak{P}}$ matrix ring over the valuation ring of $D_{\mathfrak{P}}$.

If $e_{\mathfrak{P}} = 1$ then $U_{\mathfrak{P}}^\wedge$ is isomorphic to an $i_{\mathfrak{P}} \times i_{\mathfrak{P}}$ matrix ring over $V_{\mathfrak{P}}^\wedge$ itself. Then the Morita equivalence of [2, Proposition 3.9] shows that $\mathrm{THH}(U_{\mathfrak{P}}^\wedge)$ and $\mathrm{THH}(V_{\mathfrak{P}}^\wedge)$ are weakly equivalent. The homotopy groups of the p -completion of the latter were calculated in [10], so we are done. The positive dimensional homotopy groups $\pi_*(\mathrm{THH}(U_{\mathfrak{P}}^\wedge)_p^\wedge)$ vanish if $* = 2a > 0$, and give the direct summand consisting of all the \mathfrak{P} -torsion in $\mathrm{THH}_{2a-1}(V)$ if $* = 2a - 1 > 0$. Writing that the even dimensional homotopy groups are isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\oplus e_{\mathfrak{P}} - 1}$ is also correct, since $e_{\mathfrak{P}} = 1$. When $e_{\mathfrak{P}} = 1$, U is called unramified at \mathfrak{P} , and in fact U is unramified at all but finitely many prime ideals in V .

But if U does ramify at \mathfrak{P} , that is: if $e_{\mathfrak{P}} > 1$, we need Theorem 3.3.1 for $D = D_{\mathfrak{P}}$, A a maximal order in D , $L = C_{\mathfrak{P}}^\wedge$, $S = V_{\mathfrak{P}}^\wedge$, and $n = e_{\mathfrak{P}}$. The ring $C_{\mathfrak{P}}^\wedge$ is the center of $B_{\mathfrak{P}}^\wedge$,

but since the center of the ring of matrices over a ring consists of multiples of the identity matrix by elements of the center of that ring, $C_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}$ is also isomorphic to the center of $D_{\mathfrak{P}}$. The result of Theorem 3.3.1 in odd dimensions consists of $\pi_{2a-1}(\mathrm{THH}(V_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge})^{\wedge})$, which is the \mathfrak{P} -torsion in $\mathrm{THH}_{2a-1}(V)$. Gathering the results of Theorem 3.3.1 for all prime ideals $\mathfrak{P} \subset V$ where U is ramified together with the observations above for prime ideals $\mathfrak{P} \subset V$ where U is unramified, we get

Theorem 3.4.1. *Let B be a simple algebra over \mathbb{Q} , and let U be a maximal order in it. Let C be the center of B , and let V be its ring of integers. For every nontrivial prime ideal $\mathfrak{P} \subset V$, the completion $B_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}$ is a central simple $C_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}$ -algebra, and so $B_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}$ is isomorphic to a matrix ring on some central division algebra $D_{\mathfrak{P}}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}$, of degree $e_{\mathfrak{P}}$. Let $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}} = V/\mathfrak{P}$. Then we have V -module isomorphisms*

$$\mathrm{THH}_*(U) \cong \begin{cases} V \oplus \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{P} \subset V \text{ prime}} \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\oplus e_{\mathfrak{P}}-1} & * = 0 \\ \mathrm{THH}_{2a-1}(V) & * = 2a - 1 > 0 \\ \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{P} \subset V \text{ prime}} \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\oplus e_{\mathfrak{P}}-1} & * = 2a > 0 \\ 0 & * < 0. \end{cases}$$

Remark 3.4.2. *Note that the result here is entirely determined by the simple algebra B . Knowing B determines its center C and the valuation ring V of C . Knowing V determines its nontrivial prime ideals $\mathfrak{P} \subset V$, and for each such \mathfrak{P} , the field $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}} = V/\mathfrak{P}$ and the degree $e_{\mathfrak{P}}$, which is the degree of the central $C_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}$ -division algebra $D_{\mathfrak{P}}$ which $B_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge}$ is an $i_{\mathfrak{P}} \times i_{\mathfrak{P}}$ matrix ring over. All of this is entirely independent of the choice of the maximal order U . Thus while it is true that a simple algebra over \mathbb{Q} might have different and non-isomorphic maximal orders, they must all have the same topological Hochschild homology.*

APPENDIX A

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 3.2.2

Proof. For any abelian group A and a pointed simplicial set $X.$, let $A(X.) = A[X.]/A \cdot *$. If A is a simplicial abelian group, we define $A(X.)$ similarly, taking the diagonal of the resulting bisimplicial abelian group. For any rings R and A , we let $R(A) = R[A]/R \cdot 0$ be the ring which is additively a free R -module on nonzero elements of A , with the inherited multiplication; for any left R -algebra M and right R -algebra N , let $B.(M, R, N) = M\left(R\left(R \cdots R(N) \cdots\right)\right)$ be the bar construction using iterations of the previous construction, with k copies of R in degree k . Since $B.(R, R, N)$ is a free R -resolution of N , $\pi_*(B.(M, R, N)) = \text{Tor}_*^R(M, N)$. There is an obvious left M -module structure on $B.(M, R, N)$, and also a right $\mathbb{Z}(N)$ -module structure where the \mathbb{Z} multiplies into the M on the left.

For an R -bimodule M , let $V.(R, M)$ be the Hochschild complex of R with coefficients in M , $V_k(R, M) = M \otimes R^{\otimes k}$. Let $\mathbf{V}.(R, M)$ be the stabilization of $V.(\mathbb{Z}(R), M)$ given by

$$\text{holim}_{I^{k+1}\text{s.Ab}}(\mathbb{Z}(S^{x_0.}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{Z}(S^{x_k.}), M(S^{x_0.}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}(R)(S^{x_1.}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{Z}(R)(S^{x_k.})),$$

the mapping space in simplicial abelian groups, where $x_i \in I$ and I is the skeleton of the category of finite sets and injective maps. Consider the map

$$V.(\mathbb{Z}(A/(p)), B.(A/(p), A, A/(p))) \xrightarrow{\Sigma_V} \mathbf{V}.(A/(p), B.(A/(p), A, A/(p)))$$

from [10, Equation (3.11)], which comes from including the linear case of $x_i = \emptyset$ for every i into the limit. As explained above [10, Equation (3.7)], $\mathbf{V}.(R; M)$ is a model for $\text{THH}(R; M)$. By a comparison to a double bar construction from Lemma 3.2 there, [10] also shows that $\mathbf{V}.(A/(p), B.(A/(p), A, A/(p)))$ is a model for $\text{THH}(A, A/(p))$. Filtering by the simplicial

degree in V , Σ_V induces a map of E^2 spectral sequences

$$\mathrm{HH}_*(\mathbb{Z}(A/(p)), \mathrm{Tor}_*^A(A/(p), A/(p))) \xrightarrow{\Sigma_V} \mathrm{THH}_*(A/(p), \mathrm{Tor}_*^A(A/(p), A/(p)))$$

where the target is exactly the Brun spectral sequence we are working with. To calculate d^2 on elements in $E_{i,0}^2$ in the Brun spectral sequence, we will find elements which map to them via Σ_V and calculate d^2 there. When we look at $V_r(\mathbb{Z}(A/(p)), B_s(A/(p), A, A/(p)))$ as a double complex, we will call its horizontal (in the r -direction) differential $d_{\mathrm{HH}} = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i d_{i,\mathrm{HH}}$ and its vertical (in the s -direction) differential d_{bar} .

When Larsen showed that $\mathrm{HH}_*(A)$ can be calculated using the small complex (2.0.4), he used maps i_* (see the proof of [6, Proposition 3.8]) to map this complex into the standard Hochschild complex, and then showed that the reduced Hochschild complex splits as a direct sum of the image of the i_* and an acyclic summand. These maps are given for any $m \in T$ by

$$i_{2k}(m) = \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k=1}^{nd} m x^{i_1 + \dots + i_k - k} \otimes x \otimes x^{nd-i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes x \otimes x^{nd-i_k},$$

$$i_{2k+1}(m) = \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k=1}^{nd} m x^{n+i_1 + \dots + i_k - (k+1)} \otimes x \otimes x^{nd-i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes x \otimes x^{nd-i_k} \otimes x.$$

We want to use Larsen's i_* in order to identify generators of $\mathrm{HH}_*(A/(p))$. The reduction mod p of his i_* gives a chain of quasi-isomorphisms from the reduction mod p of the small complex above to the reduction mod p of the Hochschild complex. This is because as explained above, the reduced Hochschild complex is a direct sum of the isomorphic image of i_* and an acyclic complex, and the map from the standard Hochschild complex to the reduced Hochschild complex is a quasi-isomorphism. After reducing mod p , the acyclic complex remains acyclic, and the map between the standard and the reduced Hochschild complexes remains a quasi-

isomorphism. But rather than mapping into $A^{\otimes(k+1)}$, as his i_k does, we will define maps

$$\tilde{i}_k : T \rightarrow A/(p) \left(A/(p) \right) \otimes \mathbb{Z}(A/(p))^{\otimes k} \in V_k(A/(p)(A/(p)), B_0(A/(p), A, A/(p)))$$

that reduce (using the maps $\mathbb{Z}(A/(p)) \rightarrow A/(p)$ and $A/(p) \left(A/(p) \right) \rightarrow A/(p)$) to the same elements that Larsen's i_k do in $(A/(p))^{\otimes(k+1)}$ and therefore can be used to represent all of $\mathrm{HH}_*(A/(p))$. Looking at the reduction modulo p of Larsen's small complex (2.0.4), we see that to represent all the elements in $\mathrm{HH}_i(A/(p))$, $i \geq 0$, it will be enough to look at $i_0(m)$ for all $m \in T$, $i_{2k}(m)$ for all m such that $\bar{m} \in \ker(\overline{P'(\pi)\mathrm{Tr}})$ for all $k > 0$, and $i_{2k+1}(m)$ for all m such that $\bar{m} \in \ker(\overline{\pi(1 - \sigma^{-1})})$ for all $k \geq 0$.

We start with the odd-dimensional case. For $k \geq 1$, let

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{i}_{2k+1}(m) \\ &= \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k=1}^{nd} \bar{m} \left(\bar{x}^{n+i_1+i_2+\dots+i_k-(k+1)} \right) \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \left(\bar{x}^{nd-i_1} \right) \otimes \dots \otimes \left(\bar{x}^{nd-i_k} \right) \otimes (\bar{x}). \end{aligned}$$

Then $(d_{0,HH} - d_{1,HH})(\tilde{i}_{2k+1}(m)) = 0$ because the $i_1 = a$ term in $d_{0,HH}$ is cancelled by the $i_1 = a + 1$ in $d_{1,HH}$, except when $i_1 = 1$ and when $i_1 = nd$. When $i_1 = nd$, $\bar{x}^{n+i_1+i_2+\dots+i_k-(k+1)} = 0$ because $n \geq 1$ and so $n + nd + i_2 + \dots + i_k - (k + 1) + 1 \geq nd$, and $\bar{x}^{nd} = 0$. When $i_1 = 1$, $\bar{x}^{1+nd-1} = 0$.

We also get that $(d_{2,HH} - d_{3,HH})(\tilde{i}_{2k+1}(m)) = 0$, since

$$\begin{aligned} & (d_{2,HH} - d_{3,HH})(\tilde{i}_{2k+1}(m)) \\ &= \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k=1}^{nd} \bar{m} \left(\bar{x}^{n+i_1+i_2+\dots+i_k-(k+1)} \right) \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \left(\bar{x}^{nd-i_1+1} \right) \otimes \left(\bar{x}^{nd-i_2} \right) \otimes \dots \otimes (\bar{x}) \\ &- \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k=1}^{nd} \bar{m} \left(\bar{x}^{n+i_1+i_2+\dots+i_k-(k+1)} \right) \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \left(\bar{x}^{nd-i_1} \right) \otimes \left(\bar{x}^{nd-i_2+1} \right) \otimes \dots \otimes (\bar{x}) \end{aligned}$$

and the $(i_1, i_2) = (a, b)$ in the first sum cancels the $(i_1, i_2) = (a - 1, b + 1)$ in the second sum. The terms which are left are when $i_1 = 1$ or $i_2 = nd$ in the first sum, and when $i_1 = nd$ or $i_2 = 1$ in the second sum. These terms are zero because $\bar{x}^{nd} = 0$: if $i_1 = 1$ for the first sum or $i_2 = 1$ in the second, this is obvious. If $i_2 = nd$ in the first sum, then $n + i_1 + i_2 + \cdots + i_k - (k + 1) \geq nd$ if $i_1 \geq 2$, but if $i_1 = 1$ then we already know we get zero in the first tensor coordinate, and similarly for $i_1 = nd$ in the second sum.

By the same argument,

$$(d_{4,HH} - d_{5,HH})(\tilde{i}_{2k+1}(m)) = \cdots = (d_{2k-2,HH} - d_{2k-1,HH})(\tilde{i}_{2k+1}(m)) = 0.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{HH}(\tilde{i}_{2k+1}(m)) &= d_{2k,HH}(\tilde{i}_{2k+1}(m)) - d_{2k+1,HH}(\tilde{i}_{2k+1}(m)) \\
&= \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k=1}^{nd} \bar{m} \left(\bar{x}^{n+i_1+i_2+\dots+i_k-(k+1)} \right) \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \dots \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \left(\bar{x}^{nd-i_k+1} \right) \\
&\quad - \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k=1}^{nd} \overline{xm} \left(\bar{x}^{n+i_1+i_2+\dots+i_k-(k+1)} \right) \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \dots \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \left(\bar{x}^{nd-i_k} \right) \\
&= \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k=1}^{nd} \bar{m} \left(\bar{x}^{n+i_1+i_2+\dots+i_k-(k+1)} \right) \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \dots \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \left(\bar{x}^{nd-i_k+1} \right) \\
&\quad - \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k=1}^{nd} \sigma^{-1}(\bar{m}) \bar{x} \left(\bar{x}^{n+i_1+i_2+\dots+i_k-(k+1)} \right) \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \dots \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \left(\bar{x}^{nd-i_k} \right) \\
&= \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-1}=1}^{nd} \sum_{i_k=1}^{nd} \left(\bar{m} \left(\bar{x}^{n+i_1+i_2+\dots+i_k-k} \right) - \sigma^{-1}(\bar{m}) \bar{x} \left(\bar{x}^{n+i_1+i_2+\dots+i_k-(k+1)} \right) \right) \otimes \\
&\quad \quad \quad (\bar{x}) \otimes \dots \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \left(\bar{x}^{nd-i_k} \right) \\
&\quad - \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-1}=1}^{nd} \bar{m} \left(\bar{x}^{n+nd+i_1+i_2+\dots+i_{k-1}-k} \right) \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \dots \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes (1) \\
&\quad + \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-1}=1}^{nd} \bar{m} \left(\bar{x}^{n+i_1+i_2+\dots+i_{k-1}-(k+1)} \right) \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \dots \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \left(\bar{x}^{nd} \right) \\
&= \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-1}=1}^{nd} \sum_{i_k=1}^{nd} \left(\bar{m} \left(\bar{x}^{n+i_1+i_2+\dots+i_k-k} \right) - \sigma^{-1}(\bar{m}) \bar{x} \left(\bar{x}^{n+i_1+i_2+\dots+i_k-(k+1)} \right) \right) \otimes \\
&\quad \quad \quad (\bar{x}) \otimes \dots \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \left(\bar{x}^{nd-i_k} \right) \\
&= d_{\text{bar}} \left(\sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-1}=1}^{nd} \sum_{i_k=1}^{nd} \right. \\
&\quad \quad \quad \left. \left(-\bar{m} \left(\bar{x}^n \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_k-k} \right) \right) + \sigma^{-1}(\bar{m}) \bar{x} \left(\bar{x}^{n-1} \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_k-k} \right) \right) \right) \right) \\
&\quad \quad \quad \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \dots \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \left(\bar{x}^{nd-i_k} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

because $(\bar{m} - \sigma^{-1}(\bar{m})) \bar{x}^n = (\bar{m} - \sigma^{-1}(\bar{m})) \bar{\pi} = 0$. This tells us that in our spectral se-

quence, $d^2 \left(\tilde{i}_{2k+1}(m) \right)$ is equal to the class in E^2 of

$$d_{HH} \left(\sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-1}=1}^{nd} \sum_{i_k=1}^{nd} \left(-\overline{m} \left(x^n \left(\overline{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_k-k} \right) \right) + \sigma^{-1}(\overline{m}) \overline{x} \left(x^{n-1} \left(\overline{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_k-k} \right) \right) \right) \right. \\ \left. \otimes (\overline{x}) \otimes \left(\overline{x}^{nd-i_1} \right) \otimes \dots \otimes (\overline{x}) \otimes \left(\overline{x}^{nd-i_k} \right) \right)$$

Note that on this element,

$$d_{0,HH} - d_{1,HH} = d_{2,HH} - d_{3,HH} = \dots = d_{2k-2,HH} - d_{2k-1,HH} = 0$$

as before, leaving only $d_{2k,HH}$. So

$$d^2 \left(\tilde{i}_{2k+1}(m) \right) \\ = \left[\sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-1}=1}^{nd} \sum_{i_k=1}^{nd} \left(-\overline{x}^{nd-i_k} \overline{m} \left(x^n \left(\overline{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_k-k} \right) \right) + \overline{x}^{nd-i_k} \sigma^{-1}(\overline{m}) \overline{x} \left(x^{n-1} \left(\overline{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_k-k} \right) \right) \right) \right. \\ \left. \otimes (\overline{x}) \otimes \left(\overline{x}^{nd-i_1} \right) \otimes \dots \otimes (\overline{x}) \right]$$

Analyzing the 0th coordinate of this for fixed i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-1} , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i_k=1}^{nd} \left(-\bar{x}^{nd-i_k} \bar{m} \left(x^n \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_k-k} \right) \right) + \bar{x}^{nd-i_k} \sigma^{-1}(\bar{m}) \bar{x} \left(x^{n-1} \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_k-k} \right) \right) \right) \\
&= \sum_{i_k=1}^{nd} \left(-\bar{x}^{nd-i_k} \bar{m} \left(x^n \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_k-k} \right) \right) + \bar{x}^{nd-i_k+1} \bar{m} \left(x^{n-1} \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_k-k} \right) \right) \right) \\
&= \sum_{i_k=1}^{nd} \left(-\bar{x}^{nd-i_k} \bar{m} \left(x^n \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_k-k} \right) \right) + \bar{x}^{nd-i_k} \bar{m} \left(x^{n-1} \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_k+1-k} \right) \right) \right) \\
&\quad - \bar{m} \left(x^{n-1} \left(\bar{x}^{nd+i_1+i_2+\dots+i_{k-1}+1-k} \right) \right) + \bar{x}^{nd} \bar{m} \left(x^{n-1} \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_{k-1}+1-k} \right) \right) \\
&= \sum_{i_k=1}^{nd} \left(-\bar{x}^{nd-i_k} \bar{m} \left(x^n \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_k-k} \right) \right) + \bar{x}^{nd-i_k} \bar{m} \left(x^{n-1} \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_k+1-k} \right) \right) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
& d_{\text{bar}} \left(\bar{x}^{nd-i_k} \bar{m} \left(x^{n-1} \left(x \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_k-k} \right) \right) \right) \right) \\
&= \bar{x}^{nd-i_k} \bar{m} \bar{x}^{n-1} \left(x \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_k-k} \right) \right) - \bar{x}^{nd-i_k} \bar{m} \left(x^n \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_k-k} \right) \right) \\
&\quad + \bar{x}^{nd-i_k} \bar{m} \left(x^{n-1} \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_k+1-k} \right) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

this is homologous to $\sum_{i_k=1}^{nd} -\bar{x}^{nd-i_k} \bar{m} \bar{x}^{n-1} \left(x \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_k-k} \right) \right)$, and since

$$\begin{aligned}
& d_{\text{bar}} \left(\bar{x}^{nd-i_k} \bar{m} \bar{x}^{n-1} \left(x \left(x^{i_k-1} \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_{k-1}-(k-1)} \right) \right) \right) \right) \\
&= \bar{x}^{nd-i_k} \bar{m} \bar{x}^n \left(x^{i_k-1} \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_{k-1}-(k-1)} \right) \right) \\
&\quad - \bar{x}^{nd-i_k} \bar{m} \bar{x}^{n-1} \left(x^{i_k} \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_{k-1}-(k-1)} \right) \right) \\
&\quad + \bar{x}^{nd-i_k} \bar{m} \bar{x}^{n-1} \left(x \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_{k-1}+i_k-k} \right) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

that is homologous to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i_k=1}^{nd} \left(\bar{x}^{nd-i_k} \bar{m} \bar{x}^n \left(x^{i_k-1} \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_{k-1}-(k-1)} \right) \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \bar{x}^{nd-i_k} \bar{m} \bar{x}^{n-1} \left(x^{i_k} \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_{k-1}-(k-1)} \right) \right) \right) \\
&= \bar{x}^{nd-1} \bar{m} \bar{x}^n \left(1 \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_{k-1}-(k-1)} \right) \right) - \bar{m} \bar{x}^{n-1} \left(x^{nd} \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_{k-1}-(k-1)} \right) \right) \\
& \quad + \sum_{i_k=2}^{nd} \left(\bar{x}^{nd-i_k} \bar{m} \bar{x}^n \left(x^{i_k-1} \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_{k-1}-(k-1)} \right) \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \bar{x}^{nd-i_k} \sigma^{-1}(\bar{m}) \bar{x}^n \left(x^{i_k-1} \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_{k-1}-(k-1)} \right) \right) \right) \\
&= -\bar{m} \bar{x}^{n-1} \left(x^{nd} \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_{k-1}-(k-1)} \right) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

because $\bar{x}^{nd} = 0$ and because m was chosen to satisfy $(\bar{m} - \sigma^{-1}(\bar{m})) \bar{x}^n = 0$. This tells us what $d^2 \left(\tilde{i}_{2k+1}(m) \right)$ is; however, to get it into a more familiar form we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
& d_{\text{bar}} \left(\bar{m} \left(x^{n-1} \left(x^{nd} \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_{k-1}-(k-1)} \right) \right) \right) \right) \\
&= \bar{m} \bar{x}^{n-1} \left(x^{nd} \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_{k-1}-(k-1)} \right) \right) - \bar{m} \left(x^{nd+n-1} \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_{k-1}-(k-1)} \right) \right) \\
&+ \bar{m} \left(x^{n-1} \left(\bar{x}^{nd+i_1+i_2+\dots+i_{k-1}-(k-1)} \right) \right) \\
&= \bar{m} \bar{x}^{n-1} \left(x^{nd} \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_{k-1}-(k-1)} \right) \right) - \bar{m} \left(x^{nd+n-1} \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_{k-1}-(k-1)} \right) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& d_{\text{bar}} \left(\bar{m} \left(x^{nd} \left(x^{n-1} \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_{k-1}-(k-1)} \right) \right) \right) \right) \\
&= \bar{m} \bar{x}^{nd} \left(x^{n-1} \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_{k-1}-(k-1)} \right) \right) \\
& \quad - \bar{m} \left(x^{nd+n-1} \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_{k-1}-(k-1)} \right) \right) + \bar{m} \left(x^{nd} \left(\bar{x}^{n+i_1+i_2+\dots+i_{k-1}-k} \right) \right) \\
&= -\bar{m} \left(x^{nd+n-1} \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_{k-1}-(k-1)} \right) \right) + \bar{m} \left(x^{nd} \left(\bar{x}^{n+i_1+i_2+\dots+i_{k-1}-k} \right) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
& d^2 \left(\tilde{i}_{2k+1}(m) \right) \\
&= \left[\sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-1}=1}^{nd} \sum_{i_k=1}^{nd} -\bar{x}^{nd-i_k} \bar{m} \bar{x}^{n-1} \left(x \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_{k-1}-k} \right) \right) \otimes \dots \otimes \left(\bar{x}^{nd-i_{k-1}} \right) \otimes (\bar{x}) \right] \\
&= \left[\sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-1}=1}^{nd} -\bar{m} \bar{x}^{n-1} \left(x^{nd} \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_{k-1}-(k-1)} \right) \right) \otimes \dots \otimes \left(\bar{x}^{nd-i_{k-1}} \right) \otimes (\bar{x}) \right] \\
&= \left[\sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-1}=1}^{nd} -\bar{m} \left(x^{nd} \left(\bar{x}^{n+i_1+i_2+\dots+i_{k-1}-k} \right) \right) \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \dots \otimes \left(\bar{x}^{nd-i_{k-1}} \right) \otimes (\bar{x}) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

Because of Lemma 3.2.4, this says that that

$$d^2(\tilde{i}_{2k+1}(m)) = -\tau \cdot \overline{[i_{2k-1}(m)]} \in \tau \cdot \text{HH}_{2k-1}(A/(p)),$$

where we use $\overline{[i_{2k-1}(m)]}$ to denote the reduction mod p of Larsen's $i_{2k-1}(m)$.

In the even case, we look at

$$\tilde{i}_{2k}(m) = \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k=1}^{nd} \bar{m} \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_k-k} \right) \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \left(\bar{x}^{nd-i_1} \right) \otimes \dots \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \left(\bar{x}^{nd-i_k} \right)$$

for $m \in T$ for which $\bar{m} \in \ker(\overline{P'(\pi)\text{Tr}})$. By an argument similar to the one we had in the odd case, $(d_{0,HH} - d_{1,HH})(\tilde{i}_{2k}(m)) = 0$. Also by a similar argument to the odd case,

$$(d_{2,HH} - d_{3,HH})(\tilde{i}_{2k}(m)) = \dots = (d_{2k-2,HH} - d_{2k-1,HH})(\tilde{i}_{2k+1}(m)) = 0,$$

again using cancellations and the fact that $\bar{x}^{nd} = 0$. So

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{\text{HH}}(\tilde{i}_{2k}(m)) &= d_{2k, \text{HH}}(\tilde{i}_{2k}(m)) \\
&= \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k=1}^{nd} \bar{x}^{nd-i_k} \bar{m} \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_k-k} \right) \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \left(\bar{x}^{nd-i_1} \right) \otimes \dots \otimes (\bar{x}) \\
&= \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k=1}^{nd} \sigma^{i_k-nd}(\bar{m}) \bar{x}^{nd-i_k} \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_k-k} \right) \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \left(\bar{x}^{nd-i_1} \right) \otimes \dots \otimes (\bar{x}) \\
&= \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k=1}^{nd} \sigma^{i_k}(\bar{m}) \bar{x}^{nd-i_k} \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_k-k} \right) \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \left(\bar{x}^{nd-i_1} \right) \otimes \dots \otimes (\bar{x}).
\end{aligned}$$

By the definition of d_{bar} ,

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{\text{bar}} &\left(\sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k=1}^{nd} \sigma^{i_k}(\bar{m}) \left(\bar{x}^{nd-i_k} \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_k-k} \right) \right) \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \left(\bar{x}^{nd-i_1} \right) \otimes \dots \otimes (\bar{x}) \right) \\
&= \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k=1}^{nd} \sigma^{i_k}(\bar{m}) \bar{x}^{nd-i_k} \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_k-k} \right) \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \left(\bar{x}^{nd-i_1} \right) \otimes \dots \otimes (\bar{x}) \\
&\quad - \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-1}=1}^{nd} \left(\sum_{i_k=1}^{nd} \sigma^{i_k}(\bar{m}) \left(\bar{x}^{nd+i_1+i_2+\dots+i_{k-1}-k} \right) \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \left(\bar{x}^{nd-i_1} \right) \otimes \dots \otimes (\bar{x}) \right) \\
&= d_{\text{HH}}(\tilde{i}_{2k}(m)) - \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-1}=1}^{nd} d\text{Tr}(\bar{m}) \left(\bar{x}^{nd+i_1+i_2+\dots+i_{k-1}-k} \right) \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \dots \otimes \left(\bar{x}^{nd-i_{k-1}} \right) \otimes (\bar{x}) \\
&= d_{\text{HH}}(\tilde{i}_{2k}(m)) - d\text{Tr}(\bar{m}) \left(\bar{x}^{nd-1} \right) \otimes (\bar{x}) \dots \otimes \left(\bar{x}^{nd-1} \right) \otimes (\bar{x}) \\
&= d_{\text{HH}}(\tilde{i}_{2k}(m)) + d_{\text{bar}} \left(d\text{Tr}(\bar{m}) \left(\bar{x}^{nd-n} \left(\bar{x}^{n-1} \right) \right) \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \dots \otimes \left(\bar{x}^{nd-1} \right) \otimes (\bar{x}) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is because $d\text{Tr}(\bar{m})\bar{x}^{nd-n} = P'(\pi)\text{Tr}(\bar{m}) = 0$. So

$$\begin{aligned} & d^2(\tilde{i}_{2k}(m)) \\ &= \left[d_{\text{HH}} \left(\sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k=1}^{nd} \sigma^{i_k}(\bar{m}) \left(x^{nd-i_k} \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_k-k} \right) \right) \otimes \dots \otimes \left(\bar{x}^{nd-i_{k-1}} \right) \otimes (\bar{x}) \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - d_{\text{HH}} \left(d\text{Tr}(\bar{m}) \left(x^{nd-n} \left(\bar{x}^{n-1} \right) \right) \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \dots \otimes \left(\bar{x}^{nd-1} \right) \otimes (\bar{x}) \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The second d_{HH} has most summands canceling because $\bar{x}^{nd} = 0$, and we are left with

$$\begin{aligned} & d_{\text{HH}} \left(d\text{Tr}(\bar{m}) \left(x^{nd-n} \left(\bar{x}^{n-1} \right) \right) \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \dots \otimes \left(\bar{x}^{nd-1} \right) \otimes (\bar{x}) \right) \\ &= d\text{Tr}(\bar{m}) \left(x^{nd-n} \left(\bar{x}^n \right) \right) \otimes \left(\bar{x}^{nd-1} \right) \otimes \dots \otimes \left(\bar{x}^{nd-1} \right) \otimes (\bar{x}) \\ & \quad - \bar{x} d\text{Tr}(\bar{m}) \left(x^{nd-n} \left(\bar{x}^{n-1} \right) \right) \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \dots \otimes \left(\bar{x}^{nd-1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

In $d_{\text{HH}} \left(\sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k=1}^{nd} \sigma^{i_k}(\bar{m}) \left(x^{nd-i_k} \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_k-k} \right) \right) \otimes \dots \otimes \left(\bar{x}^{nd-i_{k-1}} \right) \otimes (\bar{x}) \right)$, the cancellations $d_{0,\text{HH}} - d_{1,\text{HH}} = \dots = d_{2k-4,\text{HH}} - d_{2k-3,\text{HH}} = 0$ hold just as they did for

$d_{\text{HH}}(\tilde{i}_{2k}(m))$, so we only need to study $d_{2k-2,\text{HH}} - d_{2k-1,\text{HH}}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
& d_{\text{HH}} \left(\sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k=1}^{nd} \sigma^{i_k}(\bar{m}) \left(x^{n-i_k} \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_k-k} \right) \right) \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \dots \otimes (\bar{x}^{nd-i_{k-1}}) \otimes (\bar{x}) \right) \\
&= \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k=1}^{nd} \sigma^{i_k}(\bar{m}) \left(x^{nd-i_k} \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_k-k} \right) \right) \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \dots \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes (\bar{x}^{nd-i_{k-1}+1}) \\
&- \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k=1}^{nd} \bar{x} \sigma^{i_k}(\bar{m}) \left(x^{nd-i_k} \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_k-k} \right) \right) \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \dots \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes (\bar{x}^{nd-i_{k-1}}) \\
&= \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k=1}^{nd} \sigma^{i_k}(\bar{m}) \left(x^{nd-i_k} \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_k-k+1} \right) \right) \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \dots \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes (\bar{x}^{nd-i_{k-1}}) \\
&- \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k=1}^{nd} \sigma^{i_k-1}(\bar{m}) \bar{x} \left(x^{nd-i_k} \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_k-k} \right) \right) \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \dots \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes (\bar{x}^{nd-i_{k-1}}) \\
&= \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k=1}^{nd} \sigma^{i_k-1}(\bar{m}) \left(x^{nd-i_k+1} \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_k-k} \right) \right) \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \dots \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes (\bar{x}^{nd-i_{k-1}}) \\
&- \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-1}=1}^{nd} \bar{m} \left(x^{nd} \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_{k-1}-(k-1)} \right) \right) \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \dots \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes (\bar{x}^{nd-i_{k-1}}) \\
&- \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k=1}^{nd} \sigma^{i_k-1}(\bar{m}) \bar{x} \left(x^{nd-i_k} \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_k-k} \right) \right) \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \dots \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes (\bar{x}^{nd-i_{k-1}}),
\end{aligned}$$

where the second equality involves shifting i_{k-1} by 1 in the first sum. That does not change the value of the sum because the extra term and the term we lose are both zero because $\bar{x}^{nd} = 0$. The third equality involves shifting i_k by 1 in the first sum, which requires the

correction term below it for the extra term. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
& d_{\text{bar}} \left(\sigma^{i_k-1}(\bar{m}) \left(x \left(x^{nd-i_k} \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_k-k} \right) \right) \right) \right) \\
&= \sigma^{i_k-1}(\bar{m}) \bar{x} \left(x^{nd-i_k} \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_k-k} \right) \right) - \sigma^{i_k-1}(\bar{m}) \left(x^{nd-i_k+1} \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_k-k} \right) \right) \\
&+ \sigma^{i_k-1}(\bar{m}) \left(x \left(\bar{x}^{nd+i_1+i_2+\dots+i_{k-1}-k} \right) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k=1}^{nd} \sigma^{i_k-1}(\bar{m}) \left(x \left(\bar{x}^{nd+i_1+i_2+\dots+i_{k-1}-k} \right) \right) \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \dots \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \left(\bar{x}^{nd-i_{k-1}} \right) \\
&- \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-1}=1}^{nd} \bar{m} \left(x^{nd} \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_{k-1}-(k-1)} \right) \right) \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \dots \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \left(\bar{x}^{nd-i_{k-1}} \right) \\
&= d\text{Tr}(\bar{m}) \left(x \left(\bar{x}^{nd-1} \right) \right) \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \dots \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \left(\bar{x}^{nd-1} \right) \\
&- \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-1}=1}^{nd} \bar{m} \left(x^{nd} \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_{k-1}-(k-1)} \right) \right) \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \dots \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \left(\bar{x}^{nd-i_{k-1}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
& d^2 \left(\tilde{i}_{2k}(m) \right) = [d\text{Tr}(\bar{m}) \left(x \left(\bar{x}^{nd-1} \right) \right) \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \dots \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \left(\bar{x}^{nd-1} \right) \\
&- \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-1}=1}^{nd} \bar{m} \left(x^{nd} \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_{k-1}-(k-1)} \right) \right) \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \dots \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \left(\bar{x}^{nd-i_{k-1}} \right) \\
&- d\text{Tr}(\bar{m}) \left(x^{nd-n} \left(\bar{x}^n \right) \right) \otimes \left(\bar{x}^{nd-1} \right) \otimes \dots \otimes \left(\bar{x}^{nd-1} \right) \otimes (\bar{x}) \\
&+ \bar{x}d\text{Tr}(\bar{m}) \left(x^{nd-n} \left(\bar{x}^{n-1} \right) \right) \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \dots \otimes \left(\bar{x}^{nd-1} \right)].
\end{aligned}$$

This can be simplified using

$$\begin{aligned}
& d_{\text{bar}} \left(d\text{Tr}(\bar{m}) \left(x \left(x^{nd-n} \left(\bar{x}^{n-1} \right) \right) \right) \right) \\
&= d\text{Tr}(\bar{m}) \bar{x} \left(x^{nd-n} \left(\bar{x}^{n-1} \right) \right) - d\text{Tr}(\bar{m}) \left(x^{nd-n+1} \left(\bar{x}^{n-1} \right) \right) + d\text{Tr}(\bar{m}) \left(x \left(\bar{x}^{nd-1} \right) \right) \\
&= \bar{x} d\text{Tr}(\bar{m}) \left(x^{nd-n} \left(\bar{x}^{n-1} \right) \right) - d\text{Tr}(\bar{m}) \left(x^{nd-n+1} \left(\bar{x}^{n-1} \right) \right) + d\text{Tr}(\bar{m}) \left(x \left(\bar{x}^{nd-1} \right) \right), \\
& d_{\text{bar}} \left(d\text{Tr}(\bar{m}) \left(x^{nd-n+1} \left(x^{n-1} (\bar{1}) \right) \right) \right) \\
&= 0 - d\text{Tr}(\bar{m}) \left(x^{nd} (\bar{1}) \right) + d\text{Tr}(\bar{m}) \left(x^{nd-n+1} \left(\bar{x}^{n-1} \right) \right), \\
& d_{\text{bar}} \left(d\text{Tr}(\bar{m}) \left(x^{nd-n} \left(x^n (\bar{1}) \right) \right) \right) = 0 - d\text{Tr}(\bar{m}) \left(x^{nd} (\bar{1}) \right) + d\text{Tr}(\bar{m}) \left(x^{nd-n} \left(\bar{x}^n \right) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

the last two equations being true by the choice of m . We get

$$\begin{aligned}
& d^2(\tilde{i}_{2k}(m)) = [d\text{Tr}(\bar{m}) \left(x^{nd-n+1} \left(\bar{x}^{n-1} \right) \right) \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \dots \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \left(\bar{x}^{nd-1} \right) \\
& - \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-1}=1}^{nd} \bar{m} \left(x^{nd} \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_{k-1}-(k-1)} \right) \right) \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \dots \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \left(\bar{x}^{nd-i_{k-1}} \right) \\
& - d\text{Tr}(\bar{m}) \left(x^{nd-n} \left(\bar{x}^n \right) \right) \otimes \left(\bar{x}^{nd-1} \right) \otimes \dots \otimes \left(\bar{x}^{nd-1} \right) \otimes (\bar{x})] \\
&= [d\text{Tr}(\bar{m}) \left(x^{nd} (\bar{1}) \right) \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \dots \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \left(\bar{x}^{nd-1} \right) \\
& - \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-1}=1}^{nd} \bar{m} \left(x^{nd} \left(\bar{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_{k-1}-(k-1)} \right) \right) \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \dots \otimes (\bar{x}) \otimes \left(\bar{x}^{nd-i_{k-1}} \right) \\
& - d\text{Tr}(\bar{m}) \left(x^{nd} (\bar{1}) \right) \otimes \left(\bar{x}^{nd-1} \right) \otimes \dots \otimes \left(\bar{x}^{nd-1} \right) \otimes (\bar{x})].
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.2.4 allows us to identify the class of this element in the E^2 -term as

$$\begin{aligned}
d^2(\tilde{i}_{2k}(m)) &= \tau \cdot [d\mathrm{Tr}(\overline{m}) \otimes \overline{x} \otimes \cdots \otimes \overline{x} \otimes \overline{x}^{nd-1} \\
&\quad - \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-1}=1}^{nd} \overline{m} \overline{x}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_{k-1}-(k-1)} \otimes \overline{x} \otimes \cdots \otimes \overline{x} \otimes \overline{x}^{nd-i_{k-1}} \\
&\quad - d\mathrm{Tr}(\overline{m}) \otimes \overline{x}^{nd-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \overline{x}^{nd-1} \otimes \overline{x}] \in \tau \cdot \mathrm{HH}_{2k-2}(A/(p)),
\end{aligned}$$

where we can identify the sum as being $-\overline{i_{2k-2}(m)}$, the reduction modulo p of the image of m by Larsen's map. Moreover, Larsen's comparison map π_{2k-2} (defined below [6, Equation (3.8.1)]) from the Hochschild complex to his small complex (which shows that the two are quasi-isomorphic) sends

$$(\overline{a} \otimes \overline{x} \otimes \cdots \otimes \overline{x} \otimes \overline{x}^{nd-1} - \overline{a} \otimes \overline{x}^{nd-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \overline{x}^{nd-1} \otimes \overline{x}) \mapsto \overline{a} - \overline{a} = 0$$

for all $a \in A$. So we get, just as we did in the odd case, that

$$d^2(\tilde{i}_{2k}(m)) = -\tau \cdot \overline{i_{2k-2}(m)}.$$

□

REFERENCES

- [1] Marcel Bökstedt, *Topological Hochschild homology of Z and Z/p , preprint (Bielefeld)*.
- [2] Marcel Bokstedt, Wu-Chung Hsiang, and Ib Madsen, *The cyclotomic trace and algebraic K-theory of spaces*, *Inventiones mathematicae* **111** (1993), no. 1, 465–539.
- [3] Anthony D. Elmendorf, Igor Kriz, Michael A. Mandell, and J. Peter May, *Rings, modules, and algebras in stable homotopy theory*, *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, vol. 47, American Mathematical Society, 1997.
- [4] Lars Hesselholt and Ib Madsen, *On the K-theory of finite algebras over Witt vectors of perfect fields*, *Topology* **36** (1997), 29–101.
- [5] Michael Larsen, *Homology of maximal orders in central simple algebras*, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **67** (1992), no. 4, 613–634.
- [6] ———, *Filtrations, mixed complexes, and cyclic homology in mixed characteristic*, *K-theory* **9** (1995), no. 2, 173–198.
- [7] Michael Larsen and Ayelet Lindenstrauss, *Cyclic homology of Dedekind domains*, *K-theory* **6** (1992), no. 4, 301–334.
- [8] ———, *Topological Hochschild homology and the condition of Hochschild-Kostant-Rosenberg*, *Comm. Algebra* **29** (2001), no. 4, 1627–1638.
- [9] Ayelet Lindenstrauss, *A relative spectral sequence for topological Hochschild homology of spectra*, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **148** (2000), no. 1, 45–58.
- [10] Ayelet Lindenstrauss and Ib Madsen, *Topological Hochschild homology of number rings*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **352** (2000), no. 5, 2179–2204.
- [11] Jurgen Neukirch, *Algebraic Number Theory*, 1st ed., *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften*, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 1999.
- [12] Andre Weil, *Basic Number Theory*, 3rd ed., *Classics in Mathematics*, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 1973.